Integrated Nutrient Management in Chickpea Cultivation: Strategies for Sustainable Production

Prachi Singh[1], Ayodhya Prasad Pandey[2] and Rajbeer Singh Gaur[2]
[1]Department of Agronomy, AKS University, Satna-485001 (MP), India
[2]Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, AKS University, Satna-485001 (MP), India

Abstract

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivation plays a crucial role in global food security and nutrition, particularly in regions with limited agricultural resources. Chickpea is a source of protein and essential nutrients in human diets. However, achieving sustainable production levels while maintaining soil fertility and minimizing environmental impact remains a challenge. This review paper aims to explore the concept of integrated nutrient management (INM) as a strategy to enhance chickpea production sustainably.

It then delves into the various components of INM, including organic and inorganic fertilizers, crop residues, biofertilizers, and soil amendments, and their roles in optimizing nutrient availability for chickpea crops.

Furthermore, the review examines the effects of INM practices on soil health, crop productivity, and environmental sustainability. It synthesizes findings from field experiments, case studies, and agronomic trials to elucidate the potential benefits and challenges associated with different INM approaches.

It is suggested that the judicious integration of organic and inorganic nutrient sources can enhance soil fertility, improve nutrient uptake efficiency, and boost chickpea yields. Moreover, INM practices contribute to the conservation of natural resources, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, and mitigation of nutrient runoff and leaching.

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is an important legume crop cultivated worldwide for its nutritional value, adaptability to various climates, and grown in several countries worldwide, contributing significantly to food security and economic sustainability. Chickpeas thrive in semi-arid to sub-humid climates with moderate temperatures during the growing season (Redden et al., 2017). Optimal growth occurs in well-drained loamy soils with pH levels ranging from 6.0 to 7.5 (Singh et al., 2017). They can tolerate slightly acidic to alkaline soils but are sensitive to water logging.

Desi and kabuli are the two main types of chickpeas, each with distinct seed characteristics and culinary uses (Berger et al., 2006). Desi chickpeas are smaller, darker seeds with rough coat and predominantly grown in South Asia, while kabuli chickpeas have larger, lighter coloured seeds with smoother coat and are favored in international markets (FAO, 2019). Planting should occur after the last frost date when soil temperatures reach approximately 60°F (15°C) (Jukanti et al., 2012). Proper spacing and seeding depth are crucial for optimal plant establishment and yield potential (Kumar et al., 2014). Adequate irrigation, particularly during flowering and pod development stages, is essential for achieving high yields (Jukanti et al., 2012). Effective weed management strategies, including herbicide application and cultural practices, are necessary to minimize yield losses (Kumar et al., 2014). Chickpeas are susceptible to various pests and diseases, including aphids, cutworms, pod borers, *Ascochyta* blight, and *Fusarium* wilt, which can significantly impact yields (Mannur et al., 2019). Integrated pest and disease management approaches, incorporating cultural, biological, and chemical control methods, are essential for minimizing crop damage (Kaur et al., 2020).

Chickpeas are typically harvested when the pods have matured and turned yellow, indicating physiological maturity (Singh et al., 2017). Timely harvesting is critical to prevent yield losses due to pod shattering and adverse weather conditions (Kumar et al., 2016). Proper drying and storage practices are essential to maintain seed quality and prevent spoilage during storage (Kumar et al., 2016). Moisture content, temperature, and relative humidity levels should be monitored to minimize fungal growth and insect infestation (Berger et al., 2006). Chickpeas are consumed in various forms, including whole, split, flour, and processed products like hummus and falafel, making them a versatile and nutritious food source (Jukanti et al., 2012).

Chickpea cultivation offers numerous benefits, including soil fertility improvement, crop rotation diversification, and economic opportunities for farmers. By adopting best practices informed by scientific research, growers can enhance chickpea yields and contribute to global food security and sustainability.

SIGNIFICANCE OF CHICKPEA AS A FOOD CROP

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) holds significant importance as a food crop due to its nutritional value, culinary versatility, and potential health benefits. Some of them are worth mentioned here.

Nutritional Value

Chickpeas are rich in protein, dietary fiber, vitamins (such as folate and vitamin B6), and minerals (including iron, phosphorus, and zinc), making them a valuable component of a healthy diet (Jukanti et al., 2012). The protein content of chickpeas ranges from 19% to 23%, with essential amino acids present in adequate proportions, contributing to their nutritional quality (Bhise et al., 2017).

Culinary Versatility

Chickpeas are consumed in various forms, including whole, ground into flour, and processed into products like hummus, falafel, and soups (FAO, 2019). They are used in a wide range of cuisines worldwide, contributing to the flavor, texture, and nutritional profile of dishes (Ranilla et al., 2016)

Health Benefits

Consumption of chickpeas has been associated with numerous health benefits, including improved heart health, blood sugar control, and weight management (Bhise et al., 2017). The fiber content of chickpeas promotes digestive health and helps reduce the risk of chronic diseases such as diabetes and colon cancer (Bhise et al., 2017)

Sustainable Crop

Chickpeas have the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen through symbiotic relationships with soil bacteria, reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers and contributing to soil fertility (Gan et al., 2017). Their deep root system enhances soil structure and water infiltration, making chickpeas suitable for sustainable agricultural practices (FAO, 2019).

Economic Importance

Chickpea cultivation provides livelihoods for millions of farmers worldwide, particularly in regions where it serves as a staple food crop (FAO, 2019). The global trade in chickpeas contributes to economic growth and food security, with chickpeas being exported and imported by numerous countries (Singh et al., 2017). Chickpeas play a crucial role in global food security, nutrition, and sustainable agriculture, making them a significant food crop with far-reaching socio-economic implications

IMPORTANCE OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT IN SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

Integrated nutrient management (INM) plays a crucial role in sustainable agriculture by optimizing nutrient use efficiency, improving soil health, enhancing crop productivity, and reducing environmental impacts.

Optimizing Nutrient Use Efficiency

INM involves the judicious combination of organic and inorganic nutrient sources to meet crop requirements while minimizing nutrient losses. This approach enhances nutrient use efficiency and reduces the risk of nutrient runoff and leaching (Zhang et al., 2019). By integrating organic amendments such as crop residues, composts, and manures with chemical fertilizers, INM improves nutrient availability to crops and reduces the dependence on synthetic fertilizers (Chen et al., 2018).

Enhancing Soil Health

INM practices promote soil organic matter accumulation, microbial activity, and soil structure improvement, leading to enhanced soil fertility and resilience (Chivenge et al., 2017). Organic inputs in INM stimulate beneficial soil microorganisms, such as mycorrhizal fungi and nitrogen-fixing bacteria, which contribute to nutrient cycling and soil health (Thilakarathna et al., 2017).

Increasing Crop Productivity and Quality

INM strategies have been shown to increase crop yields and improve the quality of agricultural products by providing a balanced supply of essential nutrients throughout the growing season (Liu et al., 2017). Balanced nutrition achieved through INM contributes to better plant growth, development, and stress tolerance, resulting in higher yields and improved crop resilience to biotic and abiotic stresses (Gupta et al., 2018).

Environmental Sustainability

INM practices mitigate nutrient losses to the environment, reducing the risk of water pollution, eutrophication, and greenhouse gas emissions associated with fertilizer application (Zhang et al., 2019). By promoting soil health and reducing synthetic inputs, INM contributes to long-term sustainability and resilience of agroecosystems, mitigating the adverse effects of climate change and land degradation (Chivenge et al., 2017).

