Ijfans International Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences
ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved Journal UGC CARE Listed (Group-I) Volume 11, Issue 01, Jan 2022

Economics of crop pattern in Karnataka economy

Dr. Baliram

Associate Professor of Economics, Govt. First Grade College, Basavakalyan-585327

Abstract

This study examines the economic dynamics of cropping patterns in Karnataka during the period 2010–2021, a phase marked by significant policy shifts, climatic stress, and market integration. Karnataka's agricultural landscape is characterized by ten agro-climatic zones, substantial dependence on rainfall, and a dual economy of subsistence food grains and high-value commercial crops . Using secondary data from the Directorate of Economics and Statistics (DES), Karnataka, and related official publications, the study analyzes trends in area, production, and productivity across cereal, pulse, oilseed, and commercial crop categories. The central hypothesis posits that cropping pattern changes in Karnataka between 2010 and 2021 are driven more by market price signals and irrigation access than by direct policy interventions such as Minimum Support Price (MSP). The research employs Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR), Crop Diversification Indices (Herfindahl and Entropy), and instability analysis to quantify shifts. Findings indicate a gradual transition from traditional cereals toward pulses, maize, and commercial crops in irrigated zones, while rainfed regions exhibit persistent instability and diversification driven by risk mitigation . The study concludes that cropping pattern evolution in Karnataka reflects a rational response to agro-economic conditions, but this rationality is constrained by infrastructure asymmetries and climate vulnerability. Policy recommendations emphasize region-specific crop planning, strengthened market linkages, and climate-resilient variety adoption to ensure balanced agricultural development.

Keywords: Cropping Pattern, Karnataka Economy, Crop Diversification, Agricultural Growth, Instability, MSP, Agro-Climatic Zones, 2010–2021

1. Introduction

Karnataka occupies a unique position in India's agricultural economy. With over 58% of its geographical area under cultivation and more than 70% of its workforce engaged in agriculture, the state's economic health is inextricably linked to the performance of its crop sector . The state is India's largest producer of coffee, ragi, and safflower, and a significant contributor to silk, arecanut, and horticultural output . However, Karnataka's agriculture is also profoundly heterogeneous: the fertile coastal plains, the semi-arid northern plateau, and the rain-shadow districts of the south exhibit vastly different cropping portfolios, productivity levels, and economic outcomes .

The decade 2010–2021 represents a critical window for examining cropping pattern change. This period witnessed the full maturation of the National Agricultural Policy framework, the expansion of irrigation infrastructure under state schemes, increasing penetration of commodity markets, and a series of climatic shocks—including consecutive drought years in the mid-2010s—that tested the resilience of existing cropping systems . Understanding how

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

farmers reallocated land among competing crops during this period provides insight into the economic logic governing agricultural decision-making in a semi-arid, market-integrated state.

This research is significant because cropping patterns are not merely agronomic choices; they are economic signals. The allocation of land to cereals versus pulses, to food grains versus commercial crops, reflects farmers' expectations about prices, risk, water availability, and policy support. In Karnataka, where small and marginal holdings dominate, these choices have direct implications for rural incomes, food security, and the sustainability of natural resources. A systematic economic analysis of crop pattern changes during 2010–2021 can inform more targeted policy interventions and contribute to the broader discourse on agricultural transformation in semi-arid regions.

2. Definitions

Cropping Pattern: The proportion of land area allocated to different crops in a given region over a specific period, reflecting the interaction of agro-climatic conditions, economic incentives, and policy frameworks.

Crop Diversification: The movement away from a dominant crop or crop group toward a broader portfolio of crops, often measured through indices such as the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) or the Crop Entropy Index (CEI) .

Crop Specialization: The concentration of cultivated area in a narrow set of crops, often driven by comparative advantage, irrigation availability, or market demand .

Instability: The year-to-year fluctuation in area, production, or productivity of a crop, measured using tools such as the Cuddy-Della Valle Index, reflecting exposure to climatic and market risks .

Agro-Climatic Zone: A geographic region delineated by uniform climatic, soil, and topographic characteristics that determine its agricultural potential. Karnataka has ten such zones.

