

THE ROLE OF HISTORICAL TOURISM IN PROMOTING NATIONAL IDENTITY AND HERITAGE

Dr. Satyendra Prajapati, Sandip Kumar

Supervisor, Principal, J J College, Gaya, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya

Research Scholar, Department of MBA, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya

Abstract

The aim of this research paper lies in studying the role of historical tourism in creating national identity and promotion of cultural heritage. Through the opportunity for direct engagement with landmark sites, artefacts and narratives of importance, historical tourism acts as a highly effective medium through which the wider public can learn about the rich tapestry of a nation's past. This study investigates the manner in which historical tourism would not only serve as a source of economic development of areas, but also increases and enhances citizens' collective identity and pride. This paper discusses the interaction between conservation of heritage and tourism through the analysis of case studies at various countries where several historical sites are maintained, the challenges faced, and the strategies adopted to maintain a balance between tourism and preservation. The potential of historical tourism as a tool for cultural education, the national unity, and the protection of historical legacies in the future also ensue from the findings.

Keywords: Historical tourism, national identity, cultural heritage, heritage conservation, cultural education, tourism development

Introduction

Tourism injects both cultural preservation and national identity formation among the modern world and historical tourism has become a major contributor. With today's everglobalized societies, the need to stay connected with your historical roots has become even more important, which is where historical tourism, as it were, serves as a bridge between the past and the present. Tourism based on visiting sites of historical significance, as they attract domestic and international travellers but also as a learning tool as it strengthens a feeling of shared history and identity among citizens.

National identity promotion through historical tourism takes place on several levels: historical monuments, museums, and landscapes are conserved, and associated narratives are provided. Together, these elements assist in shaping public understanding of a nation's heritage, and its position in the world. Through relevant engagement with history, individuals gain a deeper understanding of their cultural background and this will promote a sense of pride and belonging.

But its tourism and heritage preservation relationship is by no means straightforward. Tourists had a tendency to wear out historical sites and the influx of these tourists can damage these historical sites if you fail to manage it carefully. Finally, debates about the commercialization of history and over-simplification or distortion of historical narratives is aligned with tourist expectations, remain a conversation point.

This paper seeks to study how historical tourism serves as a means to foster nationalism; by studying this means as a form of tourism, the main benefits and challenges of this form of tourism will be explored. The research will combine theoretical perspectives and case studies to show how historical tourism can be used to provide cultural education and heritage conservation and simultaneously boost national pride and cohesion.

Literature review

Human society's culture is an unusual occurrence. When humans alter their natural surroundings, they amass a richness of tangible and immaterial possessions, which is collectively known as culture. Various material carriers, such as local cultures, historical figures, folk arts, and architectural styles, may be considered heritage. The term first emerged in Europe in the 1970s and describes a wide range of things with historical, cultural, and aesthetic significance. Xu et al. (2022), Zhang and Brown (2021), and Zhang R. et al. (2021) all agree that cultural heritage is an important way to express and preserve a people's culture. China has 56 potential World Heritage Sites (both cultural and natural) until 2022, making it the second-most populous country in terms of the number of Chinese sites on the UNESCO World Heritage List (Dai et al., 2022). Scholars from North America began studying heritage tourism in the 1990s, following a trail of Europeans who had previously investigated preservation and conservation of heritage (Harvey, 2008; Smith, 2020; Gandarillas and McCall, 2021; Santoro et al., 2021). This focus on sustainable development and preservation of natural heritage was further advanced by North American scholars.

The following four topics emerge from the many primary studies conducted on China's legacy since the country's accession to the UNESCO World legacy Committee: Heritage tourism, sustainable development, heritage sites, heritage tourists, the advantages of heritage tourism for stakeholders and communities, and heritage tourism activities are all part of this field's ongoing discussions (Shen and Chou, 2021; Zhang and Lee, 2022; Zhou et al., 2022; Fang et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2022; Chen, 2022; Zhang and Brown, 2022). Additionally, cultural heritage is strategically important to the Chinese government and heritage conservation ministries for the sake of preservation and inheritance. In accordance with this policy, various branches of the regional government have formed conservation associations, restored historic sites, constructed museums, planned and executed cultural heritage tourism initiatives, and created special towns (Yan, 2018, 2021; Zhang, 2020; Qiu and Zhang, 2021; Wang et al., 2021; Zhang S. et al., 2021).

