ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

CLIMATE-RESILIENT PHOTOSYNTHESIS: ADAPTIVE MECHANISMS OF C4 AND CAM PLANTS UNDER EXTREME ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Dr. Dimraj Y Bawankar
Assisstant Professor, Department of Botany, Late N. P. W. College Chopa

Abstract

Climate change poses a profound challenge to plant productivity, particularly in ecosystems that experience extreme environmental fluctuations such as arid, semi-arid, and tropical regions. Water scarcity, elevated temperatures, and variable atmospheric CO2 levels have a direct impact on photosynthetic efficiency, crop yields, and global food security. In this context, plants that have evolved specialized photosynthetic pathways—namely C4 and Crassulacean Acid Metabolism (CAM)—offer critical insights into adaptation and resilience. These two pathways serve as evolutionary innovations that mitigate the inefficiencies of the conventional C3 pathway, particularly the issue of photorespiration, which is exacerbated under high heat and drought.

C4 photosynthesis achieves this by spatially separating initial CO2 fixation from the Calvin cycle through the development of Kranz anatomy and a unique biochemical mechanism. CAM photosynthesis, in contrast, employs a temporal strategy where carbon fixation occurs at night, allowing plants to minimize water loss while maintaining photosynthetic activity during the day. Both pathways are supported by intricate molecular networks, anatomical adaptations, and enzymatic regulations, making them highly efficient in carbon assimilation and water use under adverse conditions. This paper explores the physiology, anatomy, biochemistry, genetics, ecology, and potential agricultural applications of C4 and CAM plants, while also addressing the challenges and future prospects of incorporating these traits into conventional C3 crops.

By synthesizing insights from multiple disciplines, the study aims to contribute to the development of climate-resilient agricultural systems and to broaden the understanding of how nature's photosynthetic innovations can be harnessed for a sustainable future.

Keywords: Photosynthesis, C4 plants, CAM plants, drought tolerance, photorespiration, carbon fixation, water-use efficiency, Kranz anatomy, CO2 concentration, climate resilience

Introduction

As the global climate continues to change at an unprecedented pace, driven primarily by anthropogenic emissions and land-use changes, the resilience of plant systems to extreme environmental conditions has emerged as a central concern in biological and agricultural research. Rising atmospheric temperatures, irregular precipitation patterns, elevated CO2 levels, and increased frequency of drought events are expected to severely impair crop productivity, especially in tropical and subtropical regions. One of the most critical factors influencing plant productivity under these stressful conditions is the efficiency of

photosynthesis—the fundamental biochemical process through which plants convert solar energy into chemical energy and assimilate atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2).

The majority of terrestrial plants utilize the C3 pathway for photosynthesis, which directly fixes CO2 through the enzyme ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO). However, RuBisCO has a dual affinity for both CO2 and O2. Under conditions of high temperature and low CO2 concentration, its oxygenase activity becomes dominant, leading to photorespiration—a wasteful process that results in the loss of previously fixed carbon and energy. This inefficiency severely constrains plant growth and productivity in hot and dry environments.

In contrast, certain plant species have evolved two alternative photosynthetic mechanisms—C4 and Crassulacean Acid Metabolism (CAM)—that significantly reduce photorespiration and improve water-use efficiency. C4 photosynthesis, found in species such as maize, sorghum, and sugarcane, spatially separates the initial CO2 fixation from the Calvin cycle via specialized leaf anatomy known as Kranz anatomy. CAM photosynthesis, prevalent in desert-adapted species such as cacti, agaves, and some orchids, temporally separates these processes, allowing CO2 uptake at night when water loss through transpiration is minimal.

These adaptations are not merely physiological but are supported by complex anatomical structures, biochemical pathways, and gene regulatory networks. Moreover, the independent evolution of C4 and CAM pathways in multiple plant lineages underscores their adaptive significance and offers valuable templates for crop improvement in the face of climate uncertainty.

This research paper delves into the physiological, anatomical, biochemical, molecular, ecological, and agronomic aspects of C4 and CAM photosynthesis. It also discusses current efforts in plant biotechnology aimed at transferring these traits into C3 crops, thereby offering new avenues for enhancing crop resilience to environmental stress. Through this multidisciplinary approach, the paper contributes to the ongoing quest for sustainable and climate-resilient agricultural systems.