Minimum Support Price (MSP): A policy instrument through which the government announces a guaranteed price for specified crops prior to the sowing season, intended to incentivize production and stabilize farmer incomes .

3. Need for the Study

The need for a rigorous economic analysis of Karnataka's cropping pattern during 2010–2021 arises from several converging concerns. First, Karnataka's agriculture is at a crossroads: the traditional cereal-based systems of the northern plateau face declining profitability and groundwater depletion, while the horticultural and commercial crop belts of the south and coastal regions experience market volatility and price risk. Without a systematic accounting of how land allocation has shifted during this period, policy responses risk being reactive rather than strategic.

Second, the existing literature on Karnataka agriculture tends to focus either on aggregate growth rates or on specific crop categories (e.g., food grains alone, or plantation crops alone).

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

Few studies provide an integrated economic analysis that spans cereals, pulses, oilseeds, and commercial crops within a unified framework covering the 2010–2021 period . This study fills that gap by examining the entire cropping portfolio through consistent economic metrics.

Third, the policy environment has changed significantly since 2010. The expansion of the Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojana, revisions to MSP formulas, and the increasing role of contract farming and e-NAM in Karnataka's mandis have altered the incentives facing farmers. Understanding whether these interventions have achieved their intended effects on cropping patterns requires empirical scrutiny.

Fourth, from a sustainability perspective, cropping pattern changes have direct implications for soil health, water tables, and biodiversity. The shift toward water-intensive commercial crops in certain zones, or the persistence of monocropping in others, carries environmental costs that are not captured in short-term profitability calculations . A decade-long perspective allows these patterns to emerge with clarity.

4. Aims

The primary aim of this study is to provide a comprehensive economic analysis of cropping pattern changes in Karnataka during 2010–2021, examining the direction, magnitude, and determinants of land allocation shifts among major crop categories, and to assess the implications of these changes for agricultural policy and rural welfare.

5. Objectives

1. To analyze the trends in area, production, and productivity of major crop groups (cereals, pulses, oilseeds, and commercial crops) in Karnataka from 2010–11 to 2020–21.
2. To measure the extent and direction of crop diversification and specialization using appropriate indices (Herfindahl Index and Crop Entropy Index) at the state and regional levels.
3. To estimate the growth rates (CAGR) of area, production, and productivity for major individual crops during the study period.
4. To assess the instability in area and production of major crops using the Cuddy-Della Valle Index.
5. To examine the relationship between policy variables (MSP), infrastructure variables (irrigation), and cropping pattern changes.
6. To identify the constraints and opportunities for sustainable crop planning in different agro-climatic zones of Karnataka.

6. Hypothesis

H_0 (Null): There is no significant relationship between market price signals (MSP) and cropping pattern changes in Karnataka during 2010–2021.

H_1 (Alternative): Cropping pattern changes in Karnataka during 2010–2021 are significantly influenced by a combination of market price signals, irrigation access, and rainfall variability, with MSP playing a secondary role relative to infrastructure and climatic factors.

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

Operational Hypothesis: The observed shift from traditional cereals toward pulses and commercial crops in irrigated zones, and the persistence of diversified, risk-averse cropping in rainfed zones, reflect rational economic responses to differential access to water and markets rather than uniform policy-induced changes .

7. Literature Search

A systematic search of the literature was conducted using databases including Google Scholar, Semantic Scholar, AGRIS (FAO), and Shodhganga, as well as official publications from the Directorate of Economics and Statistics (Karnataka), ICAR, and the Ministry of Food Processing Industries. Search terms included combinations of "cropping pattern Karnataka," "crop diversification Karnataka," "agricultural growth Karnataka," "crop instability," and "MSP crop choice." The review prioritized studies published between 2010 and 2021 that used quantitative methods and official secondary data.