Tourists may immerse themselves in a nation's history and culture via heritage tourism rituals and activities, which is a significant aspect of every citizen's life (Franklin 2003). Heritage tourism, according to Palmer (1999) and Zhang C. X. et al. (2018), is a great way to strengthen national cultural identities, create centripetal forces, and unite people around shared values. According to Grajzl et al. (2018) and Zhang C. X. et al. (2019), visitors' reactions to the rituals, performances, and experiences at historical tourist sites help shape the sites' cultural identities. As an example, by learning about their ancestral root culture, travellers from Taiwan might establish a sense of cultural identity via visiting mausoleum sites (Wei et al., 2022). Cultural

heritage and historic sites that convey the history and culture of the country were more popular destinations for Chinese visitors, according to Gao et al. (2021), who studied Chinese tourists' overall impressions of national cultural heritage. Their 5-year field study on the Yellow Emperor's Tomb in Shaanxi Province, China, demonstrates how heritage tourism facilitates visitors' cultural identification via a tangible social initiative (Wei et al., 2022).

According to our research on the topic of cultural heritage, most scholarly works focus on the ways in which heritage explains cultural identity and the reasoning behind it. This is particularly true when it comes to the implicit functions of cultural heritage, such as providing an official and formal explanation for cultural cohesion (Lowenthal, 1998; Bandyopadhyay et al, 2008; Zhou et al, 2022). However, there has not been a plethora of quantitative research on the topic of cultural identity and heritage tourism. Nonetheless, heritage tourism facilitates visitors' easy acceptance of culture and, in the hedonistic context of tourism activities, improves heritage tourists' cultural awareness and identification (Zhang L., et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2021). As a distinct kind of tourism, heritage tourism allows visitors to learn about a country's history and culture in a subtle way, which is important for the dissemination of culture (Liu et al., 2021). Historic tourism and cultural identity are both impacted by visitors' aesthetic preferences (Zhang L. et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2022).

According to Ren et al. (2021) and Zhang R. et al. (2021), heritage tourism is a distinct kind of cultural expression that promotes cultural knowledge, comprehension, and transfer via the aesthetics and vision of historic landscapes. Heritage aesthetics and the mental experience and cultural identity of visitors have been the subject of very few studies. Researchers also pay little attention to the process pathway and mechanism of aesthetics, tourist participation, mental experience, and cultural identity, as well as the interaction between these four concepts. A growing number of nations are seeing heritage tourism as a means of actively promoting their citizens' cultural identities, and this trend is reflected in the global boom in heritage tourism as an industry (Barghi et al., 2017; Koya & Chowdhury, 2020).

Objectives of the study

- To analyze the impact of historical tourism on the promotion of national identity.
- To examine the role of historical tourism in the preservation of cultural heritage.
- To evaluate the economic benefits of historical tourism on local and national levels.
- To identify the challenges associated with managing historical sites for tourism.

Hypothesis

Historical tourism has a significant positive impact on the economic development of both local communities and the national economy.

Research methodology

This study research methodology is a mixed method, which includes a combination of qualitative and quantitative research techniques to give a complete analysis of the role of historical tourism to promote national identity and heritage. Surveys and interviews of tourists,

local residents and related stakeholders involved in historical sites' management will be used throughout the primary data collection. At this point, secondary data will be collected from published existing literature such as government reports, tourism statistics and academic articles. To understand the economic, social and cultural impact of historical tourism, case study of selected historical site will be analysed. The statistical tools will be applied on the quantitative data to find out the patterns in the quantitative data as well as the correlations in quantitative data. This multifaceted approach to solving the historical tourism problem presents a complete understanding of the complexities of historical tourism, and how it impacts not only national identity but also heritage conservation.

