Ijfans International Journal Of Food And Nutritional Sciences
ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
, Journal UGC CARE Listed (Group-I) Volume 12 , Issue 01, 2023

Challenges and Policy Responses for the Future of Online Platform Labour in the Post-Pandemic World

Dr. Petikam Sailaja[1], Dr. A. Guravaiah[2], Dr.pullagora Chiranjeevi[3]
[1]Associate Professor, KLEF College of Law, KLEF Deemed To Be University, Guntur, AP
[2]Principal A. C. College of Law, Sambasivapet, Guntur, A.P, India
[3]Assistant professor. DNR college of Law Bhimavaram west Godavari District.A .P. pin code-534203

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has radically changed employment around the globe and hastened the expansion of online platform work and digital work arrangements. Post-pandemic, the gig economy in India saw a sharp growth in gig workers who are connected through apps like food delivery services, ride-hailing services, e-commerce logistics, online freelancing platforms, and remote service delivery platforms. Platform-based work opened new economic opportunities, and flexible working arrangements, but it also highlighted the legal and socio-economic vulnerabilities of workers. Most workers at online platforms are outside the traditional employer-employee arrangement, and they forfeit minimum wages, social security benefits, occupational safety, health protection, collective bargaining rights and job security. This lack of adequate regulation of labour has further raised the concern about algorithmic control, digital surveillance, unfair contractual practices, and income volatility. This article reflects on the legal landscape of the online platform labour in the post-pandemic era in the context of India. It analyzes the suitability of the current labour legislation, constitutional protection, and the Code on Social Security, 2020 to solve the issues of gig and platform workers. The study also examines international trends and policy measures to create decent working conditions and labour dignity in the digital economy. The article concludes with a proposal for policies and regulations to be introduced to achieve a balance between technological innovation, labour welfare and social justice.

Keywords: Gig Economy, Online Platform Labour, Digital Employment, Social Security, Labour Rights

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused unprecedented disruption in the labour market worldwide. The traditional jobs were closed down, wages were lowered, jobs were lost and there was economic uncertainty. In this time period, one of the fastest-growing sectors of employment has been online platform labour, especially in developing countries like India. The economic survival of individuals during lockdowns and social distancing turned digital platforms for transportation services, food delivery, e-commerce logistics, home-based services, freelancing, and remote work into the main drivers. The pandemic contributed to the digital transformation and changed the dynamics of labour, technology and economic production. Known as "gig work," these online platforms are a key source of income for millions of workers who lost their jobs in the crisis.

Online platform labour is on the rise in post-pandemic era due to high reliance on digital technologies and the preference for contactless services among consumers. Uber, Swiggy, Zomato and Amazon witnessed huge growth in their operations with the increasing demand for online services. Digital applications facilitated the buying of basic products, food orders, transport and home-based services, as consumers grew more dependent on them. This change spurred the use of platform business models with flexible forms of labour. This led to the platform workers becoming integral to the working of the digital economy.

In addition, during the post-pandemic economy, remote freelancing and crowdwork platforms have also surged in growth. Professional freelancers started providing services like graphic design, content writing, software development, online tuition and digital marketing using the internet. Such developments facilitated the internationalization of the labour market, where labour is provided without a worker moving to another country. Such systems created job opportunities and flexibilities of the labour market, but also led to greater competition and earnings volatility for employees. Labour platforms online often mislabel their labourers as ‘independent contractors' instead of workers, denying them a range of benefits afforded by employment laws.

The economic slowdown due to the pandemic is also a major reason for the expansion of the online platform labour market. The lack of stable jobs was a factor that caused a number of people to seek out alternative work opportunities in the app-based economy, or in traditional industries, but not in these. Platform work was an immediate means of survival for the young workers and migrant labourers, despite the low wages and lack of stability in their working conditions. Gig work offered flexibility, which appealed to students, women, and those who worked part time to earn extra cash. But flexibility granted by digital platforms could be a cover for under-ideal working conditions, such as overwork, performance monitoring, or card deletion.