Key sources identified include: Nagaveni et al. (2021), which analyzed growth and instability of cereals, millets, and pulses in Karnataka from 2001–02 to 2020–21 ; Felix and Ramappa (2021), which examined crop diversification dynamics using state-level data ; a 2021 FAO-indexed study on MSP's influence on diversification in Karnataka ; and a Markov chain analysis of cropping pattern shifts in the Northern Transition Zone covering 2011–12 to 2013–21 . Official sources include the Economic Survey of Karnataka, Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, and DES publications. The literature reveals a consensus that Karnataka's cropping patterns are shifting, but disagreement persists on whether policy (MSP) or agro-economic conditions (rainfall, irrigation, market access) are the dominant drivers.

8. Research Methodology

Research Design: Descriptive and analytical, based on secondary data.

Study Area: Karnataka state, with sub-state analysis where district-level data permit.

Period of Study: 2010–11 to 2020–21 (11 agricultural years). This period is chosen to capture a full cycle of policy implementation and climatic variability following the 2009 drought and preceding the COVID-19 disruption.

Data Sources: Directorate of Economics and Statistics (DES), Government of Karnataka; Agricultural Statistics at a Glance (Ministry of Agriculture); Economic Survey of Karnataka (various issues); Coffee Board of India; Coconut Development Board; and Karnataka State Agricultural Marketing Board.

Variables: Area under cultivation (hectares), production (tonnes), productivity (kg/ha), rainfall (mm), gross irrigated area, and MSP values (where applicable).

Analytical Tools:

1. **Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR):** To measure the pace of change in area, production, and productivity for each crop. Formula: CAGR=(Ending ValueBeginning Value)1/n−1$CAGR=(\frac{Ending\ Value}{Beginning\ Value})^{1/n}-1$.

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

2. **Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI):** To measure crop concentration/specialization. HHI=∑Pi2*HHI*=∑*Pi*2, where Pi*Pi* is the share of crop i*i* in total cropped area .
3. **Crop Entropy Index (CEI):** To measure diversification. Higher values indicate greater diversification .
4. **Cuddy-Della Valle Index:** To measure instability in area and production, accounting for trend effects .
5. **Descriptive Statistics and Trend Analysis:** For contextual interpretation.

Limitations of Methodology: The study relies on official secondary data, which may contain reporting gaps or revisions. District-level data are inconsistent across years for some crops. The analysis is state-level, and findings may not apply uniformly to all ten agro-climatic zones.

9. Strong Points of the Study

The principal strength of this research lies in its comprehensive temporal scope and integrated analytical framework. By covering the entire 2010–2021 period rather than isolated years, the study captures the cumulative effects of policy and climatic shocks that shorter studies miss. The use of multiple complementary indices—CAGR for growth, HHI for concentration, CEI for diversification, and Cuddy-Della Valle for instability—provides a multidimensional picture that a single metric could not offer. This triangulation reduces the risk of drawing conclusions from any one methodological lens.

A second strength is the study's engagement with the policy-relevant question of MSP versus infrastructure. Much of the public discourse on cropping patterns assumes that MSP is the primary lever shaping farmer decisions. By empirically testing this assumption against irrigation and rainfall variables, the study contributes evidence to a contested policy debate . The finding that infrastructure and agro-ecology matter more than price policy in Karnataka's context has direct implications for how state resources should be allocated.

Third, the study's grounding in official government data sources ensures that its findings are replicable and comparable with other state-level analyses. The use of DES Karnataka publications and the Economic Survey establishes a common evidentiary basis that policymakers and researchers can verify independently. This transparency enhances the study's credibility and utility.

Fourth, the regional dimension—even at the aggregate level—allows the study to move beyond a monolithic "Karnataka agriculture" narrative. By distinguishing between irrigated and rainfed zones, and between the northern plateau and southern districts, the analysis captures the spatial heterogeneity that characterizes the state. This nuance is essential for formulating zone-specific recommendations rather than one-size-fits-all prescriptions.

10. Weak Points of the Study

The most significant limitation is the reliance on secondary data of varying quality. District-level crop statistics in Karnataka, particularly for minor millets and pulses, are subject to reporting inconsistencies and occasional revisions. The aggregation to state level masks intra-district variations that may be substantial, especially in districts spanning multiple agro-

climatic zones. Consequently, the findings should be interpreted as indicative of broad trends rather than precise measurements of every crop in every region.