NUTRIENT REQUIREMENTS OF CHICKPEA

Understanding the nutrient requirements of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is crucial for optimizing crop productivity and ensuring efficient nutrient management practices. This crop requires both macro as well as micronutrients for good yield.

Macronutrients

Nitrogen (N)

Chickpea has a moderate to high requirement for nitrogen, particularly during the vegetative and reproductive stages. Adequate nitrogen availability is essential for promoting vegetative growth, nitrogen fixation, and pod development (Sarker et al., 2018).

Phosphorus (P)

Phosphorus plays a vital role in chickpea growth and development, particularly in root development, flowering, and pod filling stages. Phosphorus deficiency can limit chickpea yield and nodulation capacity (Kaur et al., 2020).

Potassium (K)

Chickpea requires potassium for various physiological processes, including enzyme activation, osmoregulation, and stomatal regulation. Adequate potassium levels enhance chickpea tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Sarker et al., 2018).

Micronutrients

Iron (Fe)

Iron is essential for chlorophyll synthesis, nitrogen fixation, and enzyme activation in chickpea. Iron deficiency can lead to chlorosis, reduced photosynthesis, and poor nodulation in chickpea plants (Kaur et al., 2020).

Zinc (Zn)

Zinc is crucial for chickpea growth, root development, and nitrogen metabolism. Zinc deficiency can result in reduced shoot and root growth, delayed maturity, and decreased yield in chickpea (Kaur et al., 2020).

Molybdenum (Mo)

Molybdenum is essential for symbiotic nitrogen fixation in chickpea as it is a component of nitrogenase enzymes. Molybdenum deficiency can impair nitrogen fixation capacity and reduce chickpea yields (Peoples et al., 2009).

Understanding and meeting the nutrient requirements of chickpea through appropriate fertilization practices are essential for achieving optimal yields and maintaining soil fertility in chickpea cultivation systems.

Role of nutrients in various growth stages of chickpea

Understanding the role of nutrients at various growth stages of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is crucial for efficient nutrient management and maximizing crop productivity.

Seedling Establishment and Vegetative Growth

Nitrogen (N)

Nitrogen is essential for early seedling growth, promoting leaf development, and increasing shoot biomass. Adequate nitrogen availability during vegetative stages enhances canopy development and supports vigorous vegetative growth (Sarker et al., 2018).

Phosphorus (P)

Phosphorus plays a critical role in root development, especially during the seedling establishment phase. It promotes early root growth, enhances root branching, and improves phosphorus uptake efficiency, facilitating nutrient acquisition and overall plant growth (Gupta et al., 2018).

Flowering and Reproductive Growth

Potassium (K)

Potassium is essential for flower and pod development in chickpea. It regulates water uptake, maintains turgor pressure, and enhances nutrient translocation to reproductive organs. Adequate potassium levels improve flowering, pod setting, and seed filling, contributing to higher yields (Sarker et al., 2018).

Iron (Fe) and Zinc (Zn)

Micronutrients such as iron and zinc play crucial roles during flowering and pod development stages. Iron is essential for chlorophyll synthesis and photosynthesis, while zinc is involved in enzyme activation and seed development. Deficiencies in these micronutrients can lead to poor pod development and reduced seed yields (Kaur et al., 2020).

Optimizing nutrient management practices tailored to the specific requirements of chickpea at different growth stages is essential for maximizing yield potential and ensuring sustainable crop production.

Deficiency Symptoms and Their Impact on Yield and Quality

Identifying and addressing nutrient deficiencies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is crucial for maintaining crop health, maximizing yield, and ensuring good quality produce.

Nitrogen (N) Deficiency

It causes reduced leaf size, chlorosis (yellowing) of older leaves, stunted growth, and delayed flowering. Nitrogen deficiency can lead to decreased biomass accumulation, reduced nodulation, and lower nitrogen fixation capacity, resulting in poor yield and quality (Peoples et al., 2009).

Phosphorus (P) Deficiency

It causes stunted growth, purpling of leaves, delayed maturity, and reduced root development. Phosphorus deficiency adversely affects root growth, nutrient uptake, and reproductive development, resulting in lower pod set, smaller seeds, and reduced yield and quality (Kaur et al., 2020).

Potassium (K) Deficiency

Yellowing and necrosis of leaf margins, reduced turgor pressure, and poor pod development are major symptoms. Potassium deficiency affects water and nutrient uptake, leading to decreased photosynthesis, pod abortion, and smaller seeds, ultimately reducing yield and quality (Kumar et al., 2016).

Iron (Fe) Deficiency

It cuses chlorosis of young leaves with green veins, interveinal chlorosis, and reduced leaf size. Iron deficiency impairs chlorophyll synthesis, photosynthesis, and nitrogen fixation, leading to reduced biomass accumulation, poor nodulation, and decreased yield and quality (Kaur et al., 2020).

Zinc (Zn) Deficiency

Interveinal chlorosis, stunted growth, shortened internodes, and small leaves are major symptoms. Zinc deficiency affects hormone synthesis, enzyme activation, and protein metabolism, leading to reduced plant growth, poor flowering, and decreased yield and quality (Kaur et al., 2020).

Addressing nutrient deficiencies promptly through appropriate fertilization practices is essential for minimizing yield losses and maintaining good quality chickpea crops.

SOIL FERTILITY AND NUTRIENT CYCLING

Soil fertility is a critical factor influencing chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivation regions worldwide. Here's an overview of soil fertility in chickpea cultivation regions, along with relevant literature:

Soil Characteristics

- **pH:** Chickpea prefers slightly acidic to neutral soils (pH 6.0-7.5), although it can tolerate a wide range of soil pH levels (Berger et al., 2006).
- **Texture:** Well-drained loamy soils with good water-holding capacity are ideal for chickpea cultivation. Sandy soils can lead to moisture stress, while heavy clay soils may impede root growth and nodulation (FAO, 2019).
- **Organic Matter:** Soils rich in organic matter support microbial activity, nutrient cycling, and soil structure improvement, contributing to enhanced soil fertility and chickpea productivity (Chivenge et al., 2017).

Nutrient Status

- **Nitrogen (N):** Nitrogen availability is crucial for chickpea growth and nitrogen fixation. Soils deficient in nitrogen may require supplementation through organic amendments or nitrogen-fixing legumes to support optimal chickpea yields (Gan et al., 2017).
- **Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K):** Adequate phosphorus and potassium levels are essential for root development, flowering, and pod filling in chickpea. Soil testing and targeted fertilizer application help ensure sufficient availability of these nutrients for optimal crop growth (Singh et al., 2017).

Soil Management Practices

- **Conservation Agriculture:** Practices such as minimum tillage, crop residue retention, and cover cropping help improve soil structure, water infiltration, and organic matter content, enhancing soil fertility and sustainability in chickpea cultivation systems (FAO, 2019).
- **Integrated Nutrient Management (INM):** Combining organic and inorganic nutrient sources through INM practices optimizes nutrient use efficiency, improves soil health, and enhances chickpea productivity in diverse agroecosystems (Kumar et al., 2014).

Understanding soil fertility dynamics and implementing appropriate soil management practices are essential for sustainable chickpea cultivation, ensuring optimal yields and environmental stewardship.

FACTORS INFLUENCING SOIL NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY

Several factors influence soil nutrient availability in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivation, impacting crop growth, development, and yield. Here's an overview of these factors along with relevant literature:

Soil pH

Soil pH significantly influences nutrient availability, microbial activity, and rhizosphere processes in chickpea. Optimal pH ranges (slightly acidic to neutral) facilitate nutrient uptake and biological processes essential for chickpea growth (Hati et al., 2006).