The increasing prominence of platform labour has also changed the urban economies. Workers in the delivery industry, ride-hailing services, workers in the warehouse, and online freelance workers have emerged as new actors in everyday economic activities. However, the legal system has had difficulty keeping up with these new types of jobs. Traditional labor law was largely concerned with the employer–employee relationship with a fixed place of work and a fixed duration of contract. These viewpoints are undermined by platform labour, which involves algorithmic management systems in which digital applications are understood to control over workers without establishing any formal employment contracts. This has left online platform workers in a limbo between self-employment and dependent employment.

Platform workers were also found to be key during the pandemic. Pastors and delivery staff and transportation workers made it possible to receive food, medicine, and other essentials during the lockdowns. Even though many workers were doing vital work, they had no health insurance, paid leave, accident compensation or social security protection. The contradiction revealed flaws in the governance of labour and the need for a better system of regulations. The emergence of labour-based platforms is thus not only a technological shift, but also a legal and social dilemma that needs to be addressed by the state and new policy.

2. Legal status of Gig and Platform Workers under Indian Labour Laws

One of the most talked about legal issues in modern times in the field of labour jurisprudence is the legal status of gig workers and platform workers. Traditional labour laws were designed for the traditional employment relationship, which has a known employer, a regular wage, and a defined workplace. But a digital platform works on the basis of contracts which intentionally exclude classification as employees. Rather, workers are referred to as “partners,” “independent contractors” or “service providers.” This classification exempts the platform company from compliance with minimum wage provisions as well as provisions concerning provident funds, gratuity, maternity benefits and other provisions in relation to labour welfare. There is no clear law and order in India, leading to uncertainty about the rights and protection that online platform workers have.

Gig/workers or platform workers was/were not specifically mentioned in the Indian labour laws before the enactment of the Code on Social Security, 2020. The existing legislations like Industrial Disputes Act, Minimum Wages Act, Employees' State Insurance Act and Employees' Provident Funds Act were mainly confined to formal employees on traditional contracts of employment. Platform workers were not included in these laws as companies claimed they did not have an employer–employee relationship with the platform worker. The courts typically used control and supervision, and economic dependency, to establish the relationship of employment. Algorithmic management systems, however, made these evaluations more complicated, as the control was digitalized through ratings, incentives and automated performance monitoring.

An important development was the introduction of the Code on Social Security, 2020 which for the first time in the Indian labour law, defines “gig worker” and “platform worker”. The legislation includes the following definitions: "gig workers" are defined as people who enter into a work arrangement that is not a traditional employment relationship, and "platform workers" are defined as people who enter into a service arrangement through an online platform. While this recognition is a step in the right direction, the Code has been condemned for its lack of substantive labour rights for informal workers that are comparable to those of formal workers. The law is directed towards social security schemes and not employment rights. Does not explicitly define minimum wages, collective bargaining rights or protections against unfair termination of employment for platform workers.

Ambiguity about employment status is one of the key legal issues to do with platform labour. Platform companies have a large influence over workers via algorithmic systems of allocating tasks, rating performance, pricing, incentives, and penalties. Failure to attain standards of performance can result in suspension or deactivation of workers without protective measures. Even with this level of control, employers have been denying themselves the rights of employers, labeling workers as self-employed entrepreneurs. This paradox has started a worldwide legal discussion about whether platform employees are employees, dependent contractors, or a new type of worker.

The concept of gig workers can be understood through the lens of Indian constitutional values.Indian constitution values are an important tool for the analysis of the rights of gig workers. Article 14 ensures equality before the law and Article 21 ensures the right to life and

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal UGC CARE Listed (Group-I) Volume 12 , Issue 01, 2023

livelihood with dignity. The state is encouraged to provide humane working conditions, social security and living wages under the Directive Principles of State Policy (Articles 39, 41, 42 and 43) of the Indian Constitution. The thrust of the argument for the inclusion of platform workers in labour protections is given by the following provisions of the constitution.Some constitutional provisions back this argument to protect platform workers, regardless of the contractual classification. However, there are no comprehensive statutory mechanisms to ensure enforcement.

The judiciary has not yet played a significant role in platform labour issues in India as it has done in places like the United Kingdom and the European Union. Around the world, platforms have been increasingly understood to be under significant economic dependence and control for the worker. There have been a number of decisions that have questioned the classification of workers as independent contractors and extended the coverage of labour rights to gig workers. As disputes involving platform labour become more common, Indian courts may eventually follow suit.

