ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper<sup>©</sup> 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

# ASSESSINGFARMERS' KNOWLEDGE OF SCIENTIFIC DAIRY FARMING PRACTICES FOR TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY THROUGH RKVY'S MODERN EXTENSION DELIVERY SYSTEM

Dr. S.Swetha Kanthi

Assistant Professor & Co-Principal Investigator-RKVYToT Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University College of Veterinary Science-Proddatur

## ABSTRACT

In the realm of agricultural development, the dissemination of scientific knowledge to farmers, particularly in regions with high illiteracy rates and gender-based technology disparities, presents a significant challenge. Addressing this challenge, Sri Venkateshwara Veterinary University (SVVU) introduced a groundbreaking extension delivery system in 2017, exemplified by the innovative "PashuVignana Sanchara Vahini" mobile vehicle. Through direct village-level engagements, SVVU has empowered farmers with modern agricultural and animal husbandry practices, fostering collaboration and learning within farming communities. Building on this success, an RKVY project expanded the initiative to regional institutions in Andhra Pradesh under SVVU, focusing on localized solutions for farmers' challenges. Recognizing the pivotal role of farmers' knowledge in income generation, this research aimed to develop a knowledge test for dairy farmers to gauge their understanding of scientific dairy practices for the effective application of modern delivery systems. Drawing on established methodologies, a panel of experts constructed a 45-item locally applicable knowledge test, demonstrating high stability and validity. The absence of items on crossbreeds and improved fodder cultivation in the knowledge test is attributed to the region's predominant nondescript dairy breeds and negligible fodder production, exacerbated by the semiarid climate. The test, focusing on scientific dairyanimal production, offers a dichotomous assessment of farmers' scientific knowledge, contributing to targeted knowledge transfer strategies and sustainable agricultural development in the regions of the research area.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

While one side of the world is talking about fourth-generation technologies, precision farming(Fontas,2025), and considering them as game-changing technologies that will revolutionize the way information is disseminated and consumed by farming communities, Considering the ground realities of the Percentage of illiterate farmers in India, Gender-based data on technology consumption and availability of Extension delivery system, and the ratio of extension worker to the farmer, It is evident that several inherent factors influence farmers information seeking behavior (Birnerand



#### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

#### Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

Anderson2007), (Gulati,2018).Contemporary agricultural information dissemination underscores the importance of bringing information systems directly to farmers, recognizing the multitude of inherent factors influencing farmers' information-seeking behavior.(Kaegi S. 2015)

With a grassroots approach to scientific outreach, taking the scientific expertise to the farmer's doorsteps, A twofold approach to disseminate scientific knowledge and understand the unique challenges facing farmers in their environments, a first-of-itskind modern extension delivery system was initiated at Sri Venkateshwara Veterinary University(SVVU) in the year 2017 and has been disseminating scientific practices significantly enhancing farmers' knowledge and practices. A mobile Information dissemination vehicle named "PASHU VIGNANA SANCHARA VAHINI'(Mobile Animal husbandrywisdomcarrier)' was envisioned. Through interactive sessions and demonstrations conducted directly atthe village level, farmers have gained valuable insights into modern agricultural techniques and animal husbandry practices initiated by SVVU. The accessibility of expert guidance and resources delivered right to their doorstep has empowered farmers to make informed decisions and adopt innovative approaches toAnimal Husbandry practices. This initiative has fostered a sense of collaboration and learning within the farming community. By bridging the gap between academia and agriculture, the information dissemination vehicle has become an invaluable tool for driving sustainable development and prosperity among farmers.Expanding this initiative furtherto 2 regional Institutions atGannavaram and Proddaturof Andhra Pradesh an RKVY project titled "Transfer of Technology to the farmers and allied sectors through modern extension delivery system" was initiated. The unique objective was to extend the success and decentralize the facility to create regional impact by addressing local needs and arriving at localized solutions for the regional challenges faced by farmers.