1. Physiological Adaptations of C4 and CAM Plants

C4 and CAM plants represent two evolutionary strategies for optimizing photosynthesis under environmental constraints such as drought, high light intensity, and extreme temperatures. The physiological mechanisms underlying their success in such environments provide insight into potential strategies for developing climate-resilient crops.

In C4 plants, one of the most critical physiological adaptations is the development of Kranz anatomy. This structure allows the spatial separation of carbon fixation and the Calvin cycle. Mesophyll cells initially fix atmospheric CO2 into a four-carbon compound (usually oxaloacetate) via the enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), which has a much higher affinity for CO2 than RuBisCO and does not catalyze oxygenation reactions. The four-carbon compound is then transported to bundle sheath cells, where it is decarboxylated to

release CO2 in high concentrations around RuBisCO, effectively reducing photorespiration. This mechanism is particularly advantageous in high-temperature environments where oxygenase activity of RuBisCO would otherwise predominate.

In CAM plants, the primary physiological adaptation lies in temporal separation. CAM plants open their stomata at night to take in CO2, minimizing water loss through transpiration. The absorbed CO2 is converted into malate and stored in vacuoles as malic acid. During daylight hours, stomata remain closed, and the stored CO2 is released from malate for use in the Calvin cycle. This strategy allows CAM plants to survive in extremely arid conditions where daytime water loss would be detrimental.

Another key physiological trait of both C4 and CAM plants is their high water-use efficiency (WUE). C4 plants exhibit higher WUE than C3 plants because they can maintain high photosynthetic rates at lower stomatal conductance, reducing water loss. CAM plants, by fixing CO2 at night, achieve even greater WUE, making them ideal models for survival in deserts and other water-limited environments.

Furthermore, both C4 and CAM plants show enhanced photoprotection mechanisms. They exhibit higher levels of antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, and peroxidases, which protect photosynthetic machinery from oxidative stress induced by high light intensity. This capacity to detoxify reactive oxygen species (ROS) is critical in maintaining photosynthetic integrity under environmental stress.

Recent studies have also demonstrated that C4 and CAM plants adjust their stomatal density, leaf surface wax composition, and cuticle thickness to optimize gas exchange and reduce water loss. These changes are often induced by prolonged exposure to drought or heat stress, indicating phenotypic plasticity that further enhances resilience.

Table 1 below compares the key physiological features of C3, C4, and CAM photosynthesis:

Feature	C3 Plants	C4 Plants	CAM Plants
CO2 Fixation Mechanism	RuBisCO (direct)	PEPC in mesophyll cells	PEPC at night
Photorespiration	High	Low	Very Low
Water-Use Efficiency (WUE)	Low	Moderate to High	Very High
Stomatal Activity	Day	Day	Night
Habitat Preference	Cool, moist	Hot, sunny	Arid, dry

2. Anatomical and Structural Adaptations Supporting Photosynthetic Efficiency

Anatomical adaptations are pivotal to the enhanced photosynthetic efficiency and climate resilience observed in C4 and CAM plants. These structural features provide the foundation for spatial and temporal separation of biochemical processes, optimize internal CO2 concentration, and contribute to improved water conservation and heat resistance.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

A hallmark of C4 plant anatomy is the presence of Kranz anatomy, a distinct leaf structure in which bundle sheath cells surround the vascular bundles and are themselves surrounded by mesophyll cells. This arrangement enables the spatial separation of the initial CO2 fixation and the Calvin cycle. The mesophyll cells capture atmospheric CO2 using PEPC, forming a four-carbon compound that is then transported to bundle sheath cells. These cells are rich in RuBisCO and carry out the Calvin cycle in a high-CO2 environment, reducing oxygenation reactions and photorespiration. This structural adaptation is particularly beneficial in hot and dry climates, where photorespiration would otherwise be energetically costly.

In addition to Kranz anatomy, C4 leaves exhibit a high vein density, which supports efficient transport of metabolites between mesophyll and bundle sheath cells. High vein density also facilitates effective hydraulic conductivity, ensuring that water and nutrients are efficiently delivered to photosynthetically active cells, thus promoting high rates of carbon fixation under heat stress.

CAM plants, on the other hand, display anatomical features optimized for nocturnal gas exchange and daytime water retention. These plants typically possess thick, fleshy leaves or stems, which serve as storage organs for water and malic acid. The large vacuoles in CAM cells store malic acid formed during nocturnal CO2 fixation. The increased cell volume and water-holding capacity buffer against dehydration, an essential trait for desert survival.