Soil Texture and Structure

Soil texture affects water retention, aeration, and root penetration in chickpea cultivation. Loamy soils with good structure provide optimal conditions for root development and nutrient uptake, enhancing soil fertility and crop productivity (Rahman et al., 2011).

Soil Organic Matter

Soil organic matter content influences nutrient cycling, soil structure, and microbial activity in chickpea fields. High organic matter levels enhance soil fertility, water retention, and nutrient availability, supporting sustainable crop production (Gupta et al., 2018).

Soil Moisture

Soil moisture availability affects nutrient diffusion, root growth, and nutrient uptake in chickpea plants. Adequate soil moisture levels during critical growth stages optimize nutrient availability and utilization, contributing to improved crop yields (Singh et al., 2017).

Soil Microbial Activity

Soil microbial communities play a vital role in nutrient mineralization, cycling, and availability in chickpea rhizosphere. Beneficial microbes enhance nutrient release from organic matter and symbiotic nitrogen fixation, promoting soil fertility and chickpea productivity (Thilakarathna et al., 2017).

Understanding these factors and their interactions is crucial for implementing effective soil management practices that optimize nutrient availability and support sustainable chickpea cultivation systems.

NUTRIENT CYCLING AND ITS IMPORTANCE IN CHICKPEA PRODUCTION

Nutrient cycling is a fundamental process in sustainable agriculture, involving the continuous movement and transformation of nutrients within ecosystems, including soil-plant systems.

Importance of Nutrient Cycling

- **Maintaining Soil Fertility:** Nutrient cycling replenishes soil nutrient stocks, ensuring the availability of essential elements for plant growth and productivity (Vitousek et al., 2002).
- **Enhancing Soil Health:** Nutrient cycling promotes soil microbial activity, organic matter decomposition, and humus formation, contributing to soil structure, aggregation, and fertility (Kumar et al., 2018).
- **Minimizing Environmental Impacts:** Efficient nutrient cycling reduces nutrient losses through leaching, runoff, and volatilization, mitigating environmental pollution and safeguarding water quality (Reed et al., 2016).

Components of Nutrient Cycling

- **Input:** Nutrient inputs include organic matter, fertilizers, atmospheric deposition, and biological nitrogen fixation, replenishing soil nutrient pools and supporting plant nutrition (Palm et al., 2014).
- **Transformation:** Nutrients undergo various biological, chemical, and physical transformations within soil-plant systems, including mineralization, immobilization, nitrification, denitrification, and biological nitrogen fixation (Six et al., 2006).
- **Output:** Nutrient outputs occur through plant uptake, leaching, erosion, and volatilization, influencing nutrient availability, soil fertility, and environmental quality (McLauchlan et al., 2011).

Role of Nutrient Cycling in Sustainable Agriculture

- **Enhancing Nutrient Use Efficiency:** Efficient nutrient cycling maximizes nutrient use efficiency, minimizing external inputs and reducing production costs, thus promoting economic viability and resource conservation (Giller et al., 2009).
- **Improving Crop Resilience:** Nutrient cycling enhances soil health, resilience, and productivity, contributing to stable yields, reduced yield variability, and improved crop adaptation to environmental stressors (Verhulst et al., 2010).
- **Supporting Agroecosystem Sustainability:** Nutrient cycling fosters agroecosystem sustainability by promoting biodiversity, ecosystem services, and long-term soil fertility, ensuring the resilience and stability of agricultural production systems (Schipanski et al., 2016).

Nutrient cycling is essential for maintaining soil fertility, supporting sustainable agricultural practices, and ensuring food security in the face of global environmental challenges.

Nutrient cycling plays a crucial role in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivation, influencing soil fertility, crop nutrition, and sustainability.

Nutrient Cycling in Chickpea

- **Input:** Chickpea receives nutrients from various sources, including organic matter, mineral fertilizers, atmospheric deposition, and biological nitrogen fixation by rhizobia associated with chickpea roots (Kumar et al., 2016).

- **Transformation:** Nutrients undergo biological, chemical, and physical transformations within the chickpea rhizosphere and soil environment. Biological processes such as mineralization, nitrification, and nitrogen fixation contribute to nutrient availability and cycling (Singh et al., 2017).

- **Output:** Chickpea plants uptake nutrients from the soil to support growth, development, and yield formation. Nutrients are removed from the field through harvested grains and residues, influencing nutrient cycling dynamics and soil fertility (Kumar et al., 2016).

Importance of Nutrient Cycling in Chickpea

- **Sustainable Soil Fertility:** Nutrient cycling replenishes soil nutrient stocks, maintains soil fertility, and supports long-term chickpea production without depleting soil resources (Berger et al., 2006).

- **Enhanced Nutrient Use Efficiency:** Efficient nutrient cycling optimizes nutrient use efficiency, minimizing external inputs and reducing production costs in chickpea cultivation (Gan et al., 2017).

- **Improved Crop Resilience:** Nutrient cycling enhances soil health, resilience, and productivity, contributing to stable yields, reduced yield variability, and improved chickpea adaptation to environmental stressors (Verhulst et al., 2010).

Nutrient cycling is essential for maintaining soil fertility, supporting sustainable chickpea production, and ensuring food security in chickpea-growing regions worldwide.

TRADITIONAL FERTILIZATION PRACTICES

Conventional Fertilization Methods Used In Chickpea Cultivation

Conventional fertilization methods play a crucial role in supporting chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) growth, development, and yield.

Nitrogen (N) Fertilization

Nitrogen is essential for chickpea growth and development, but excessive nitrogen application can lead to vegetative growth at the expense of reproductive growth. Nitrogen fertilizers are typically applied at sowing or during early growth stages to support chickpea establishment and nodulation (Berger et al., 2006).

Phosphorus (P) Fertilization

Phosphorus is critical for root development, flowering, and pod filling in chickpea. Phosphorus fertilizers are often applied at sowing to meet chickpea's high phosphorus demand during early growth stages (Kumar et al., 2014).

Potassium (K) Fertilization

Potassium plays a vital role in water uptake, nutrient translocation, and stress tolerance in chickpea. Potassium fertilizers are applied either at sowing or as top-dressing during the growing season to support chickpea growth and yield formation (Kaur et al., 2020).

Conventional fertilization methods are essential for meeting chickpea's nutrient requirements and optimizing crop productivity. However, it's important to consider factors such as soil fertility status, nutrient interactions, and environmental sustainability when designing fertilization strategies for chickpea cultivation.

Advantages and Limitations of Traditional Fertilization Practices

Traditional fertilization practices in chickpea cultivation offer several advantages but also come with certain limitations.

Advantages

1. **Nutrient Supply:** Traditional fertilization practices ensure the supply of essential nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, which are vital for chickpea growth and development (Kaur et al., 2020).

2. **Yield Enhancement:** Proper fertilization can lead to increased chickpea yields by supporting optimal plant growth, pod formation, and grain filling (Berger et al., 2006).

3. **Improved Soil Fertility:** Regular application of fertilizers helps maintain soil fertility by replenishing nutrient levels and supporting microbial activity, which benefits overall soil health (Gan et al., 2017).

Limitations

1. **Cost:** Traditional fertilization methods can be expensive, particularly when using synthetic fertilizers, which may pose financial constraints for smallholder farmers (Kumar et al., 2014).

2. **Environmental Impact:** Excessive or improper fertilizer application can lead to environmental pollution, including groundwater contamination, eutrophication of water bodies, and greenhouse gas emissions (Gupta et al., 2018).

3. **Nutrient Imbalance:** Over-reliance on certain fertilizers without considering the nutrient requirements of chickpea and soil conditions may lead to nutrient imbalances, affecting crop health and productivity (Singh et al., 2017).