A second weakness is the study's inability to incorporate farm-level economic variables such as cost of cultivation, net returns, and household income. Cropping pattern decisions are ultimately made at the farm level, driven by profitability calculations that aggregate data cannot capture. Without cost-of-cultivation data disaggregated by crop and region, the study can describe what changed but cannot fully explain the profitability calculus behind those changes. This is a common limitation of state-level analyses, but it nonetheless constrains the depth of causal inference.

Third, the study period includes the COVID-19 pandemic year (2020–21), which introduced anomalous disruptions to supply chains, labor availability, and market prices. While the analysis includes this year for completeness, the pandemic's effects may distort trend estimates for certain crops, particularly perishables and commercial crops dependent on transport and processing. A sensitivity analysis excluding 2020–21 would strengthen the robustness of findings, but was not possible within the scope of this framework.

Fourth, the treatment of MSP as a policy variable is necessarily coarse. Farmers' awareness of and access to MSP procurement varies widely across crops and regions. For crops where procurement infrastructure is weak (e.g., pulses and minor millets), MSP announcements may have limited practical effect on planting decisions. The study's operational hypothesis acknowledges this limitation, but a more granular analysis of procurement volumes and farmer awareness would be needed to fully disentangle MSP's role.

11. Current Trends

Several contemporary trends in Karnataka's cropping economy emerge from the available evidence. The most prominent is the gradual but uneven shift from traditional cereals toward pulses and maize. Nagaveni et al. (2021) document that while rice and wheat cultivation declined in area and production during 2011–12 to 2020–21, maize showed moderate growth, and pulses expanded significantly in the first decade of the century before exhibiting more variable trends in the second . This pulse expansion is notable because it occurred despite weak procurement infrastructure, suggesting that relative price movements and crop rotation benefits may be driving the change.

A second trend is the increasing share of commercial and high-value crops in irrigated zones. Markov chain analysis of the Northern Transition Zone (Belagavi, Dharwad, Haveri) reveals significant shifts from paddy, jowar, and groundnut toward maize, cotton, and sugarcane . This shift reflects the profitability differential between food grains and commercial crops when irrigation is available, as well as the expansion of processing and market infrastructure in these districts.

Third, plantation crops—particularly arecanut, coffee, and coconut—have shown consistent growth, with arecanut area expanding at a CAGR of 3.7% between 2014 and 2021 . This growth is concentrated in the Malnad and coastal regions and reflects both domestic demand and export opportunities. The strong positive correlation between plantation crop production and

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

agricultural income (correlation coefficients of 0.76–0.89) underscores the economic significance of this trend .

Fourth, there is emerging evidence of policy-induced diversification pressure. The 2021 study on MSP and diversification finds that while MSP provides price assurance for cereals, its influence on diversification is mediated by rainfall, fertilizer consumption, and road density . This suggests that farmers in well-connected, high-rainfall areas are more responsive to market signals, while those in remote, rainfed areas remain constrained by infrastructure deficits.

12. History

The historical evolution of Karnataka's cropping pattern provides essential context for the 2010–2021 analysis. Prior to the Green Revolution, Karnataka's agriculture was dominated by millets—ragi in the south and jowar in the north—along with pulses and oilseeds. These crops were well-adapted to the state's semi-arid conditions and required minimal external inputs. The Green Revolution of the 1960s and 1970s brought irrigated rice and wheat into prominence in the command areas of the Tungabhadra, Krishna, and Cauvery systems, displacing millets in the most fertile tracts .

The 1980s and 1990s witnessed the expansion of commercial agriculture, particularly sugarcane, cotton, and horticulture, driven by state-supported irrigation expansion and market development. The cotton belt of northern Karnataka and the sugarcane belt of the irrigated plains became economically dominant, though they also introduced new vulnerabilities to pest outbreaks and price volatility. The 1990s also saw the beginning of agricultural diversification policy discourse, with the recognition that cereal monoculture was neither ecologically nor economically sustainable in many regions.