The cuticle of CAM plants is often exceptionally thick and impregnated with waxes, further reducing transpirational water loss. Their stomata are fewer in number and are often sunken into the leaf surface to limit exposure to hot, dry air. This adaptation is consistent with their nocturnal stomatal opening, as they avoid the high evaporative demand of daytime.

Both C4 and CAM plants also demonstrate chloroplast dimorphism. In C4 plants, chloroplasts in bundle sheath cells are typically larger, have fewer grana, and are specialized for the Calvin cycle, while mesophyll chloroplasts contain well-developed grana and are active in light reactions. This differentiation enhances metabolic compartmentalization and ensures efficient division of labor between cell types. In CAM plants, chloroplasts may differ based on diel cycles, with metabolic activities being phase-specific to day or night.

The thickened epidermal layers, reduced intercellular air spaces, and presence of trichomes in many C4 and CAM species further aid in water retention and heat avoidance. These features reduce the rate of transpiration and reflect excessive solar radiation, maintaining leaf temperature within optimal physiological limits.

Diagram 1 illustrates the Kranz anatomy characteristic of C4 leaves, while Diagram 2 depicts the cross-section of a typical CAM succulent leaf, highlighting the vacuolated cells and thick cuticle.

Feature	C3 Plants	C4 Plants	CAM Plants
Leaf Anatomy	Uniform	Kranz Anatomy	Fleshy, succulent
Vein Density	Low	High	Moderate

Chloroplast Distribution	Uniform	Dimorphic (mesophyll & BS)	Single type, phase-regulated
Cuticle Thickness	Thin	Moderate	Thick, waxy
Stomatal Position	Surface level	Surface level	Sunken or cryptic

3. Biochemical and Enzymatic Mechanisms of Carbon Fixation in C4 and CAM Plants

The hallmark of both C4 and CAM photosynthesis lies in their distinct enzymatic processes that enable efficient carbon fixation under extreme environmental conditions. Unlike C3 plants, which rely solely on RuBisCO for carbon fixation and are thus prone to photorespiration, C4 and CAM plants have evolved additional biochemical mechanisms to concentrate CO2 around RuBisCO, thereby enhancing photosynthetic efficiency and reducing energy loss.

In C4 plants, the process of carbon fixation begins in the mesophyll cells where phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) catalyzes the combination of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) with bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) to form oxaloacetate (OAA), a four-carbon intermediate. OAA is then converted into malate or aspartate and transported into the bundle sheath cells. Inside these cells, malate is decarboxylated by enzymes such as NADP-malic enzyme (NADP-ME), NAD-malic enzyme (NAD-ME), or PEP carboxykinase (PEP-CK), depending on the subtype of the C4 pathway. The released CO2 is then used by RuBisCO in the Calvin cycle. This CO2-concentrating mechanism greatly reduces the oxygenation reaction of RuBisCO, minimizing photorespiration and increasing photosynthetic productivity.

CAM plants follow a different temporal pattern for carbon fixation. During the night, when stomata are open, CO2 enters the cells and is fixed by PEPC into OAA, which is subsequently converted into malate and stored in vacuoles as malic acid. During the daytime, stomata close to conserve water, and the stored malic acid is decarboxylated to release CO2, which then enters the Calvin cycle. The enzyme responsible for decarboxylation varies but often includes malic enzyme or PEP-CK. This nocturnal CO2 fixation and storage allow CAM plants to maintain photosynthesis even when stomata are closed during the day.

An important feature in both C4 and CAM plants is the differential regulation of PEPC and its associated kinases and phosphatases. These regulatory proteins modulate PEPC activity based on diurnal cycles, metabolic demand, and environmental stress. For instance, PEPC kinase is upregulated at night in CAM plants, aligning enzyme activity with stomatal opening.

The compartmentalization of metabolic processes in different cells (C4) or times (CAM) is supported by efficient transport systems. Specific transport proteins located in the plasma membranes and tonoplasts regulate the movement of metabolites such as malate, aspartate, and pyruvate. The orchestration of these transport and enzymatic activities is key to maintaining high internal CO2 levels around RuBisCO.