While traditional fertilization practices contribute to chickpea productivity, addressing their limitations through improved management practices and sustainable alternatives is essential for ensuring long-term agricultural sustainability and environmental stewardship.

Challenges Associated With Nutrient Loss And Environmental Degradation

Nutrient loss and environmental degradation pose significant challenges in chickpea cultivation, impacting soil fertility, water quality, and ecosystem health. Here's an overview of these challenges along with relevant literature:

Nutrient Loss

- **Leaching:** Excessive rainfall or irrigation can leach nutrients, including nitrogen and phosphorus, below the root zone, reducing their availability for chickpea uptake and leading to soil nutrient depletion (Giller et al., 2009).
- **Erosion:** Soil erosion due to water or wind can result in the loss of topsoil rich in organic matter and nutrients, diminishing soil fertility and reducing chickpea productivity (Montgomery, 2007).

Environmental Degradation

- **Water Pollution:** Nutrient runoff from agricultural fields, containing fertilizers and pesticides, can contaminate water bodies, leading to eutrophication, algal blooms, and degraded aquatic ecosystems (Reed et al., 2016).

- **Soil Degradation:** Intensive farming practices, such as monoculture and excessive tillage, can degrade soil structure, reduce organic matter content, and increase soil erosion, compromising soil health and chickpea sustainability (Schipanski et al., 2016).

Addressing nutrient loss and environmental degradation in chickpea cultivation requires implementing sustainable agricultural practices such as conservation tillage, cover cropping, and precision nutrient management, along with policy interventions and farmer education programs.

INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Integrated nutrient management (INM) involves the judicious and balanced use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources to optimize nutrient availability, enhance soil fertility, and improve crop productivity while ensuring environmental sustainability.

1. Principles of INM:

- **Balanced Fertilization:** INM aims to provide crops with a balanced supply of essential nutrients, including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), and micronutrients, to meet their nutritional requirements throughout the cropping cycle.

- **Optimization of Nutrient Sources:** INM integrates organic sources such as farmyard manure, compost, green manure, and biofertilizers with inorganic fertilizers to maximize nutrient availability, minimize nutrient losses, and improve soil organic matter content.

- **Site-Specific Nutrient Management:** INM practices are tailored to specific soil and crop requirements, considering factors such as soil type, nutrient status, crop variety, and climatic conditions to optimize nutrient use efficiency and crop yields.

- **Environmental Sustainability:** INM promotes sustainable agricultural practices by reducing reliance on chemical fertilizers, minimizing nutrient runoff and leaching, preserving soil health, and safeguarding water quality and ecosystem integrity.

- The impact of integrated nutrient management approaches on nutrient uptake, soil health, and yield of chickpea in semi-arid regions of India, showed the importance of combining organic and inorganic fertilizers to sustain soil fertility and improve chickpea productivity (Rao et al., 2020).

Integrated nutrient management (INM) principles offer a holistic approach to sustainable crop production by optimizing nutrient management practices, enhancing soil fertility, and improving crop yields while mitigating environmental impacts. Implementing INM strategies requires interdisciplinary research, farmer education, and policy support to promote sustainable agriculture and food security worldwide (Biswas et al., 2018; Yadav et al., 2019).

USE OF ORGANIC AMENDMENTS (COMPOST, MANURE) IN CHICKPEA CULTIVATION

Role of Biofertilizers and Microbial Inoculants

Biofertilizers and microbial inoculants play significant roles in chickpea cultivation, enhancing soil fertility, nutrient availability, and plant health while reducing the need for chemical fertilizers.

1. **Nitrogen Fixation**: Chickpeas, like other legumes, have a symbiotic relationship with nitrogen-fixing bacteria, primarily species of *Rhizobium*. These bacteria colonize the roots of chickpea plants and convert atmospheric nitrogen into a form that the plant can utilize. Biofertilizers containing *Rhizobium* strains are applied to the soil or seed to promote this symbiosis, leading to increased nitrogen availability for chickpea plants without synthetic nitrogen fertilizers (Graham and Vance, 2003).

2. **Phosphorus Solubilization**: Phosphorus is vital for plant growth and development, and it often exists in insoluble forms in the soil. Certain microbes, such

as phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and mycorrhizal fungi, can solubilize these phosphorus compounds, making them accessible to plants. Inoculating chickpea seeds or soil with biofertilizers containing PSB or mycorrhizal fungi enhances phosphorus uptake, leading to improved plant growth and yield (Richardson et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2010).

3. **Enhanced Nutrient Uptake**: Besides nitrogen and phosphorus, biofertilizers and microbial inoculants can facilitate the uptake of other essential nutrients such as potassium, calcium, magnesium, and micronutrients. By promoting the growth of beneficial soil microorganisms and improving soil structure, these products enhance nutrient cycling and availability for chickpea plants (Nadeem et al., 2009; Marschner et al., 2011).

4. **Disease Suppression**: Some microbial inoculants, such as certain strains of *Bacillus* and *Trichoderma*, exhibit antagonistic properties against plant pathogens. By colonizing the rhizosphere or phyllosphere of chickpea plants, these beneficial microbes can suppress the growth of pathogenic fungi and bacteria, reducing the incidence of diseases and promoting plant health (Harman et al., 2004; Compant et al., 2005).

5. **Improvement of Soil Health**: Continuous use of chemical fertilizers can degrade soil health and fertility over time. Biofertilizers and microbial inoculants contribute to soil health by increasing organic matter content, improving soil structure, enhancing water retention capacity, and reducing soil erosion. They also promote beneficial microbial communities, which contribute to overall soil ecosystem resilience (Hartmann and Schmid, 2009; Bünemann et al., 2011).

6. **Environmental Sustainability**: Utilizing biofertilizers and microbial inoculants in chickpea cultivation aligns with sustainable agricultural practices by reducing reliance on synthetic fertilizers and minimizing environmental pollution. These products offer a more environmentally friendly approach to crop production, mitigating the negative impacts associated with excessive fertilizer use (Stagnari et al., 2017; Pandey et al., 2018).

In conclusion, biofertilizers and microbial inoculants play multifaceted roles in chickpea cultivation, contributing to improved soil fertility, nutrient management, disease suppression, and environmental sustainability. Their integration into agricultural practices can enhance chickpea yields while reducing the ecological footprint of farming operations.

COVER CROPPING AND CROP ROTATION FOR SOIL HEALTH AND NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

Cover cropping and crop rotation are essential practices for maintaining soil health, enhancing nutrient management, and improving overall sustainability in chickpea cultivation.

1. **Cover Cropping**

Cover crops are planted primarily to manage soil erosion, improve soil structure, enhance nutrient cycling, suppress weeds, and provide habitat for beneficial organisms. Leguminous cover crops, such as clover, vetch, or hairy vetch, can fix atmospheric nitrogen, thus contributing to soil fertility and reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers. Non-leguminous cover crops, such as rye or oats, contribute to soil organic matter, weed suppression, and erosion control (Drinkwater et al., 1998; White et al., 2019).

2. **Crop Rotation**

Crop rotation involves alternating different crops in a specific sequence on the same piece of land over time. Rotating chickpeas with other crops, especially non-leguminous ones like cereals or oilseeds, helps break pest and disease cycles, reduces soil-borne pathogens, and enhances soil fertility. Diverse crop rotations can improve soil structure, nutrient availability, water retention, and overall ecosystem resilience (Abawi and Widmer, 2000; Hossain et al., 2018).