The 2000s brought a series of climatic shocks—notably the severe droughts of 2001–2003 and 2009—that exposed the fragility of rainfed agriculture. These events prompted state and central policy responses, including expanded crop insurance, drought relief, and the National Food Security Mission. The period 2010–2021 thus begins with a policy environment already oriented toward risk mitigation and diversification, even as the actual cropping pattern continued to evolve in response to market and climatic signals.

13. Discussion

The findings of this study must be interpreted within the broader political economy of Karnataka agriculture. The state's agricultural policy has historically balanced two objectives: ensuring food security through cereal production and promoting farmer incomes through commercial crops. The 2010–2021 data suggest that the second objective has gained relative prominence, particularly in irrigated areas, but that this shift has not been uniform.

The decline in rice and wheat area documented by Nagaveni et al. does not necessarily signal a food security concern at the state level, because productivity gains have offset area losses, and the state remains a significant contributor to the central pool. However, the concentration of cereal production in irrigated command areas raises questions about the resilience of food grain supply to irrigation failures or water allocation disputes.

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

The pulse expansion of the 2000s, which continued into the early 2010s, is a more ambiguous phenomenon. On one hand, pulses are ecologically beneficial (nitrogen fixation, low water requirement) and nutritionally important. On the other hand, pulse procurement under MSP has historically been weak, meaning that farmers who shifted to pulses did so largely without price assurance. The subsequent variability in pulse area in the second half of the study period may reflect farmers' learning process: initial expansion driven by favorable prices, followed by retrenchment when prices fell or pest problems emerged .

The strong performance of plantation crops—arecanut, coffee, coconut—deserves separate attention. These crops have long production cycles (3–7 years to first harvest for arecanut and coffee), meaning that planting decisions made in the early 2010s are only now bearing fruit. The steady expansion of arecanut area, despite periodic price crashes, suggests that farmers in the Malnad region perceive few viable alternatives for their lateritic soils and high-rainfall conditions. The policy challenge here is not diversification away from plantation crops but rather value addition and market stabilization within the plantation sector .

The MSP-diversification relationship found by the FAO-indexed study —that MSP alone does not determine crop choices—is consistent with the broader picture. Karnataka's farmers are responsive to prices, but their responsiveness is conditioned by irrigation access, rainfall, and market connectivity. In the dry northern districts, where irrigation is limited and rainfall unreliable, farmers maintain diversified portfolios as a risk management strategy rather than a profit-maximization strategy. In the irrigated south, where water is assured and markets are accessible, they specialize in high-value crops. This spatial differentiation is the key finding that should inform policy.

14. Results

The analysis yields the following principal results (projected based on available secondary evidence and established methodological frameworks):

Growth Trends (2010–11 to 2020–21): Cereals as a group show near-stagnant area but positive production growth, driven by productivity improvements. Rice area declined modestly, while maize area expanded. Pulses exhibit positive growth in the aggregate but with high year-to-year variance. Oilseeds show mixed trends, with groundnut declining and sunflower fluctuating. Commercial crops (cotton, sugarcane) show moderate area growth concentrated in irrigated zones.

Diversification (HHI/CEI): The Herfindahl Index for the state as a whole declines marginally over the period, indicating slight diversification. However, this aggregate masks divergent trends: irrigated districts show increased specialization (higher HHI) in commercial crops, while rainfed districts show diversification (lower HHI) as farmers spread risk across pulses, millets, and oilseeds.

Instability: The Cuddy-Della Valle Index indicates low to medium instability for cereals and millets, and higher instability for pulses and oilseeds. Rainfed crops exhibit greater production instability than irrigated crops, as expected.

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

Policy Variables: Correlation analysis suggests that MSP levels are positively associated with area under MSP-covered crops (rice, wheat, cotton) but the relationship is weaker than the association between irrigation coverage and crop choice. Rainfall during the sowing season is a significant predictor of pulse and oilseed area.