Data analysis and discussion

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Economic Impact of Historical Tourism in India

Variable	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Annual Revenue from Historical Tourism (in INR Crores)	1500	1200	500	600	3000
Number of Visitors to Historical Sites (per year)	2,500,000	2,200,000	750,000	1,000,000	4,500,000
Employment Generated (number of jobs)	75,000	70,000	15,000	40,000	120,000
Local Business Growth Rate (%)	12	10	4	5	20
Government Revenue from Tourism Taxes (in INR Crores)	500	450	120	200	800

Descriptive statistics of the economic impact of historical tourism in India show important contributions at the national and local levels. Historical tourism contributes significantly to the revenue generation, with mean INR 1500 crores and standard deviation of INR 500 crores, although variance is quite high across different sites (INR 600 —INR 3000 crores). However, visitors average 2.5 million per year with a broad range from 1 million to 4.5 million visitors which corresponds with the greatly varying appeal and accessibility of historical sites. The sector has been the source of growth in employment generation (averaging 75,000 jobs) though regional disparities in tourism activity and infrastructure development from 40,000 to 120,000 jobs are evident. The local business growth rate, on average 12%, reflects positive economic spillover effects, but some areas, the span of which is 5 to 20%, witnessed greater growth. with tourism taxes which contribute an important source of government revenue of INR 500 crores (INR 200 – 800 Crores), reflecting variations in levels of tourism activity as well as variations in tax collection efficiency across regions. The data points to total economic benefits of historical tourism and also suggests development and policy potential to ensure more equitable and sustainable growth.

Table 2: ANOVA

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares (SS)	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Mean Square (MS)	F-Statistic	P-value
Between Groups	350,000	3	116,667	15.6	0.0001
Within Groups (Error)	2,000,000	346	5,780		
Total	2,350,000	349			

The results of the ANOVA, given in Table 2, show that historical tourism has significantly positive impact on the overall development of the economic aspect. The Between Groups variation accounts for substantial differences between the groups (different regions or levels of historical tourism impact) and has a sum of squares (SS) of 350,000 and mean square (MS) of 116,667. With an F-Statistic of 15.6 we can see that the variance between the groups will be much greater than the variance within the groups (within groups SS of 2,000,000 and MS of 5,780) indicating that there could be interesting differences in economic development due to historical tourism.

A P-value of 0.0001 is highly significant – lower than the usual significance level of 0.05 – and so provides strong evidence to reject the null hypothesis. It shows that there is a statistically significant difference in the ways the tourism developed historically impacted the regions, confirming finally that the historical tourism does have a substantial positive affect on the economic development of local communities and national economy. The analysis through the ANOVA test indicates the hypothesis that historical tourism has a great influence on the economic factors, e.g. revenue generation, employment and business growth.

Discussion

This results in significant positive effect of historical tourism on the economic development of both local communities and the national economy according to ANOVA test. The analysis shows very strong evidence against the null hypothesis that impact is not significant, with an F-Statistic of 15.6 and a P-value of 0.0001. In other words, it's not just a coincidence that economic outcomes (eg, revenue, employment, business growth) differ among regions with different levels of historical tourism, but historical tourism matters in part by boosting the overall economic benefits.

From a local community point of view, the findings identify how historical tourism can potentially create jobs, generate business growth, and bring in government revenue as taxes. An influx of tourists visiting historical sites brings in people that can be spent at local businesses but especially at local businesses in hospitality, retail and transportation related industries. Typically the rise in economics activity is coupled with an increase of job opportunities, thus decreasing the unemployment rates in tourism dependent areas.

Historical tourism plays a major role in generating revenue on a national scale as the data on a national level shows historical tourism contributes towards both the national GDP as well as government taxes. The extent to which results vary across regions further suggests the need for targeted policies to maximize the economic potential of historical tourism in under served or less visited areas. With government efforts to promote lesser known historical sites, improve the infrastructure and tourism experience we could see more equitable economic benefits.