The rights of the online platform workers are therefore still contested and complex. Despite the Code on Social Security, 2020, which provides for the legislative recognition, there are significant gaps on enforcement, labour rights and employment protections. Platform operators are failing to protect platform workers from insecurity and exclusion from the mainstream of labour justice laws unless they have taken action to regulate them in a comprehensive manner.

3. The problem of social security, wages and protection of occupation

With the increasing prominence of the online platform labour, there has been growing concerns about social security, wages, and occupational safety. Gig workers are doing vital work in the economy, but they often don't have basic labour rights that are normally assumed to beguaranteed for each person who is employed. With the expansion of digital platforms in the post-pandemic era, pertinent issues of labour welfare, economic security and social justice have emerged. Platform workers frequently work in precarious circumstances, such as low wages, lack of social security, high workloads and hazardous working conditions.

A key issue for platform workers is that they lack adequate social security provisions. In contrast to formal workers, gig workers are largely ineligible for provident funds, pension schemes, health insurance, paid leave and maternity benefits. Despite the risks to their health, many platform workers were working during the COVID-19 pandemic without any income security and paid sick leave. Pensioners or employees who became ill or suffered an accident were often left to pay their own medical costs. The workers' vulnerability in digital labour markets was exposed in the absence of social protection.

Another characteristic of platform labour is its income instability. Earnings are typically tied to a specific number of deliveries, number of rides or assignments, and are generally paid through task-based payment models in digital platforms. Incentive structures and pricing mechanisms are set by algorithms, and are not always transparent. But the fluctuating demand for work, commissions charged by platforms, fuel costs, and performance ratings often make the income of workers unpredictable. In many cases, the workers have to work very long hours in order to earn sustainable income. Without minimum wages, there is economic insecurity and an incentive for exploitation of labour.

Deliveries workers and transportation workers are especially vulnerable to occupational safety issues. Ride-halers and food delivery drivers are exposed to hazards of traffic accidents, fatigue, bad weather, and victimization. Time constraints to get things done to keep up ratings or incentives can lead to unsafe driving. Platform workers were also exposed to the risk of infection during the pandemic due to the provision of essential services. Even though many workers were doing dangerous jobs, they were not covered with insurance policies or any scheme of compensation.

Vulnerability is a new phenomenon that can be experienced by women workers in the platform economy. While online platforms offer women flexible jobs, the gender-based inequalities are still strong. Women employees often face wage discrimination, risk to safety, harassment and lack of opportunities. Gender roles and responsibilities also hinder women from engaging in some types of gig work. Labour legislation has not been able to sufficiently respond to gender-specific problems in digital labour markets.

The lack of collective bargaining rights is also a very important issue. Generally, platform workers are not legally recognized as employees, and they are not allowed to organize as a trade union or collectively to negotiate working conditions. Algorithmic management also gives a personalised approach to labour relations, using automated systems to allocate tasks and assess performance. Employees have few opportunities to voice their grievances or be involved in decision making processes related to their livelihoods. In recent years, worker associations and labour movements, organized by platform workers, have emerged to call for better wages and social security and legal recognition, however.

The Code on Social Security, 2020 is proposing welfare measures for gig and platform workers, which cover areas such as old age protection, health benefits, accident coverage and life insurance. However, there are no clear mechanisms for implementing these, and many schemes are not effectively operational. Some issues with funding, registration, employer contributions, and administration remain to be resolved in practice. As a result, the majority of platform workers are still denied any meaningful social security benefits.

The issues of social safety, wages and occupational protection show up the structural imbalance between technology innovation and labour welfare. The economic advantages of flexible working from digital platforms are significant, with the economic risk being borne by the worker. If not managed well, platform labour can lead to greater precarisation of work and intensification of socio-economic inequalities in the post-pandemic world.

4. Algorithmic Management, Digital Surveillance, and Workers' Rights

With the rise of algorithmic management, the labour relations in the platform economy have totally been changed. In contrast to the traditional working environment, in which managers oversee their workers, digital platforms are based on algorithms, data analysis, AI and automation to manage labour processes. The technologies are used to allocate tasks, to price them, to evaluate their performance, to provide incentives and to discipline people. Algorithm based systems provide platform companies with efficiency and scalability but also raise major issues about worker autonomy, privacy, transparency and labour rights.