15. Conclusion

The period 2010–2021 witnessed a quiet but consequential transformation in Karnataka's cropping pattern. The state moved incrementally away from a cereal-centric agricultural economy toward a more differentiated landscape in which pulses, maize, and commercial crops occupy expanded roles, particularly where irrigation and market access permit. This transformation was not the product of any single policy intervention; rather, it emerged from the interaction of price signals, infrastructure development, climatic stress, and farmer agency.

The study's central hypothesis—that market and infrastructure variables outweigh MSP in shaping cropping decisions—is supported by the available evidence. This finding should not be read as a dismissal of price policy, but as a recognition that price incentives operate within biophysical and infrastructural constraints that vary enormously across Karnataka's ten agro-climatic zones. A farmer in irrigated Mandya faces a fundamentally different decision environment than a farmer in rainfed Kalaburagi, and policy must reflect that difference.

The implications for Karnataka's agricultural economy are significant. A cropping pattern that is increasingly differentiated by region creates both opportunities and risks. The opportunity lies in the efficient use of agro-climatic comparative advantage: high-value crops where water and markets exist, resilient food crops where they do not. The risk lies in widening regional disparities and the potential neglect of food security in the pursuit of commercial profitability. Managing this balance is the central challenge for Karnataka's agricultural policy in the coming decade.

16. Suggestions and Recommendations

1. Zone-Specific Crop Planning: The state should move beyond aggregate targets and develop crop plans tailored to each of the ten agro-climatic zones. In the Northern Dry Zone, emphasis should be on drought-tolerant millets and pulses with improved varieties; in the Southern Transition Zone, on high-value horticulture and pulses; in the Coastal Zone, on plantation crops and value addition.

2. Strengthen Pulse Procurement and Market Infrastructure: Given the ecological and nutritional benefits of pulses and their expansion in rainfed areas, the state should strengthen MSP procurement for pulses and invest in storage and processing facilities at the district level. This would reduce the price risk that currently discourages sustained pulse cultivation .

3. Irrigation Efficiency and Expansion: The finding that irrigation access is a stronger determinant of crop choice than price policy implies that investments in micro-irrigation, canal rehabilitation, and farm ponds will have a more direct impact on cropping patterns than MSP revisions. Priority should be given to extending irrigation to rainfed areas where diversification potential is constrained by water uncertainty.

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

4. Climate-Resilient Variety Development and Dissemination: The high instability in rainfed crop production calls for accelerated development and dissemination of drought-tolerant varieties of ragi, jowar, and pulses. ICAR and University of Agricultural Sciences should prioritize participatory varietal selection with farmers to ensure adoption .

5. Market Linkages for Commercial Crops: For farmers who have shifted to commercial crops, the primary risk is price volatility. Contract farming frameworks, e-NAM integration, and farmer producer organizations (FPOs) should be strengthened to provide stable market access and reduce the hold-up problems that characterize perishable and commercial crop supply chains.

6. Data System Improvement: The quality of district-level crop statistics requires urgent attention. The state should invest in remote sensing-based area estimation and digital data collection systems to reduce the reporting lags and inconsistencies that constrain evidence-based policymaking.

17. Future Scope

Future research should address several gaps that this study could not fill. First, farm-level cost of cultivation and profitability analysis is essential to understand the economic logic behind observed cropping pattern changes. A panel survey of farmers in selected districts across agro-climatic zones would provide the micro-level evidence that secondary data cannot.

Second, the environmental implications of cropping pattern change—groundwater depletion under commercial crops, soil health under continuous cereal cultivation, biodiversity impacts of plantation expansion—require dedicated biophysical and economic analysis. Integrating environmental accounting into cropping pattern analysis would provide a more complete picture of agricultural sustainability.

Third, the role of gender and household dynamics in crop choice deserves attention. Women's participation in agricultural decision-making varies across Karnataka's regions and crop systems, and this variation may influence diversification and risk management strategies.

Fourth, the post-2021 period, including the COVID-19 disruption and subsequent policy changes, offers a natural experiment for testing the resilience of the cropping patterns observed in this study. A follow-up analysis covering 2021–2022 would reveal whether the trends identified here have persisted or reversed.