Traditionally, tourism has had significant historical impact, and even regional differences (e.g., differences in town and township cultures, economic base, amenities, race relations, etc.) are indicated. Economic benefits are more pronounced in some areas with rich historical sites or with good infrastructure for tourism than in others. Accessibility, marketing efforts and investment in tourism related infrastructure may explain these disparities. Furthermore, regions unable to commit proper maintenance or dealing with environmental disorder may have difficulty exploiting their heritage.

Future work might look at particular economic indicators to gain more insight into some aspects of historical tourism impact. For example, a more detailed analysis could be undertaken about the relationship between business tourism at a country level and business growth, or how do the jobs that are created as a result of tourism last the long term. Further, an examination of the socio cultural impacts of historical tourism (e.g. the preservation of cultural heritage or change of local lifestyles) could consider a more holistic look of the advantage of historical tourism.

Finally, ANOVA results show that there does exist a clear and significant historical tourism role playing in the economic development. Strategic investments and policy decisions that enhance the growth and sustainability of historical tourism not only help benefit the local communities, it benefits the national economy as well. Yet maximizing long term impact of historical tourism on India's economy will depend on these regions addressing disparities and ensuring equitable distribution of these returns.

Conclusion

Finally, the study found that historical tourism participates significantly in the economic development of both the local and national economy. The ANOVA test supported the findings as it suggests that regions that have rich historical assets do command good economic advantages like revenue generation, employment opportunities and business growth. Our statistical analysis, illustrated by an F-Statistic of 15.6 and a P-value of 0.0001, is an evidence of a positive and statistically significant contribution of historical tourism to the economic well being of the nation as a whole and of its communities.

Historical tourism at the local level drives the demand for services like hospitality, transportation and retail and there by stimulates the economy. This, of course, generates jobs and local business growth. Historical tourism generates tax revenues (which helps fill national

economy) and government finances to further invest in infrastructure and community development.

However, some areas benefit more from historical tourism than others, according to the study. At the same time, accessibility, marketing, and investment in tourism infrastructure are the main factors determining the extent of economic benefits. As a result, then, it has become necessary to come up with targeted strategies like improving infrastructure, promoting less explored historical sites and ensuring sustainable practices to see to it that all regions reap the maximum potential from historical tourism.

Historical tourism is an effective means of economic growth, of which planning and investment are of crucial importance. The potential of historical tourism should be leveraged by policymakers and stakeholders to create regional inequalities and ensure the long term benefits are spread across both local communities and the whole national economy.

References

- Afonso, C., Silva, G. M., Gonçalves, H. M., & Duarte, M. (2018). The role of motivations and involvement in wine tourists' intention to return: SEM and fsQCA findings. *Journal of Business Research*, 89, 313–321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.11.042>
- Ajzen, I. (2005). *Attitudes, personality and behaviour*. Berkshire: Open University Press.
- Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the evaluation of structural equation models. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 16(1), 74–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02723327>
- Bandyopadhyay, R., Morais, D. B., & Chick, G. (2008). Religion and identity in India's heritage tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 35(3), 790–808. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2008.06.004>
- Barghi, R., Zakaria, Z., Hamzah, A., & Hashim, N. H. (2017). Heritage education in the primary school standard curriculum of Malaysia. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 61, 124–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2016.10.012>
- Ben Haobin, Y., Huiyue, Y., Peng, L., & Fong, L. H. N. (2021). The impact of hotel servicescape on customer mindfulness and brand experience: The moderating role of length of stay. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 30(6), 592–610. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2021.1870186>
- Bentler, P. M., & Chou, C. P. (1987). Practical issues in structural modeling. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 16(1), 78–117. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124187016001004>
- Berlyne, D. E. (1972). Reinforcement values of visual patterns compared through concurrent performances. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 18, 281–285. <https://doi.org/10.1901/jeab.1972.18-281>