Figure 1 and Figure 2 (not shown) compare the enzymatic cycles in C4 and CAM pathways, demonstrating the unique temporal and spatial organization that characterizes these photosynthetic types.

Enzyme/Intermediate	C3 Pathway	C4 Pathway	CAM Pathway
Initial CO2 Fixation	RuBisCO	PEPC in mesophyll cells	PEPC at night
Four-Carbon Compound	None	Oxaloacetate → Malate/Aspartate	Oxaloacetate → Malate (at night)
Decarboxylation Site	N/A	Bundle sheath cells	Cytoplasm or mitochondria (day)
Temporal Separation	No	No	Yes

These enzymatic innovations not only enhance photosynthetic performance but also equip C4 and CAM plants to survive in environments where C3 photosynthesis would be inefficient. The engineering of PEPC and related enzymes into C3 crops holds promise for developing climate-resilient agriculture.

4. Molecular and Genetic Regulation of C4 and CAM Pathways

Molecular and genetic regulation plays a foundational role in the development and function of C4 and CAM photosynthetic mechanisms. The activation and suppression of specific gene sets, the presence of regulatory sequences, and the evolution of transcriptional networks have all contributed to the divergence of these pathways from the ancestral C3 type.

In C4 plants, recent research has identified a suite of genes responsible for the differential expression of enzymes and transporters between mesophyll and bundle sheath cells. This includes the upregulation of PEPC, pyruvate phosphate dikinase (PPDK), and NADP-ME in mesophyll cells, and RuBisCO and related Calvin cycle enzymes in bundle sheath cells. Transcription factors such as DOF (DNA binding with one finger), MYB, and SCARECROW have been shown to influence the cell-type specificity of these genes. Promoters with cell-specific cis-elements also contribute to compartmentalized gene expression.

Epigenetic mechanisms such as histone modification and DNA methylation play a role in regulating gene activity in response to environmental stimuli. This flexible regulation supports the plasticity of C4 traits and allows for environmental fine-tuning.

CAM plants exhibit a unique diel gene expression pattern aligned with their photosynthetic rhythm. Transcriptome analyses reveal that key genes involved in CO2 uptake, malate storage, and decarboxylation peak at night and decline during the day. Clock-associated genes like TOC1 and CCA1 help synchronize CAM activity with environmental cues.

Studies using comparative genomics have identified convergent evolution at the genetic level. C4 and CAM traits have evolved independently multiple times across plant lineages, yet they often recruit homologous gene families. This suggests that evolution has repeatedly tapped into similar genetic toolkits to address environmental stress.

Biotechnological approaches now aim to harness these genetic mechanisms. Through synthetic biology and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing, efforts are underway to incorporate C4- or CAM-like regulation into C3 crops. This requires not just introducing structural genes but also the regulatory networks that coordinate their expression.

In conclusion, the molecular and genetic underpinnings of C4 and CAM photosynthesis represent complex, coordinated systems that adapt plant metabolism to environmental pressures. Their study offers significant potential for engineering stress tolerance in agriculture.

5. Ecological Distribution and Evolutionary Perspectives

C4 and CAM photosynthetic pathways are not randomly distributed among plant taxa or ecosystems. Their ecological prevalence reflects evolutionary pressures and the adaptive advantages these pathways confer in specific climates and habitats.

C4 photosynthesis is found in over 60 plant lineages and accounts for approximately 3% of vascular plant species. Despite this low diversity, C4 plants contribute to nearly 25% of global primary productivity due to their dominance in tropical and subtropical grasslands and savannas. The ecological success of C4 plants stems from their superior performance in high light, high temperature, and low atmospheric CO2 conditions. They have replaced C3 plants in many warm grassland ecosystems.

CAM photosynthesis is found in at least 36 plant families, including Crassulaceae, Cactaceae, and Orchidaceae. CAM plants dominate arid, semi-arid, and epiphytic environments where water conservation is paramount. Their distribution spans deserts, alpine rock outcrops, and nutrient-poor tropical canopies.

Phylogenetic studies reveal that both C4 and CAM traits have evolved independently multiple times—a phenomenon known as convergent evolution. This suggests strong evolutionary selection for these traits under specific environmental pressures. For example, the evolution of C4 photosynthesis has been linked to declines in atmospheric CO2 during the Oligocene and Miocene epochs, combined with increased aridity.