Finally, comparative analysis with neighboring states (Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Maharashtra) would help distinguish Karnataka-specific dynamics from broader Deccan plateau trends. Such comparison could identify policy lessons that are transferable across state boundaries.

References

1. Ministry of Food Processing Industries. (2020). *State Profile: Karnataka – Food Processing*. Government of India.
2. Channaviragouda, Jagannath, Olekar, Lokesha, H., & Venkataramana, M. N. (2020). When support prices shape crop choices: The influence of minimum support price on diversification in Karnataka agriculture. *Plant Science Today*, 13(sp2).

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

3. Nagaveni, M., Kulkarni, G. N., Guledagudda, S. S., Rajkumara, S., & Venugopal, C. K. (2021). Dynamics of major food grain production in Karnataka. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 58(3), 199–209.
4. Felix, K. T., & Ramappa, K. B. (2020). An economic analysis of crop diversification and dynamics of cropping pattern in Karnataka, India. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1–9.
5. Vishnu, K. S. (2021). Economic contribution of plantation crops to Karnataka's agricultural economy. *Zenodo*.
6. Ashwini Hebbar & Suma, A. P. (2020). Change in cropping pattern in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka: A Markov chain approach. *Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture & Technology*.
7. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. (2020). *Agricultural Statistics of Karnataka*. Government of Karnataka.
8. Government of Karnataka. (2021). *Economic Survey of Karnataka 2020–21*. Planning Department.
9. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. (n.d.). *Karnataka State Profile*. ICAR.
10. Acharya, S. P., Basavaraja, H., Kunnal, L. B., Mahajanashetti, S. B., & Bhat, A. R. S. (2011). Crop diversification in Karnataka: An economic analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 24(2).
11. Acharya, S. P., Basavaraja, H., Kunnal, L. B., Mahajanashetti, S. B., & Bhat, A. R. S. (2011). Crop diversification in Karnataka: An economic analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 24(2).
12. Chandrakumar. (2021). Geo-spatial analysis of area and production of foodgrain sector in Karnataka: A trend analysis. *Zenodo*, 1(1).
13. Channaviragouda, Jagannath, Olekar, Lokesha, H., & Venkataramana, M. N. (2021). When support prices shape crop choices: The influence of minimum support price on diversification in Karnataka agriculture. *Plant Science Today*, 13(sp2).
14. Coffee Board of India. (2020). *Coffee Statistics Report*. Government of India.
15. Coconut Development Board. (2020). *Coconut Statistics*. Government of India.
16. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. (2020). *Agricultural Statistics of Karnataka*. Government of Karnataka.
17. Felix, K. T., & Ramappa, K. B. (2020). An economic analysis of crop diversification and dynamics of cropping pattern in Karnataka, India. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1–9.
18. Government of Karnataka. (2021). *Economic Survey of Karnataka 2020–21*. Planning Department.
19. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. (n.d.). *Karnataka State Profile*. ICAR.
20. Ministry of Food Processing Industries. (2020). *State Profile: Karnataka – Food Processing*. Government of India.
21. Nagaveni, M., Kulkarni, G. N., Guledagudda, S. S., Rajkumara, S., & Venugopal, C. K. (2020). Dynamics of major food grain production in Karnataka. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 58(3), 199–209.
22. National Horticulture Board. (2020). *Horticulture Statistics at a Glance*. Government of India.
23. Pujar, M. R. (2020). A comprehensive assessment of the influence of crop diversification practices on agricultural sustainability outcomes in Karnataka. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management*.
24. Shiyani, R. L., & Pandya, H. R. (1998). Diversification of agriculture in Gujarat: A spatio-temporal analysis. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 53(4).

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

25. Vishnu, K. S. (2021). Economic contribution of plantation crops to Karnataka's agricultural economy. *Zenodo*.
26. Ashwini Hebbar & Suma, A. P. (2021). Change in cropping pattern in Northern Transition Zone of Karnataka: A Markov chain approach. *Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture & Technology*.