In India Milk production increased from 165.4 to 176.4 million tonnes between 2016-17 and 2017-18, marking a 6.7% growth. This rise in production also led to an enhanced per capita milk availability of 375 grams per day in 2017-18 (NDDB). Andhra Pradesh ranks first in Sheep and Goat Production and 5<sup>th</sup> in Milk production in India as per DAHD 2012 report. The Proddatur mandal of Kadapa district of Andhra Pradesh is situated in the Rayalaseema regionwhich is classified as the semi-arid region of the Indian sub-continent and receives less than 7mm annual rainfall as per the meteorological report. Animal Husbandry forms a major Income and subsidiary income-generatingactivity for many farmers in Rayalaseema districts. The crucial factor In deciding farmers' income generation, farmers' information needs, and training needs is the knowledge level of the farmer through which She/he is operating the whole income-generating/entrepreneurial setup. The present researchis aimed at developing a knowledge test for Dairy farmers to reach the grassroots and the position of farmers' understanding to estimate the gap for customized knowledge transfer.



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

#### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The present methodology of knowledge test on Scientific Dairy practices was developed by using standard methodology. Wang (1932), Thurstone and Chave (1929), Likert (1932), Bird (1940), and Edwards and Kilpatrick (1948) have suggested various informal criteria for editing statements to be used in the construction of attitude scales. Their suggestions are summarized as 14 informal criteria by Edwards(1957), In addition to this Payne (1951) has proposed a checklist while considering single questions for public opinion surveys which is also taken into account and the same has been followed to construct the Knowledge test. A knowledge test to evaluate scientific dairy practices consisting of 85 multiple-choice questions designed by experts based on scientific literature was designed. A panel of experts was contacted through personal communication through scientific networks and 32 judges reviewed the items for relevance, yielding 30 responses. The relevancy score for each item was determined by the summation method. For each item two types of scores were calculated: relevancy weightage (RW) and mean relevancy score (MRS). These scores were derived using specific formulas.

## **Relevancy Weightage :**

 $(Mostrelevant \times 5) + (Somewhatrelevant \times 4) + (Relevant \times 3) + (Least relevant \times 2) + (Notrelevant \times 1)$ 

Relevancyweightage=Maximumpossiblescore

### Mean Relevancy Score:

 $(Mostrelevant \times 5)+(Somewhatrelevant \times 4)+(Relevant \times 3)+(Leastrelevant \times 2)+(Notrelevant \times 1)$ 

Meanrelevancy

Score=Number of Judges

Itemdifficultyindex

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{N}} \ge 100$$

Where, P=Itemdifficultyindexinthepercentage, n=Number of the respondents giving the correct answer to items, N = totalnumber of respondents to whom the items were administered.

#### Discriminationindex

$$E/3 = \frac{(S1+S2)-(S5+S6)}{N/3}$$

Where, N = Total number of respondents to whom the itemswereadministered.S1andS2arethefrequenciesofcorrectanswersof the highest and



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper<sup>©</sup> 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

higher scores, respectively. S5 and S6 are the frequencies of correct answers of lower and lowest scores, respectively.

#### Reliability was tested usingCronbach'salpha:

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{K}{K-1}\right) \left(\frac{S^2 - \sum S^2}{S_y^2}\right)$$

Where,Kisthe numberofitemsinthe test, $S^2$ Varianceassociated with the total observed score, and  $S^2$ Varianceassociated with the individualitem score.

### Item Content Validity Index (I-CVI)

I-CVI (Item-content validity index) =

Number of experts

### **Stability Content Validity Index (S-CVI)**

S-CVI (Scale-content validity index) = Number of items

Thirty-six dairy farmers from *Sunnapurallapalle* village, an adopted village of the University and designated as a non-sample area for the present research, participated in assessing 85 selected items. The difficulty index (P), calculated as the percentage of respondents providing correct answers to individual items, aimed to filter out extremely difficult or easy items. An optimal difficulty index falls within the 30-70% range, indicating excellent items. Items with P values between 30 to 80 were considered for inclusion in the final knowledge test for Dairy farmers. A higher P-value indicates easier items. Discrimination index values above 0.3 were sought for item selection, aiming to distinguish highly knowledgeable Dairy farmers from less knowledgeable ones. Eventually, 45 items were chosen for the knowledge test, ensuring a differentiation between high and less knowledgeable farmers. The final selection of items was based on the results of difficulty and discrimination indices calculated as per the standard formula. The knowledge test comprised a total of 45 items, as outlined in Table 1. The items/Questions were translated into regional language and administered to the farmers individually.



## ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

### Research paper<sup>©</sup> 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

**Table1.**Difficultyindex,discriminationindex,andI-CVIoftheknowledgeitemsforAssessing ScientificScientificScientificScientific

| SN | Items  | Difficult<br>y Index | Discrimin<br>ation<br>Index | Agreeme<br>nt<br>between<br>experts | I-CVI  |
|----|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| 1  | How should cattle be fed dry<br>and green grass to maximize<br>consumption and<br>digestibility? | 71.7<br>9            | 0.31                        | 4                                   | 0.6667 |
|    | Chopped / not chopped /<br>none of the above   |                      |                             |                                     |        |
| 2  | How many hours after birth<br>should a newborn calf be<br>offered colostrum??                    | 74.3<br>6            | 0.31                        | 5                                   | 0.8333 |
|    | Within 1 hour / after 1 hour<br>/ can be given at any time                                       |                      |                             |                                     |        |
| 3  | What is the typical gestation period for a cow or buffalo?                                       | 92.3<br>1            | 0.46                        | 6                                   | 1      |
|    | 6-7 months / 9-10 months /<br>12-14 months   |                      |                             |                                     |        |
| 4  | Animal sheds should be<br>oriented in the following<br>direction for good<br>ventilation:        | 82.0<br>5            | 0.31                        | 5                                   | 0.8333 |
|    | East-west / north-<br>south/south - east / north-<br>west  |                      |                             |                                     |        |
| 5  | Milking should be completed within   | 92.3<br>1            | 0.46                        | 6                                   | 1      |
|    | 1-2 mins / 5-7 mins / 10-12<br>mins  |                      |                             |                                     |        |
| 6  | Maximum quantity of green<br>fodder that can be given to a<br>cow is                             | 69.2<br>3            | 0.31                        | 5                                   | 0.8333 |
|    | 15 - 20 kg / 25 – 30 kg/ 35 –<br>40 kg   |                      |                             |                                     |        |



### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

#### Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

| 7  | What percent of the total cost<br>of milk production is<br>contributed by feed costs?   | 64.1<br>0 | 0.31 | 4 | 0.6667 |
|----|---|-----------|------|---|--------|
|    | 30% / 60 % / 80 %   |           |      |   |        |
| 8  | Using exotic bull semen for<br>breeding native zebu cows<br>increases milk production   | 66.6<br>7 | 0.31 | 4 | 0.6667 |
|    | Yes / No / I am not aware   |           |      |   |        |
| 9  | Symptoms you have noticed during heat in cattle   | 74.3<br>6 | 0.31 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | Mounting other cows /<br>Bellowing/mucus discharge<br>from vagina / all of these  |           |      |   |        |
| 10 | When is the best time to<br>artificially inseminate (AI) a<br>cow that is in heat for best<br>results?                                      | 84.6<br>2 | 0.31 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | Start of estrus / Middle of<br>estrus / End of estrus   |           |      |   |        |
| 11 | Age at sexual maturity in indigenous cows is around   | 79.4<br>9 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | 12-15 months / 24 months /<br>30 months   |           |      |   |        |
| 12 | Wallowing is most essential during summer for   | 79.4<br>9 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | Calves / Heifers / Buffaloes  |           |      |   |        |
| 13 | After parturition, placenta<br>should be expelled<br>completely within  | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | 24 hours / 48 hours / 72<br>hours   |           |      |   |        |
| 14 | How much more concentrate<br>should be given to pregnant<br>cows starting from the<br>seventh month of pregnancy?<br>0.5 kg / 1.5 kg / 3 kg | 56.4<br>1 | 0.31 | 4 | 0.6667 |
| 1  | 0.3 Kg / 1.3 Kg / 3 Kg  |           |      |   |        |



### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

| 15 | The animal house should have proper ventilation for  | 89.7<br>4 | 0.46 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|----|--|-----------|------|---|--------|
|    | Proper airflow /They are ok<br>in closed environments too/<br>Animals can survive with<br>insufficient airflow       |           |      |   |        |
| 16 | Biogas can be produced from<br>Cow urine / Cow dung /<br>Cow milk  | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
| 17 | Deworming is essential only<br>in female cattle?<br>Yes / No / Not aware   | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
| 18 | For effective drainage, the<br>floor of the standing area in<br>the cow should be<br>Slope/High/Equal level          | 69.2<br>3 | 0.31 | 6 | 1      |
| 19 | After cutting the navel cord,<br>what should be applied to the<br>stump?<br>Cow dung / Antiseptic /                  | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
| 20 | Which milking technique is<br>recommended to ensure<br>udder health?<br>Knuckling / Full hand<br>milking / Stripping | 89.7<br>4 | 0.46 | 5 | 0.8333 |
| 21 | Regularsprayingofectoparasiticides is needed toReduceroundwormsreduceflies,lice,ticksreducemastitis                  | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
| 22 | Castration in male calves is<br>done to<br>Improve the horn length /<br>make it docile / for<br>breeding female cows | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |



### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

### Research paper<sup>©</sup> 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

| 23 | NPN compounds can be used for feeding cattle  | 61.5<br>4 | 0.31 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|----|---|-----------|------|---|--------|
|    | At any age / at calf age only<br>/ only after age of 6 months   |           |      |   |        |
| 24 | High temperature in the cow shed results in   | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | Increased milk yield / low<br>milk fat/high milk fat  |           |      |   |        |
| 25 | The detrimental impact of<br>heat stress on output is<br>lessened when water is<br>sprayed on dairy cows prior<br>to milking. | 89.7<br>4 | 0.46 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | Yes / no / not aware  |           |      |   |        |
| 26 | Which of the following are more thermo-tolerant?  | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | Exotic breeds / Crossbred<br>animals / Indigenous<br>animals  |           |      |   |        |
| 27 | After how many days of calving does cows can be bred again?   | 64.1<br>0 | 0.31 | 6 | 1      |
|    | 30 days / 60 days /<br>immediately after calving  |           |      |   |        |
| 28 | The optimum calving interval in cattle is   | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | 1 year / 2 years / 3 years  |           |      |   |        |
| 29 | Advanced pregnant animals<br>need to be assigned to<br>calving pens in order to   | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | Cause abortion / provide<br>the cow and calf greater<br>protection / to create<br>disturbance to other cows                   |           |      |   |        |
| 30 | Can a pregnant cow be<br>milked in last trimester   | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | res/inot sure/ino ary period  |           |      |   |        |



### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

#### Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

|    | is required   |           |      |   |        |
|----|---|-----------|------|---|--------|
| 31 | Single-row housing is sufficient for how many cows?   | 58.9<br>7 | 0.31 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | 10-20 cows / 25-30 cows /<br>35-40 cows   |           |      |   |        |
| 32 | The recommended daily<br>amount of clean, fresh<br>drinking water for pregnant<br>animals to be given around-<br>the-clock is<br><b>20-30 litres / 40-50 litres /</b> | 89.7<br>4 | 0.46 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | 70-80 litres  |           |      |   |        |
| 33 | Which of the following<br>animal diseases can infect<br>humans also?  | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | T.B. / Mastitis / FMD   |           |      |   |        |
| 34 | Common disease seen in calves   | 89.7<br>4 | 0.46 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | Mastitis / Brucellosis /<br>Scours  |           |      |   |        |
| 35 | Carcass of animal affected<br>with which disease should<br>not be opened  | 92.3<br>1 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | Brucellosis / FMD /<br>Anthrax  |           |      |   |        |
| 36 | Muzzle of healthy animals should be   | 89.7<br>4 | 0.46 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | Dry / Moist / flaky   |           |      |   |        |
| 37 | One acre of land is essential<br>for producing fodder<br>required for   | 56.4<br>1 | 0.31 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | 2 cows / 5 cows / 10 cows   |           |      |   |        |
| 38 | Vaccination in livestock is done to   | 89.7<br>4 | 0.46 | 5 | 0.8333 |
|    | Reduce population /<br>prevent disease / cause  |           |      |   |        |



### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

### Research paper<sup>©</sup> 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

|    | disease  |           |      |   |        |
|----|--|-----------|------|---|--------|
| 39 | Calf starter should be fed to calves from age  | 51.2<br>8 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | 10 days / 30 days /<br>Immediately after birth   |           |      |   |        |
| 40 | Vaccination should be done by  | 71.7<br>9 | 0.38 | 6 | 1      |
|    | Veterinarian /Any one<br>/Animal Attender  |           |      |   |        |
| 41 | Debudding can be done at<br>what age in the calf for best<br>results?                    | 64.1<br>0 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | 1-2 weeks / 3-4 weeks / 5-6<br>weeks   |           |      |   |        |
| 42 | At breeding, heifers should<br>attain % of<br>their adult body weight                    | 58.9<br>7 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | 25-30 % / 45-50 % / 55-60<br>%   |           |      |   |        |
| 43 | After cleaning, milking utensils must be kept  | 79.4<br>9 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | In the animal shed / in<br>Sunlight/inside room  |           |      |   |        |
| 44 | Vaccination against<br>brucellosis should not be<br>used in                              | 56.4<br>1 | 0.31 | 6 | 1      |
|    | Heifer Cows / Female calf /<br>Breeding bull   |           |      |   |        |
| 45 | After milking, the animal shouldn't sit for 30 minutes in order to                       | 74.3<br>6 | 0.46 | 6 | 1      |
|    | Increase milk yield /<br>prevent udder<br>contamination / promote<br>udder contamination |           |      |   |        |
|    | S-CVI/Avg  |           |      |   | 0.9148 |
|    | Total agreement  |           |      |   | 41.167 |



#### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper<sup>©</sup> 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

The CVI/Avg (Content Validity Index/Average) of all the test items being 0.9148 indicates a high level of stability and validity in the constructed knowledge test. This means that the items in the test are deemed highly relevant and appropriate for measuring the scientific dairy practice knowledge levels of dairy farmers. With 45 items in total, the test is designed to be administered in anMCQ format, where respondents undergoan application, recollection, and eliminationprocess before arriving at the correct answer, rather than simply responding to simple dichotomous answers "Yes" or "No" which may lead to mechanical answering to each item. The overall score of an individual on the knowledge level of scientific dairy farming is calculated based on their correct responses, ranging from 0 to 30, providing a comprehensive measure of their understanding of this domain. Methodological constraints in knowledge tests for scientific dairy farming include biases in item selection to achieve balanced responses and maintain farmers'interest to respond, cultural considerations, challenges in standardization of verbal responses to numerical quantification, localized scope, lengthy response format limitations, dialect translation issues, etc. These constraints can impact the validity, reliability, and generalizability of test results, highlighting the need for careful attention to test design, validation procedures, and cultural relevance to ensure the effectiveness of knowledge assessment in scientific dairy farming contexts.

#### CONCLUSION

Dairy farmers currently lack sufficient knowledge regarding the effective management of scientific methods of dairy farming. These knowledge gaps pose significant barriers to achieving desired levels of production and productivity. Consequently, understanding the level of knowledge among dairy farmers regarding scientific methods of dairy farming is crucial for developing necessary policies and initiatives to raise awareness as per the objectives of the modern information dissemination system. Therefore, a knowledge test has been developed specifically focusing on scientific methods of dairy farming. This test aims to assess the knowledge levels of dairy farmers, providing a broader picture of the awareness and training programs that need to be tailored to their needs. By providing such programs, farmers can enhance their understanding of scientific methods of dairy farming, ultimately improving their practices and increasing their incomes.