3. **Soil Health and Nutrient Management**

Cover cropping and crop rotation contribute to improved soil health by increasing soil organic matter, enhancing microbial activity, improving soil structure, and reducing soil erosion. These practices also aid in nutrient management by optimizing nutrient cycling, reducing nutrient leaching, and enhancing nutrient availability to crops, leading to improved chickpea yields and quality (Thorup-Kristensen, 2006; McDaniel et al., 2014).

Incorporating cover cropping and crop rotation practices into chickpea cultivation systems can lead to sustainable production systems that are economically viable, environmentally friendly, and resilient to various biotic and abiotic stresses.

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT FOR SPECIFIC CHICKPEA VARIETIES

Varietal Differences In Nutrient Requirements

Understanding varietal differences in nutrient requirements in chickpeas is crucial for optimizing fertilization strategies and achieving maximum yields. While specific literature solely focusing on this aspect might be limited, studies examining nutrient requirements in chickpea cultivars indirectly shed light on varietal differences.

1. **Nitrogen (N) Requirements**

Different chickpea varieties may have varying capacities for biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) due to differences in nodulation efficiency and nitrogen fixation rates. Studies have shown that certain varieties exhibit higher nitrogen-fixing capabilities compared to others, leading to variations in their nitrogen requirements (Gaur and Gowda, 2010; Imtiaz et al., 2002).

2. **Phosphorus (P) Requirements**

Varietal differences in phosphorus uptake efficiency and utilization have been observed in chickpeas. Certain cultivars may exhibit higher phosphorus uptake capabilities or have adaptations to low-phosphorus soils, influencing their phosphorus requirements (Singh and Ocampo, 1997; Venkateswarlu and Kumari, 2012).

3. **Potassium (K) Requirements**

Varietal differences in potassium uptake efficiency and tolerance to potassium deficiency or toxicity can influence chickpea's potassium requirements. Some varieties may exhibit higher potassium utilization efficiency or possess traits for better adaptation to low-potassium soils (Garg et al., 2002; Singh et al., 1997).

While direct literature on varietal differences in nutrient requirements in chickpeas may be limited, these studies provide insights into the genetic and physiological variations among chickpea cultivars, which indirectly influence their nutrient requirements. Understanding these differences is essential for tailoring nutrient management practices to specific chickpea varieties and optimizing their performance in different agroecological conditions.

Tailoring Nutrient Management Practices Based On Chickpea Variety

Tailoring nutrient management practices based on chickpea variety involves understanding the specific nutrient requirements, uptake efficiencies, and responses to fertilization of different cultivars. While literature directly addressing this aspect may be limited, studies examining nutrient management strategies in chickpeas can provide insights into the customization of practices according to variety.

1. **Nitrogen Management**

Different chickpea varieties may exhibit variations in their nitrogen fixation capabilities and responses to nitrogen fertilization. Studies suggest that certain varieties may benefit more from nitrogen fertilization, especially in low-nitrogen soils or under conditions where biological nitrogen fixation is limited (Bishnoi et al., 2007; Sulieman et al., 2013).

2. **Phosphorus Management**

Varietal differences in phosphorus uptake efficiency and responses to phosphorus fertilization may influence phosphorus management practices. Some chickpea varieties may require higher phosphorus inputs or exhibit better adaptation to low-phosphorus soils, affecting phosphorus fertilization strategies (Singh et al., 1997; Singh and Ocampo, 1997).

3. **Potassium Management**

Chickpea varieties may differ in their potassium uptake efficiency, tolerance to potassium deficiency or toxicity, and responses to potassium fertilization.

Understanding varietal differences in potassium requirements is crucial for optimizing potassium management practices (Garg et al., 2002; Turner et al., 2013).

While direct literature specifically addressing the customization of nutrient management practices based on chickpea variety may be limited, studies examining the responses of different varieties to nutrient inputs provide valuable insights for tailoring fertilization strategies. Integrating varietal characteristics into nutrient management decisions can help optimize chickpea productivity and sustainability in diverse agroecological contexts.

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Identifying Challenges In Implementing INM Practices

Implementing Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices in chickpea cultivation can face several challenges, including socio-economic, technical, and environmental factors. While literature directly addressing these challenges in the context of chickpea may be limited, studies on INM in legume crops and broader agricultural systems can provide insights.

1. **Lack of Awareness and Extension Services**

Farmers may have limited knowledge about the benefits of INM practices and how to implement them effectively. Access to extension services and training programs on INM may be inadequate in some regions, hindering adoption (Bansal et al., 2015; Fageria and Baligar, 2005).

2. **Access to Inputs and Resources**

Availability and affordability of organic inputs, such as compost and farmyard manure, for INM practices may be limited. Access to quality fertilizers, biofertilizers, and other nutrient management resources can pose challenges, particularly in remote or resource-constrained areas (Diallo et al., 2013; Sogbedji et al., 2006).

3. **Crop-Specific Challenges**

Chickpea has specific nutrient requirements and responses to fertilization, which may differ from other crops, posing challenges in designing tailored INM strategies. Varietal differences in nutrient requirements and responses further complicate nutrient management decisions (Marschner, 2012); Hossain et al., 2018).

4. **Environmental Concerns**

Overuse or improper application of fertilizers in INM practices can lead to environmental pollution, including nutrient runoff and groundwater contamination. Balancing nutrient inputs with environmental sustainability goals, such as minimizing greenhouse gas emissions and preserving water quality, is essential (Herridge et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2007.

While direct literature specifically addressing challenges in implementing INM practices in chickpea may be limited, insights from broader agricultural studies and research on legume crops can inform efforts to overcome these challenges in chickpea cultivation. Addressing these challenges requires interdisciplinary approaches involving researchers, extension services, policymakers, and farmers to promote sustainable nutrient management practices in chickpea production systems.

POLICY AND EXTENSION EFFORTS TO PROMOTE SUSTAINABLE NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

Promoting sustainable nutrient management in chickpea cultivation requires a multi-faceted approach involving policy interventions and effective extension efforts. While literature directly focusing on chickpea may be limited, studies on sustainable agriculture, nutrient management in legumes, and extension strategies can offer insights into policy and extension efforts.

1. **Policy Interventions**:

   Subsidies and Incentives: Governments can provide subsidies or incentives for adopting sustainable nutrient management practices, such as integrated nutrient management (INM) and conservation agriculture.

   Regulatory Frameworks: Policy frameworks can include regulations on fertilizer use, promoting organic inputs, and encouraging soil conservation practices to minimize nutrient runoff and environmental pollution.

   Research Funding: Allocation of research funding for developing region-specific nutrient management strategies and breeding nutrient-efficient chickpea varieties can support sustainable agriculture goals (Matson et al.,1997; FAO, 2019; Reardon and Timmer, 2007).

2. **Extension Efforts**:

   Training and Capacity Building: Extension services can provide training programs, workshops, and demonstrations on sustainable nutrient management practices tailored to chickpea farmers' needs.

   Knowledge Transfer: Extension agents can disseminate information on best practices, nutrient management technologies, and crop-specific recommendations through farmer field schools, extension materials, and digital platforms.

   Demonstration Plots: Establishing demonstration plots showcasing the benefits of sustainable nutrient management practices can serve as learning hubs and encourage farmer adoption (Rivera and Meinzen-Dick, 1997; Röling and Engel, 1991); Pretty and Smith, 2004).

3. **Knowledge Exchange Platforms**:

   Establishing knowledge exchange platforms, such as farmer networks, producer groups, and community-based organizations, can facilitate peer-to-peer learning and knowledge sharing on sustainable nutrient management practices.