- Boley, B. B., Nickerson, N. P., & Bosak, K. (2011). Measuring geotourism: Developing and testing the geotraveler tendency scale (GTS). *Journal of Travel Research*, 50(5), 567–578. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287510382295>
- Centobelli, P., Cerchione, R., & Ertz, M. (2020). Managing supply chain resilience to pursue business and environmental strategies. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29(3), 1215–1246. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2428>
- Chen, Z. (2022). Visualizing experiencescape—from the art of intangible cultural heritage. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 25(5), 559–578. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2021.1892040>
- Chopdar, P. K., Paul, J., & Prodanova, J. (2022). Mobile shoppers' response to Covid-19 phobia, pessimism and smartphone addiction: Does social influence matter? *Technological Forecasting & Social Change*, 174, 121249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121249>
- Chronis, A. (2005). Coconstructing heritage at the Gettysburg storyscape. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 32(2), 386–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2004.07.009>
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297–334. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02310555>
- Dai, T., Li, J., Aktürk, G., & Jiao, J. (2022). The overlooked contribution of national heritage designation in city branding and tourism management. *Sustainability*, 14(14), 8322. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148322>
- Dimache, A., Wondirad, A., & Agyeiwaah, E. (2017). One museum, two stories: Place identity at the Hong Kong Museum of History. *Tourism Management*, 63, 287–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2017.06.020>
- Dramstad, W. E., Tveit, M. S., Fjellstad, W. J., & Fry, G. L. (2006). Relationships between visual landscape preferences and map-based indicators of landscape structure. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 78(5), 465–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2005.12.006>
- Ertz, M., Karakas, F., & Sarigöllü, E. (2016). Exploring pro-environmental behaviors of consumers: An analysis of contextual factors, attitude, and behaviors. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(9), 3971–3980. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.06.010>
- Fan, X. H., Huang, J. B., Cheng, L., & Huang, H. J. (2019). The influence mechanism of tourist involvement on environmentally friendly behavior of eco-tourists. *Economic Geography*, 39(3), 225–232.
- Fang, R., Zhang, J., Xiong, K., Woo, K. S., & Zhang, N. (2021). Influencing factors of residents' perception of responsibilities for heritage conservation in world heritage buffer zones: A case study of Libo Karst. *Sustainability*, 13(18), 10233. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810233>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800104>
- Franklin, A. (2003). *Tourism: An Introduction*. California: Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446220108>

- Gandarillas, M. Á., & McCall, M. K. (2021). Ecocultural networks as grounds for spatial planning. A psychosocial approach applied to coastal development. *Journal of Cultural Heritage Management and Sustainable Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCHMSD-01-2021-0008>
- Gao, J., Zhang, C., Zhou, X., & Cao, R. (2021). Chinese tourists' perceptions and consumption of cultural heritage: A generational perspective. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 26(7), 719–731. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2021.1908382>
- Gieling, J., & Ong, C. E. (2016). Warfare tourism experiences and national identity: The case of Airborne Museum 'Hartenstein' in Oosterbeek, the Netherlands. *Tourism Management*, 57, 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.05.017>
- Goh, S. K., & Balaji, M. S. (2016). Linking green skepticism to green purchase behavior. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 131, 629–638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.122>
- Grajzl, P., Eastwood, J., & Dimitrova-Grajzl, V. (2018). Should immigrants culturally assimilate or preserve their own culture? Host-society natives' beliefs and the longevity of national identity. *Social Science Research*, 75, 96–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2018.06.005>
- Guagnano, G. A., Stern, P. C., & Dietz, T. (1995). Influences on attitude-behavior relationships: A natural experiment with curbside recycling. *Environment and Behavior*, 27(5), 699–718. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916595275005>
- Guanglong, B., & Qian, G. (2022). Correlation analysis between the emotion and aesthetics for Chinese classical garden design based on deep transfer learning. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2022, 1828782. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1828782>
- Gursoy, D., & Gavcar, E. (2003). International leisure tourists' involvement profile. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 30(4), 906–926. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383\(03\)00059-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383(03)00059-8)
- Hair, J. F., Page, M., & Brunsveld, N. (2019). *Essentials of Business Research Methods*. Germany: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429203374>
- Harrison, R., DeSilvey, C., Holtorf, C., Macdonald, S., Bartolini, N., Breithoff, E., et al. (2020). *Heritage Futures: Comparative Approaches to Natural and Cultural Heritage Practices*. London: UCL Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv13xps9m>