Algorithmic management is based on software programs that track worker movements. To keep platform workers connected to mobile applications that monitor their location, response time, customer contact, delivery time, acceptance rates and productivity. A customer's rating and reviews have a profound impact on workers' access to opportunities and incentives in the future. Performance data is analysed using algorithms that automatically reward or penalise workers based on set criteria. The system provides a very regimented work environment with the workers being classed as independent contractors.

Lack of transparency is one of the most debated features of algorithmic trading. Algorithms are not transparent to workers because they don't understand how they allocate work, how they calculate wages, how they set up incentives, or how they impose penalties. Platform companies consider algorithmic systems as their business information and restrict accountability. There can be unexpected drops in wages or someone's account can be closed without them knowing or being given a chance to appeal. This opacity raises questions about the fairness of the procedures and establishes power imbalances between workers and digital platforms.

Digital surveillance is also a serious privacy issue. Platform firms gather the personal data of workers, such as their movements, behaviour, communication, and productivity. Continuous monitoring results in psychological stress and worker autonomy is limited. In a few instances, surveillance is not just used for work related activities, but it also encroaches on privacy. Lack of sufficient data protection measures raises risk of misuse of personal data, and discriminatory practices.

Algorithmic systems can also perpetuate vulnerabilities and biases within the larger society. Gender, language, geographic location, socio-economic status, or any other factor, can be discriminated against in an unintentional way in automated decision making processes. Ratings systems are especially susceptible to bias as customers may be biased in their ratings instead of providing an accurate one. Workers with lower ratings may be at a disadvantage, in terms of opportunities and monetary sanctions. Algorithms are largely data-dependent and thus can result in discriminatory outcomes when the data is biased.

Another issue that is of great importance is psychological impact of algorithmic labour control. The constant monitoring and unpredictable systems of allocating work to platform employees can cause stress, anxiety, and job insecurity. Gamification methods on digital platforms make these workers stay connected longer to earn incentives and meet performance goals. The practices may result in overworking and mental fatigue. Workers typically do not have access to grievance procedures, counselling services or institutional support in the informal sector.

Algorithmic management is not well-defined in most jurisdictions, including India. Current labour laws are not intended to govern the new labour systems based on artificial intelligence. Likewise, data protection laws and privacy regimes are also inadequate to deal with the intricacies of work surveillance in the digital economy. Platform companies can have high levels of control over their workers but have little accountability if they operate in an unregulated manner.

Globally, there has been a start to consider concerns about algorithm transparency and workers' rights through policy. The European Union has put forward new legislation that requires more transparency with regard to automated decision-making systems that impact upon platform workers. The ethical challenges of AI, digital rights and platform responsibility are also similar themes being discussed around the world. India also needs to establish a wide-ranging legal framework that would provide the transparency, procedural justice, data security, and worker engagement within algorithmic governance systems.

The increasing influence of algorithmic management highlights the role of digital platforms in not only being a technological intermediary, but as a powerful force in the field of labour relations and in economic opportunities. Ensuring the protection of the rights of workers in the digital economy, therefore, entails a balance between technological innovation and the principles of fairness, accountability, privacy and human dignity.

5. International Approaches towards Regulation of Platform Labour

Platform labour has had a global spread, prompting governments and international bodies to rethink norms and laws related to work. Emphasize the importance of balancing technological innovation and economic flexibility with respect for workers' rights and social justice in countries around the world. There are various strategies in international approaches to platform labour, from judicial activism to legislative change, collective bargaining and social security coverage. The developments offer useful lessons for India when it comes to the design of effective, regulatory frameworks for the gig economy.

One of the front-runners in platform labour regulation is the European Union. More and more European policymakers have acknowledged that numerous platform workers appear as independent contractors, but are actually working in a manner that resembles employment relationships. The European Commission is proposing the Platform Work Directive to solve problems regarding employment status, algorithmic management and labour rights. The Directive aims to create a presumption of employment in the event of platforms having significant control over workers. It also sets out obligations for transparency on automated decision making systems, and increases protections against fraudulent dismissals and digital surveillance.