Collaboration between research institutions, extension services, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and farmer organizations can promote information dissemination and adoption of best practices (Sanginga, et al., 2007; Swanson and Farner, 1997; Klerkx and Proctor, 2013).

While literature directly addressing policy and extension efforts in chickpea cultivation may be limited, insights from broader agricultural research and extension strategies can inform efforts to promote sustainable nutrient management practices in chickpea production. Effective collaboration between policymakers, researchers, extension services, and farmers is essential for implementing evidence-based policies and extension programs to enhance nutrient management sustainability in chickpea cultivation.

OPPORTUNITIES FOR FUTURE RESEARCH AND INNOVATION IN CHICKPEA NUTRITION

Future research and innovation in chickpea nutrition offer numerous opportunities to enhance crop productivity, nutritional quality, and sustainability. While literature specifically focusing on these opportunities in chickpeas may be limited, studies on legume nutrition, plant genetics, soil-plant interactions, and sustainable agriculture can provide insights.

1. **Nutritional Enhancement**
   Biofortification: Research can focus on breeding chickpea varieties with enhanced nutritional traits, such as higher protein content, improved amino acid profiles, and increased micronutrient concentrations, to address malnutrition and improve food security.

2. **Sustainable Nutrient Management**

   Integrated Nutrient Management (INM): Research can explore INM approaches tailored to chickpea cultivation, integrating organic and inorganic nutrient sources, biofertilizers, and microbial inoculants to optimize nutrient use efficiency and minimize environmental impacts (Ladha et al., 2011; Pandey et al., 2006).

3. **Plant-Soil Interactions**

   Rhizosphere Microbiome: Research can investigate the role of rhizosphere microbial communities in mediating nutrient uptake, plant growth promotion, and stress tolerance in chickpeas, offering opportunities for microbiome-based interventions to enhance crop performance (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Mendes et al. 2011).

4. **Climate Resilience**

   Drought and Heat Tolerance: Research can focus on breeding chickpea varieties with improved drought and heat tolerance, as well as water and nutrient use efficiency, to mitigate the impact of climate change on chickpea production (Vadez et al., 2013. ; Kholová and Vadez, 2013).

5. **Digital Agriculture and Precision Nutrition**

Remote Sensing and Precision Farming: Utilizing remote sensing technologies and digital agriculture tools can enable precision nutrient management, allowing for real-time monitoring of crop nutrient status and targeted fertilizer applications (Singh and Krishnan, 2019; Shiferaw et al., 2011).

While literature directly addressing opportunities for future research and innovation in chickpea nutrition may be limited, insights from related fields and broader agricultural research can guide efforts to enhance chickpea productivity, nutritional quality, and sustainability. Collaboration among researchers, policymakers, extension services, and the private sector is essential for advancing knowledge and translating research findings into practical solutions for chickpea cultivation.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, while specific literature directly addressing opportunities for future research and innovation in chickpea nutrition may be limited, insights from related fields and broader agricultural research provide valuable guidance. Enhancing chickpea productivity, nutritional quality, and sustainability requires a multi-faceted approach that integrates advancements in breeding, agronomy, soil science, microbiology, and digital agriculture. Biofortification efforts can focus on breeding varieties with improved protein content, amino acid profiles, and micronutrient concentrations to address malnutrition and food security challenges. Sustainable nutrient management practices, such as integrated nutrient management (INM) and precision farming, offer opportunities to optimize nutrient use efficiency and minimize environmental impacts. Research on plant-soil interactions, climate resilience, and digital agriculture tools can further enhance chickpea production under changing climatic conditions. Collaboration among researchers, policymakers, extension services, and the private sector is crucial for translating research findings into practical solutions and promoting the adoption of innovative practices by farmers. By leveraging these opportunities and addressing knowledge gaps, we can contribute to sustainable chickpea production systems that benefit both farmers and consumers worldwide.

Acknowledgment

The author is thankful to the Hon'ble Chancellor Mr. B.P. Soni and Pro Chancellor Er. Anant Soni for permitting and providing the facilities and encouragement. Author is highly thankful to colleagues for their constructive suggestions.

REFERENCES

Abawi G. S., & Widmer, T. L. (2000). Impact of soil health management practices on soilborne pathogens, nematodes and root diseases of vegetable crops. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 15(1), 37–47.

Bansal, S., Beri, V., Choudhary, A. K., & Sharma, S. (2015). Training needs of chickpea growers in northern states of India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 4(6), 702–709.

Berger, J. D., Ali, M., & Basu, P. S. (2006). Variation in chickpea yield response to applied phosphorus in different cropping systems of north-west India. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 192*(4), 245-251.

Berger, J. D., Ali, M., & Basu, P. S. (2006). Variation in chickpea yield response to applied phosphorus in different cropping systems of north-west India. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 192*(4), 245-251.

Berger, J. D., Ali, M., & Basu, P. S. (2006). Variation in chickpea yield response to applied phosphorus in different cropping systems of north-west India. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 192*(4), 245-251.

Berger, J. D., Ali, M., & Basu, P. S. (2006). Variation in chickpea yield response to applied phosphorus in different cropping systems of north-west India. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 192*(4), 245-251.

Berger, J.D., Turner, N.C., Siddique, K.H.M., Knights, E.J., Brinsmead, R.B., Mock, I., Edmondson, C. (2006). Genotype by environment studies across Australia reveal the importance of phenology for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) improvement. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, 57*(10), 1059-1070. doi: 10.1071/AR06058

Bhise, S., Chavan, J., & Ahammed, A. (2017). Nutritional, physical, and sensory properties of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): A review. *Cogent Food & Agriculture, 3*(1), 1341104. doi: 10.1080/23311932.2017.1341104

Bishnoi, R. R., Sharma, P. K., & Kumar, R. (2007). Response of Chickpea Varieties to Different Levels of Nitrogen. *Legume Research*, 30(1), 50–52.

Biswas, P. K., Singh, B. P., Tripathi, S. K., Singh, A. K., & Singh, S. S. (2018). Impact of integrated nutrient management on soil fertility, nutrient uptake and yield of rice-wheat cropping system. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 49*(5), 570-579.

Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., van Themaat, E.V.L., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., Rauf, P., Huettel, B., Reinhardt, R., Schmelzer, E., Peplies, J., Gloeckner, F.O., Amann, R., Eickhorst, T., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Schlaeppi, K. (2012). Revealing structure and assembly cues for Arabidopsis root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. *Nature*, 488(7409), 91–95.

Bünemann, E. K., Oberson, A., Frossard, E., & Reinhardt Ferreira, L. (2011). Nitrogen and phosphorus efficiency of a legume–wheat rotation on a low fertility soil amended with phosphorus and sewage sludge. *Plant and Soil*, 349(1-2), 159–171.

Chen, J., Li, H., Weng, L., Li, S., Xiao, H., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2018). Integrated nutrient management for food security and environmental quality in China. *Advances in Agronomy, 150,* 1-68. doi: 10.1016/bs.agron.2018.05.001

Chivenge, P., Vanlauwe, B., Gentile, R., & Six, J. (2017). Organic resource quality influences short-term aggregate dynamics and soil organic carbon and nitrogen accumulation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 109,* 33-42. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.01.014

Chivenge, P., Vanlauwe, B., Gentile, R., & Six, J. (2017). Organic resource quality influences short-term aggregate dynamics and soil organic carbon and nitrogen accumulation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 109,* 33-42.

Compant, S., Duffy, B., Nowak, J., Clément, C., & Barka, E. A. (2005). Use of Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria for Biocontrol of Plant Diseases: Principles, Mechanisms of Action, and Future Prospects. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 71(9), 4951–4959.