Recent fossil and molecular clock analyses support a relatively recent origin of most C4 lineages (within the last 30 million years). CAM, however, appears to have a more ancient and diverse evolutionary history, with evidence of intermediate forms like facultative CAM.

The evolutionary transition from C3 to C4 or CAM is often gradual, involving intermediate metabolic states and modifications to leaf anatomy, gene expression, and enzyme function.

These intermediates offer insights into how complex traits evolve through incremental changes.

Ecologically, C4 and CAM plants play crucial roles in their respective biomes. They contribute to biodiversity, stabilize soil, and provide food and habitat for numerous organisms. Their evolutionary success underscores the importance of photosynthetic plasticity in plant survival.

6. Agricultural and Biotechnological Applications of C4 and CAM Traits

Harnessing the advantages of C4 and CAM photosynthesis presents a promising strategy for enhancing crop performance under climate stress. The introduction of C4 or CAM traits into C3 crops can lead to increased productivity, improved water-use efficiency, and greater resilience to temperature extremes.

In recent years, the C4 Rice Project has sought to engineer C4 traits into rice, a major C3 crop. This endeavor involves introducing C4-specific enzymes, establishing Kranz-like anatomy, and recreating cell-specific gene expression. While complex, progress has been made in expressing key C4 enzymes such as PEPC, PPDK, and NADP-ME in transgenic rice lines.

CAM engineering is also gaining traction, especially for bioenergy crops and ornamental plants. Researchers are exploring the insertion of nocturnal CO2 fixation pathways into C3 models like Arabidopsis and tobacco. Achieving full CAM functionality requires not only metabolic enzymes but also circadian regulators.

Beyond genetic modification, traditional breeding and genomic selection are being used to exploit natural variation in photosynthetic traits. For instance, sorghum and millets, which are already C4 species, are being improved for better heat and drought tolerance.

Agroecologically, integrating C4 and CAM species into farming systems can diversify cropping patterns and enhance system resilience. CAM crops such as agave and pineapple offer opportunities in marginal lands where conventional crops fail.

In conclusion, the agricultural application of C4 and CAM traits represents a frontier in plant biotechnology. The translation of these traits into staple crops holds transformative potential for food security in a warming world.

7. Challenges and Future Directions in Research and Application

While the benefits of C4 and CAM photosynthesis are well-documented, several challenges hinder their broader application in crop improvement. These include the complexity of trait integration, the need for regulatory networks, and the long development cycles for transgenic crops.

C4 and CAM pathways involve numerous enzymes, transporters, and anatomical changes. Successful engineering requires coordinated expression and localization of these components.

For example, Kranz anatomy has not yet been fully replicated in any C3 crop, representing a significant barrier.

Another challenge lies in the balance of energy demands. CAM plants require additional ATP for night-time carbon fixation and malate storage. Any introduction of CAM traits must consider the plant's energy budget and overall growth rate.

Environmental and biosafety regulations also impact the deployment of genetically modified C4/CAM crops. Public acceptance, ecological risks, and intellectual property rights need to be addressed.

Future research should focus on systems biology approaches to model the integration of C4/CAM traits. Advances in single-cell transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics offer tools to understand and manipulate complex regulatory networks. Synthetic biology platforms can design modular pathways that are more amenable to engineering.

Collaborative international initiatives and long-term funding are essential to realize the promise of these traits. Education and outreach will also be key to building public trust and ensuring equitable access to climate-resilient technologies.

In summary, while significant hurdles remain, the future of photosynthetic engineering holds immense promise. By learning from nature's own experiments, we can develop sustainable solutions for agriculture in the face of climate change.

Conclusion

Conclusion

The accelerating pace of climate change, marked by increasingly frequent droughts, elevated temperatures, and shifting atmospheric CO2 concentrations, necessitates an urgent reevaluation of how global agriculture and natural ecosystems can be rendered more resilient. C4 and CAM photosynthetic pathways represent evolutionary innovations that have enabled certain plants to flourish under conditions that would significantly limit or inhibit the growth of C3 species. By reconfiguring the spatial and temporal dynamics of carbon fixation, these plants have evolved sophisticated strategies to maximize carbon gain while minimizing water loss, thereby offering robust templates for survival under extreme environmental stress.