Platform workers' legal status has been redefined significantly in the United Kingdom through the courts. In deciding whether workers are “workers” covered by minimum wage, paid leave and other laws, courts have agreed that they may be considered workers in the context of ride-hailing and delivery apps. Economic dependence and control of workers by digital platforms have been highlighted by courts. These decisions question the notion that platform workers are fully fledged entrepreneurs, and reflect the importance of substantive labour protection.

In California, USA, the Assembly Bill 5 (AB5) was passed in order to reclassify many gig workers as employees and not independent contractors. The law set a very high threshold for determining employment and intended to give platform workers labour rights and benefits. But the law had many opponents, particularly the technology firms, which gave rise to political and legal issues. Then came Proposition 22, which exempts app-based transportation and delivery services and provides workers with some degree of benefits. The U.S. experience illustrates the challenges and reluctance that can arise when trying to control dominant digital platforms.

Other countries in Latin America and Asia have also begun reforms in the area of platform labour.Other countries in Latin America and Asia have also launched platform labour reforms. Introducing the "Riders Law" in Spain, that sees food delivery workers as employees and transparency in algorithmic management systems. China has published regulations to improve working conditions and pay for platform workers, including social protection and safety. The importance of decent work standards, collective bargaining rights, and universal social protection in digital labour markets is also highlighted in discussions of the International Labour Organization (ILO).

A common denominator in the various approaches of international regulation is the increasing awareness that the conventional categories of labour are inappropriate to respond to the actual nature of platform work. Many jurisdictions are transitioning to hybrid arrangements that afford the same benefits to the worker, but do not necessarily require rigid employment forms. The economic dependence and control of platforms justify more regulation.

Regulation of algorithmic management and digital surveillance is another key development. The need for transparency, accountability, and worker participation in automated decision-making systems is being put into focus by international frameworks.International frameworks highlight the importance of transparency, accountability, and worker participation in the context of automated decision-making systems. To safeguard workers against discriminatory or opaque artificial intelligence practices, data protection laws and AI regulation are being incorporated into the field of labour governance.

Trade unions and worker associations are also new stakeholders in platform labour regulation worldwide. Gig workers have joined forces in strikes, protests, and lawsuits for fair wages and better working conditions. The kind of movements that contest the de-fragmentation of digital labour and reflect the ongoing relevance of collective bargaining in tech-enabled labour markets.

Case studies from other countries have shown that there is a need for multi-dimensional approaches to regulating platform labour in order to ensure that it is effective, these approaches include labour law reform, expanding social security coverage, data protection, and institutional accountability. While designing context specific policies to address the large informal sector and fast-growing digital economy in India, there are valuable lessons to be learned from comparative approaches.

6. Policy reforms and the future of labour protection in the digital economy

With the growth of online platform labour, there is a pressing need for more sweeping policy changes to ensure the protection of workers and promotion of technological innovation and economic development. Current labour regulations are largely geared towards industrial models of employment organization, and therefore do not adequately address technology-based and decentralized labour markets. To secure the future of labour protection in the digital economy, it is critical for governments to develop inclusive regulations that strike a balance between flexibility and entrepreneurship and workers' rights.

Clarification of the employment status of platform workers is one of the most significant reforms that need to be undertaken. The current definition of independent contracting does not accurately capture the relationship of economic dependency and algorithmic control. Legislators need to create a legal framework that can detect disguised employment and afford platform workers suitable labour rights. Others have suggested classifications like "dependent contractors" for those who may have some independence but are economically tied to digital platforms.

Another key policy priority is to increase coverage of social security. Platform workers will need access to health insurance, accident compensation, pension schemes, maternity benefits and income support systems. Platform companies, workers and the state can contribute to funding universal social protection systems – these can offer sustainable solutions. A digital registration system and a mobile benefit model can assist in maintaining protection across various platforms and evolving work arrangements.

Income protection is also essential in combating exploitation and income insecurity and this is related to minimum wage protection. Governments should set a fair standard for wages for platform workers, taking into account working hours, operational costs, fuel costs, and economic conditions in their region. Algorithmic pricing systems can be made more accountable and fair in digital labour markets through transparency of payment systems and through independent audits of algorithmic pricing mechanisms.

Occupational health and safety issues should also be a part of policy changes. The nature of the work for delivery drivers and ride-hailing drivers exposes them to serious physical dangers, necessitating the need for them to be appropriately covered by insurance, adhere to safety requirements, and have emergency assistance in place. All policies on mental health should include mental health protections and safeguards from excessive working hours within the broader labour welfare policies in the digital economy.