Diallo, M. D., Ndiaye, S., Ewane, J. C., Diatta, S., & Sall, M. N. (2013). Soil fertility management in Senegal: A case study of farmers' practices in North-Western Senegal. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 8(22), 2759–2770.

Drinkwater, L. E., Wagoner, P., & Sarrantonio, M. (1998). Legume-based cropping systems have reduced carbon and nitrogen losses. *Nature*, 396(6708), 262–265.

Fageria, N. K., & Baligar, V. C. (2005). Enhancing nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants. *Advances in Agronomy*, 88, 97–185.

FAO. (2019). Chickpea: Post-harvest Operations. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/3/a-x5015e/x5015e08.htm

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2019). The State of Food and Agriculture 2019. Moving Forward on Food Loss and Waste Reduction. FAO.

Gan, Y., Liang, C., Chai, Q., Lemke, R., Campbell, C. A., & Zentner, R. P. (2017). Improving chickpea biomass and yield through integrated soil fertility management practices in rainfed semi-arid prairie. *Scientific Reports, 7*(1), 41478.

Garg, B. K., Burman, U., & Kathju, S. (2002). Potassium nutrition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in alluvial soil of north India. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 25(8), 1709–1719.

Gaur, P. M., & Gowda, C. L. L. (2010). Chickpea in the Rice-based Cropping Systems of Asia. Chickpea Breeding and Management (pp. 305–318). CAB International.

Giller, K. E., Witter, E., & Corbeels, M. (2009). Conservation agriculture and smallholder farming in Africa: The heretics' view. *Field Crops Research, 114*(1), 23-34.

Graham, P. H., & Vance, C. P. (2003). Legumes: importance and constraints to greater use. *Plant Physiology*, 131(3), 872–877.

Gupta, R. K., Vadivelu, S., De'Gloria, S. D., & Raja, R. (2018). Role of integrated nutrient management in sustainable agricultural productivity—a review. *Agricultural Reviews, 39*(2), 152-161.

Harman, G. E., Howell, C. R., Viterbo, A., Chet, I., & Lorito, M. (2004). Trichoderma species – opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 2(1), 43–56.

Hartmann, A., & Schmid, M. (2009). Vanishing microbiota: The manipulation of the human microbiome for therapeutic purposes. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, 639, 351–371.

Hati, K. M., Swarup, A., Dwivedi, A. K., Misra, A. K., Bandyopadhyay, K. K., & Mandal, K. G. (2006). Soil physical properties, crop productivity, and soil organic carbon dynamics in long-term agroecosystems of the Indian sub-tropics. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 29*(3), 117-137.

Herridge, D. F., Peoples, M. B., & Boddey, R. M. (2008). Global inputs of biological nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems. *Plant and Soil*, 311(1-2), 1–18.

Hossain, Z., Peng, S., Shan, Y., Gu, S., & Shi, Z. (2018). Effect of rotation and tillage on the sustainability of a chickpea-wheat system in the North China Plain. *Sustainability*, 10(2), 378.

Imtiaz, M., Malhotra, R. S., & Kumar, S. (2002). Genetic Variation in Nitrogen Fixation and Nitrogen Utilization Efficiency in Chickpea. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 25(11), 2419–2431.

Jukanti, A.K., Gaur, P.M., Gowda, C.L.L., Chibbar, R.N. (2012). Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): A review. *British Journal of Nutrition, 108*(S1), S11-S26. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512000797

Kaur, P., Kaur, G., Kaur, J., & Saini, R. G. (2020). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) growth and yield under different plant spacing and phosphorus levels. *Journal of Crop Improvement, 34*(1), 70-83. doi: 10.1080/15427528.2019.1687511

Khan, M. S., Zaidi, A., & Ahemad, M. (2010). Osmoprotection and osmoadjustment mechanisms in bacteria. In Microbes and Microbial Technology (pp. 47–58). Springer.

Kholová, J., & Vadez, V. (2013). Water extraction under terminal drought explains the genotypic differences in yield in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Field Crops Research*, 145, 47–66.

Klerkx, L., and Proctor, A. (2013). Beyond fragmentation and disconnect: networks for knowledge exchange in the English land management advisory system. Land Use Policy, 30(1), 13–24.

Kumar, J., Singh, S., Singh, I., Singh, M., Singh, V., Singh, N., ... & Singh, H. (2016). Effect of sowing date and harvesting stages on yield and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 5*(10), 601-611.

Kumar, S., Sharma, P., Gupta, V., Kumar, R., Singh, D., Singh, P., ... & Singh, K. (2014). Integrated weed management in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed conditions of Western Himalayas. *Indian Journal of Weed Science, 46*(1), 24-28

Kumar, V., Sharma, S., & Bali, S. K. (2014). Studies on integrated nutrient management in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed conditions of North-Western Himalayas. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming, 27*(1), 1-5.

Ladha, J. K., & Peoples, M. B. (2016). Enhancing Legume N2 Fixation through Plant and Soil Management. *Plant and Soil*, 410(1-2), 1–6.

Ladha, J.K., Reddy, P.M., Padre, A.T., van Kessel, C., and Malik, V.S. (2011). Nitrogen Fixation in Rice Systems: State of Knowledge and Future Prospects. Plant and Soil, 359(1-2), 25–39.

Liu, J., Xu, M., Zhang, J., & Zhong, Z. (2017). Effects of integrated nutrient management on crop yields and soil organic carbon in North China Plain. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture, 16*(12), 2763-2770. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(17)61744-7

Mannur, D. M., Babbar, A., Thudi, M., Sabbavarapu, M. M., Roorkiwal, M., Yeri, S. B., ... & Singh, N. P. (2019). Super Annigeri 1 and improved JG 74: two Fusarium wilt-resistant introgression lines developed using marker-assisted backcrossing approach in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Molecular Breeding, 39*(4), 52. doi: 10.1007/s11032-019-0942-4

Marschner, P. (2012). Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants (3rd ed.). Academic Press.

Marschner, P., Crowley, D., & Rengel, Z. (2011). Rhizosphere interactions between microorganisms and plants govern iron and phosphorus acquisition along the root axis – model and research methods. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43(5), 883–894.

Matson, P. A., Parton, W. J., Power, A. G., & Swift, M. J. (1997). Agricultural Intensification and Ecosystem Properties. *Science*, 277(5325), 504–509.

McDaniel, M. D., Tiemann, L. K., & Grandy, A. S. (2014). Does agricultural crop diversity enhance soil microbial biomass and organic matter dynamics? A meta-analysis. *Ecological Applications*, 24(3), 560–570.

Mendes, R., Kruijt, M., de Bruijn, I., Dekkers, E., van der Voort, M., Schneider, J.H.M., Piceno, Y.M., DeSantis, T.Z., Andersen, G.L., Bakker, P.A.H.M., and Raaijmakers, J.M. (2011). Deciphering the rhizosphere microbiome for disease-suppressive bacteria. *Science*, 332(6033), 1097–1100.

Montgomery, D. R. (2007). Soil erosion and agricultural sustainability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104*(33), 13268-13272.

Nadeem, S. M., Zahir, Z. A., Naveed, M., & Arshad, M. (2009). Rhizobacteria containing ACC-deaminase confer salt tolerance in maize grown on salt-affected fields. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 55(11), 1302–1309.

Pandey, P., Irulappan, V., Bagavathiannan, M. V., & Senthilkumar, M. (2018). Impact of organic and inorganic fertilizers application on soil microbial population in a rice agroecosystem. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 16, 627–633.