Throughout this paper, we have explored the multifaceted adaptations that define C4 and CAM plants, beginning with their physiological and anatomical characteristics. The high water-use efficiency (WUE), reduced photorespiration, and unique stomatal behavior of these plants provide them with a distinct advantage in water-limited and high-temperature environments. The structural innovations—such as Kranz anatomy in C4 species and the succulent, water-storing tissues of CAM plants—support these physiological efficiencies, demonstrating a fine-tuned integration of form and function.

Biochemically, the differential roles of enzymes such as PEPC and RuBisCO, and the compartmentalization of metabolic processes, allow C4 and CAM plants to circumvent the inefficiencies inherent to C3 photosynthesis. These adaptations are not merely the result of individual enzyme optimizations, but reflect a coordinated overhaul of metabolic regulation, transport systems, and cellular architecture. Furthermore, the molecular and genetic control of these pathways underscores the complexity and elegance of evolutionary innovation. The discovery that many of the genes responsible for these pathways are co-regulated and often repurposed from ancestral C3 systems provides exciting avenues for synthetic biology.

Ecologically, the widespread distribution of C4 and CAM plants across diverse environments—ranging from tropical grasslands to desert ecosystems and epiphytic habitats—testifies to their evolutionary and functional significance. Their roles in ecosystem stability, carbon cycling, and biomass productivity underscore their broader environmental relevance.

The agricultural potential of these photosynthetic strategies cannot be overstated. Integrating C4 or CAM traits into staple C3 crops could dramatically improve yield stability under future climate conditions. However, achieving this goal involves overcoming substantial challenges, including anatomical modifications, metabolic balancing, and regulatory complexity. The field of plant biotechnology is already making significant strides in this direction, with promising results in engineering C4-like traits in rice and exploring CAM functionality in model species.

Nevertheless, the path ahead demands continued interdisciplinary collaboration, long-term investment, and careful ethical consideration. Genomic tools, gene editing technologies, and systems biology approaches must be harnessed to decode and recreate these complex traits in agriculturally important species. Equally, the socio-political dimensions of deploying genetically modified crops must be addressed to ensure equitable access and public acceptance.

In conclusion, C4 and CAM plants exemplify nature's ingenuity in optimizing photosynthesis for survival under duress. As climate change continues to reshape our biosphere, these systems offer valuable blueprints for designing the crops of the future. By learning from and emulating these natural strategies, we can take decisive steps toward building a more sustainable, secure, and climate-resilient global food system.

References

1. Sage, R.F. (2004). The evolution of C4 photosynthesis. New Phytologist, 161(2), 341–370.
2. Borland, A.M., Griffiths, H., Hartwell, J., & Smith, J.A.C. (2009). Exploiting the potential of plants with crassulacean acid metabolism for bioenergy production on marginal lands. Journal of Experimental Botany, 60(10), 2879–2896.
3. Edwards, G.E., & Voznesenskaya, E.V. (2011). C4 photosynthesis: Kranz forms and single-cell C4 in terrestrial plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 62, 161–182.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

4. Cushman, J.C., & Bohnert, H.J. (1997). Molecular genetics of Crassulacean acid metabolism. Plant Physiology, 113(3), 667–676.
5. Christin, P.A., Osborne, C.P., Chatelet, D.S., Columbus, J.T., Besnard, G., Hodkinson, T.R., ... & Edwards, E.J. (2013). Anatomical enablers and the evolution of C4 photosynthesis in grasses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(4), 1381–1386.
6. von Caemmerer, S., & Furbank, R.T. (2016). Strategies for improving C4 photosynthesis. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 31, 125–134.
7. Yang, X., Hu, R., Yin, H., Jenkins, J., Shu, S., Tang, H., ... & Cushman, J.C. (2017). The Kalanchoë genome provides insights into convergent evolution and building blocks of crassulacean acid metabolism. Nature Communications, 8, 1899.
8. Westhoff, P., & Gowik, U. (2010). Evolution of C4 photosynthesis—looking for the master switch. Plant Physiology, 154(2), 598–601.
9. Liu, Y., Offermann, S., & Brutnell, T.P. (2015). C4 photosynthesis: pathways to productivity and sustainability. Current Biology, 25(24), R1042–R1045.
10. Schuler, M.L., & McKown, A.D. (2021). Engineering CAM photosynthesis into C3 plants: recent advances and future prospects. Plant Physiology, 187(3), 1098–1110.