A mobile information dissemination vehicle serves as a crucial tool in narrowing the knowledge gap among dairy farmers regarding scientific methods of dairy farming, particularly in remote and rural areas. By directly reaching out to these communities, the vehicle facilitates access to modern farming practices for geographically isolated farmers. Equipped with multimedia resources and interactive workshops, it enables farmers to engage with experts, enhancing their understanding through hands-on learning experiences. Furthermore, the vehicle can provide real-time updates on best practices and tailored training programs, addressing specific regional needs and fostering community engagement. With sustained visits and ongoing relationships, these vehicles promote



#### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

#### Research paper<sup>©</sup> 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

knowledge-sharing and innovation, ultimately improving productivity, profitability, and sustainability within the dairy industry.

## **References:**

Aayog N. 2017. Changing structure of rural economy of India implications for employment and growth. National Institution for Transforming India, Government of India.

Bird, C. (1940). Social Psychology. New York: Appleton Century Crofts.

Birner R and Anderson J R. 2007. How to make agricultural extension demand driven? The case of India's agricultural extension policy (Vol. 729). Intl Food Policy Res Inst.

Bitzer, V., Wennink, B., &Piters, B.D. (2016). The governance of agricultural extension systems.

Collins, L. M. (2007). Research Design and Methods. Encyclopaedia of Gerontology. pp 433-442.

Edward, A.L. (1957). Techniques of Attitude Scale Construction. Vakils, Feffer and Simons Inc, New York.

Ferroni M. & Zhou Y. (2012): Achievements and Challenges in Agricultural Extension in India. Global Journal of Emerging Market Economies. 4(3) 319–346. SAGE Publications

Gulati A, Sharma P, Samantara A and Terway P. 2018. Agriculture extension system in India: Review of current status, trends and the way forward. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations.

Kaegi S. 2015. The experiences of India's agricultural extension system in reaching a large number of farmers with rural advisory services. Presented at the Background paper for Workshop Reaching the Millions at Hanoi, Vietnam.

Khurana G S et al (2004). An information needs of young farmers

Likert, R. (1932). A technique for the measurement of attitudes. Archives of psychology, 1 4 0, 1-55. Retrieved on February 7, 2016 from <u>https://www.brocku.ca/MeadProject</u>

Livestock Census (19th) Report. (2012). Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Govt. of India. <u>https://dahd.nic.in/documents/statistics/livestock-census</u>

NationalDairyDevelopmentBoardAnnualReport(2017-18)https://www.nddb.coop/sites/default/fil es/NDDB\_AR\_2017-18\_eng\_new.pdf

Nitin Kumar Mishra, Sariput P. Landge, Vaishali V. Banthiya, S.S. Chopade, A.P. Dhok and Patil, D.V. 2019. Perceived Information Needs of Dairy Farmers from Nagpur District of



#### ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 08, Iss 01, 2019

Maharashtra.Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 8(11): 2189-2186. doi: https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.811.253

Payne, Stanley Le Baron. The Art of Asking Questions: Studies in Public Opinion, 3, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1951. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400858064</u>

Quaigrain, K., Arhin, A. K., & King Fai Hui, S. (2017). Using reliability and item analysis to evaluate a teacher-developed test in educational measurement and evaluation. Cogent Education, 4(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2017.1301013</u>

S. Fountas, G. Carli, C.G. Sørensen, Z. Tsiropoulos, C. Cavalaris, A. Vatsanidou, B. Liakos, M. Canavari, J. Wiebensohn, B. Tisserye, Farm management information systems: Current situation and future perspectives, Computers and Electronics in Agriculture

Sharma GRK (2016) Effectiveness of Multimedia Modules on Dissemination of Knowledge among the Dairy Farmers. J Res Development 4: 142. doi:10.4172/2311-3278.1000142

Singh, A. K. (2013). Tests, measurements, and research methods in behavioral sciences . 5th edn. Bharati bhavan. New Delhi

Thurstone, L.L. & Chave, E.J. (1929). The measurement of attitude. Retrieved onFebruary7, 2018fromhttps://www.brocku.ca/MeadProject/Thurstone/Chave\_1929/1929\_01.html

Wang, K.A. (1932). Suggested criteria for writing attitude statements. Journal of social psychology, 3, 367-373. Retrieved on February 7, 2018 from https://www.brocku.ca/MeadProject/sup/Wang\_1932.html