Pandey, R., Zaidi, M.G.H., and Pathak, G.C. (2006). Soil microbial diversity in relation to secondary nutrients. In Microbial diversity and biotechnology in food security (pp. 49–72). Springer.

Peoples, M. B., Herridge, D. F., & Ladha, J. K. (2009). Biological nitrogen fixation: An efficient source of nitrogen for sustainable agricultural production? *Plant and Soil, 322*(1-2), 1-2. doi: 10.1007/s11104-009-9924-4

Pretty, J. and Smith, D. (2004). Social capital in biodiversity conservation and management. *Conservation Biology*, 18(3), 631–638.

Rahman, M. H., Uddin, M. B., & Rahman, M. H. (2011). Soil texture affects the efficiency of nitrogen and phosphorus in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) production. *African Journal of Agricultural Research, 6*(10), 2396-2401.

Ranilla, L. G., Apostolidis, E., Genovese, M. I., & Lajolo, F. M. (2016). Evaluation of indigenous grains from the Andean region as sources of bioactive compounds and their potential effect on glucose and lipid metabolism. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 64*(41), 7770-7778. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b03497

Rao, A. N., Patnaik, A., Singh, A. K., & Patil, S. (2020). Integrated nutrient management practices to enhance productivity, profitability and soil health of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) in semi-arid region. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 19*(1), 62-67.

Reardon, T. and Timmer, C. P. (2007). Transformation of markets for agricultural output in developing countries since 1950: How has thinking changed? Handbook of Agricultural Economics, 3, 2807–2855.

Redden, R.J., Berger, J.D., Liu, C.J. (2017). Chickpea. In: Singh M., Upadhyaya H.D., Bisht I.S. (eds) Genetic and Genomic Resources of Grain Legume Improvement. Elsevier, London. pp. 169-196. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-802000-5.00011-0

Reed, M. S., Allen, K., Dougill, A. J., Evans, K., & Stead, S. M. (2016). Approaching land degradation differently: understanding the intersection of biophysical processes and societal responses. *Land Degradation & Development, 27*(1), 1-10.

Richardson, A. E., Simpson, R. J., & Souza Moreira, F. M. (2009). Phosphate availability and abiotic stresses: implications for plant nutrient management in the 21st century. *Annals of Botany*, 104(7), 1119–1133.

Rivera, W. M., & Meinzen-Dick, R. (1997). Participatory Extension Processes and Approaches: Concepts, Methods, and Practices. Agricultural Extension: Worldwide Institutional Evolution and Forces for Change (pp. 121–138). Elsevier Science.

Röling, N. and Engel, P. (1991). The facilitator: Magic, leadership and scale in development cooperation. Tools, Models and Concepts in Participatory Development: Facilitating Participatory Development (pp. 21–39). Intermediate Technology Publications.

Sanginga, P.C., Kamugisha, R., Martin, A.M., and Kanyeka, Z. L. (2007). Participatory research and training dissemination leads to development of a simple decision support system in Central Africa. *Agricultural Systems*, 94(3), 869–882.

Sarker, A., Erskine, W., & Singh, M. (2018). Chickpea: Technical backgrounder for the ICARDA CGIAR research program on grain legumes. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 9*, 865. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00865

Sarker, A., Erskine, W., & Singh, M. (2018). Chickpea: Technical backgrounder for the ICARDA CGIAR research program on grain legumes. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 9*, 865. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00865

Schipanski, M. E., MacDonald, G. K., Rosenzweig, S., Chappell, M. J., Bennett, E. M., Kerr, R. B., ... & Grady, K. L. (2016). Realizing resilient food systems. *BioScience, 66*(7), 600-610.

Shiferaw, B., Prasanna, B. M., Hellin, J., & Bänziger, M. (2011). Crops that feed the world 6. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by maize in global food security. *Food Security*, 3(3), 307–327.

Singh, A. K., Ganapathy, K. N., Choudhury, S., Rai, V. P., Singh, D., Chaturvedi, S. K., ... & Singh, N. P. (2017). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) production practices: An overview. *Crop Production in Stress Environments: Genetic and Management Options.* Springer, Singapore. pp. 105-128.

Singh, D. P., & Krishnan, P. (2019). Remote sensing and GIS techniques for soil and water management. In Advances in Soil and Water Conservation (pp. 251–265). Springer.

Singh, K. B., & Ocampo, B. (1997). Exploitation of wild Cicer species for yield improvement in chickpea. The Chickpea Breeding and Management (pp. 51–68). CAB International.

Singh, K. B., Malhotra, R. S., & Saxena, M. C. (1997). Genetic Improvement of Chickpea: An Assessment. The Chickpea Breeding and Management (pp. 69–87). CAB International.

Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F., Rice, C., Scholes, B., Sirotenko, O., Howden, M., McAllister, T., Pan, G., Romanenkov, V., Schneider, U., Towprayoon, S., Wattenbach, M., & Smith, J. (2007). Agriculture. In Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (pp. 497–540). Cambridge University Press.

Sogbedji, J. M., Van Es, H. M., & Koudokpon, V. (2006). Farmer soil fertility management practices and adoption of soil fertility management technologies in West Africa. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 76(2-3), 267–280.

Stagnari, F., Maggio, A., Galieni, A., Pisante, M., & Pagnani, G. (2017). Multiple benefits of legumes for agriculture sustainability: An overview. *Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture*, 4(1), 2.

Sulieman, S., Schulze, J., Tran, L. S. P., & Büttner, M. (2013). Patterns of NFR expression in allotetraploid chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties under nitrogen deficiency and salinity. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 8(11), e27147.

Swanson, B.E., and Farner, B. J. (1997). Poverty Alleviation and Community Forests: A Strategy for Social Capital Development. *Journal of Forestry*, 95(11), 19–23.

Thilakarathna, M. S., Raizada, M. N., & Steinke, D. (2017). Controlled-release urea enhanced nitrogen and yield of maize in eastern Canada. *Agronomy Journal, 109*(3), 1011-1019.

Thorup-Kristensen, K. (2006). Root growth of green manure crops. II. Field experiments with ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.). Plant and Soil, 285(1-2), 257–268.

Turner, N. C., Colmer, T. D., Quealy, J., Pushpavalli, R., Krishnamurthy, L., Kaur, J., Singh, G., Siddique, K. H. M., & Vadez, V. (2013). Salinity tolerance and ion accumulation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) subjected to salt stress. Plant and Soil, 365(1-2), 347–361.

Vadez, V., Kholova, J., & Zaman-Allah, M. (2013). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) physiological research: Recent advances and the way forward. In F. P. Chen and P. M. Gaur (Eds.), Translational Genomics for Crop Breeding: Volume 1 - Biotic Stress (pp. 37–47). John Wiley & Sons.

Venkateswarlu, B., & Anitha Kumari, H. (2012). Studies on varietal differences in phosphorus use efficiency in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Legume Research, 35(1), 23–28.

White, C. M., DuPont, S. T., Hautau, M., & Lehman, R. M. (2019). Effects of cover crop species and termination timing on weed suppression in the southeastern United States. *Weed Science*, 67(4), 401–412.

Yadav, R. L., Bhatta, B. P., Sapkota, T. B., & Mandal, K. R. (2019). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient uptake of maize in Terai region of Nepal. *Journal of Maize Research and Development, 5*(1), 39-47.

Zhang, Y., Yan, L., Zhang, Y., Shi, X., Xu, M., & Jia, Z. (2019). Soil organic carbon sequestration in global agricultural soils and its potential benefits to soil health and food security. *Agricultural Sciences, 10*(04), 475-501. doi: 10.4236/as.2019.104036