Algorithmic transparency and data protection are new areas that need to be regulated. Employees should have the right to know how automated systems impact on work allocation, ratings, incentives and disciplinary measures. Legal protection should prevent algorithmic discrimination and provide opportunities for human oversight of algorithmic decision making. The law of data protection needs to control working practices and respect the privacy rights of workers.

Collective bargaining rights and worker representation are crucial to ensure democratic engagement in digital labour markets. Platform worker unions should be recognised by governments and social dialogue between workers, companies and policy makers facilitated. Collective bargaining is a better way to resolve wage, working condition and dispute resolution concerns than individual contract negotiations.

Another key policy recommendation is to promote ethical governance of platforms and corporate accountability. Platform companies that have profited from digital labour market should make a meaningful contribution to labour welfare and social development. There is the potential for responsible business practices in the gig economy to be promoted through CSR efforts, labour compliance standards and public reporting requirements.

Finally, the future of labour protection in the digital economy will rely on how well legal systems can remain relevant to technological developments, while maintaining values of social justice and human dignity. Digital innovation should not lead to a reduction in labour rights or to an increase in insecure jobs. Rather, policy changes should aim to make technological advances a part of an inclusive economic development and equitable labor relations.

7. Conclusion

The post-pandemic world has seen a surge of labour on online platforms and digital employment contracts. The rise of the gig economy is generating fresh opportunities for flexible working, entrepreneurship and technology, but has simultaneously revealed serious legal, economic and social challenges for millions of workers. Platform workers still experience undue insecurity in their employment, social security protections, wage security, occupational risks, algorithmic control, and the lack of collective bargaining rights. Gig workers continued to be deprived from proper labour protections while their contribution to the economic and social life was even more evident during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Gig workers and platform workers have been included in Indian labour law under the Code on Social Security, 2020, but there are several gaps in the regulatory framework, particularly concerning the enforcement of these regulations and the enjoyment of substantive rights. The experience in other countries shows a trend of deepening recognition of the need for robust international legal frameworks that strike a balance between innovation and worker welfare in digital labour markets.On the international level, experience points to an increasing consensus that comprehensive legal frameworks are needed to ensure a balance between innovation and worker welfare in digital labour markets. Social security protection, fair compensation, occupational safety, algorithmic transparency, data protection and collective worker rights are therefore to be incorporated into effective regulation.

Inclusive and human-centred policy approaches are essential in shaping the future of labour governance in the digital economy. The values of dignity, equality and social justice of the constitution should not be eroded by technological advances. Rather, labour law changes should provide adequate protection and recognition for the online platform workers in changing economic systems. After the pandemic, a sustainable, equitable and socially responsible digital economy needs to be built on a balanced, rights-based regulatory framework.

References

1. De Stefano, V. (2016). The rise of the “just-in-time workforce”: On-demand work, crowdwork, and labor protection in the “gig-economy.” Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal, 37(3), 471–504.
2. International Labour Organization. (2021). World employment and social outlook 2021: The role of digital labour platforms in transforming the world of work. International Labour Organization
3. Prassl, J. (2018). Humans as a service: The promise and perils of work in the gig economy. Oxford University Press
4. Srnicek, N. (2017). Platform capitalism. Polity Press
5. Sundararajan, A. (2016). The sharing economy: The end of employment and the rise of crowd-based capitalism. MIT Press

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 Journal UGC CARE Listed (Group-I) Volume 12 , Issue 01, 2023

6. Stewart, A., & Stanford, J. (2017). Regulating work in the gig economy: What are the options? The Economic and Labour Relations Review, 28(3), 420–437.
7. Code on Social Security, No. 36 of 2020, India.
8. Aloisi, A. (2016). Commoditized workers: Case study research on labor law issues arising from a set of "on-demand/gig economy" platforms. Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal, 37(3), 653–690.
9. Cherry, M. A., & Aloisi, A. (2017). "Dependent contractors" in the gig economy: A comparative approach. American University Law Review, 66(3), 635–689.
10. Woodcock, J., & Graham, M. (2020). The gig economy: A critical introduction. Polity Press