ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

An Analysis of the usage of Perioperative Corticosteroids in Dentoalveolar Surgery

Mayank Singhal [1*], Sanjeev Tomar[2], Aruna Nautiyal[3], Divya Singh[4] Saumya Dimri[5], Mansi Singh[6]

[1] Professor, Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR

[2] Reader, Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR

[3] Senior Lecturer, Department of Periodontics and Oral Implantology, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR

[4] Senior Lecturer, Department of Pediatrics & Preventive Dentistry, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR

[5] Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR

[6] Senior Lecturer, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR

Email- [1] drmayank.omfs@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:-

To lessen postsurgical edoema following third molar extraction and other dentoalveolar surgery, dental surgeons are frequently instructed to administer corticosteroids. However, these recommendations are infrequently accompanied by specific instructions on the kind of steroid to use, how much to administer, or for how long to administer it. Numerous current regimens might be subtherapeutic and appear to be based on anecdotal data from articles from the 1960s and 1970s. Insufficient well-designed comparison studies exist, and few regimens have been updated with information from more current investigations. In this article, the literature from the last 30 years is reviewed, significant findings are highlighted, and the data that are currently available are used as a basis for developing interim clinical recommendations for the use of corticosteroids while waiting for the emergence of additional evidence-based data. There was no data meta-analysis done. According to recent research, perioperative corticosteroid regimens should be started before to surgery and delivered at larger dosages and for longer periods of time than previously advised. On the basis of the literature study, preliminary recommendations for the use of corticosteroids are made, including suggested dosages and dosing schedules for oral, intramuscular, or intravenous administration of corticosteroids both before and after extractions and other dentoalveolar operations. When evidence-based data from further studies become available, these mostly empirical suggestions may need to be adjusted. To further assess corticosteroid use procedures, there is a critical need for well-designed clinical studies.

Keywords*:* Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology Endodon

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

INTRODUCTION: -

Based on broad, nonspecific advice in numerous textbooks, publications, and instructional forums, many dentists use corticosteroids regularly or seldom for patients after dentoalveolar surgery, while the precise prevalence of use is unknown. Rarely are specifics on the kind, quantity, and length of administration stated, and it doesn't seem like the recommendations are supported by research that provide data. The amount of trustworthy information for developing a scientifically sound philosophy about the use of corticosteroids to regulate postsurgical sequelae in dentistry is quite small, despite the large number of articles that have been published. Our goal is to review the current literature that is available and use that data to develop some interim recommendations for the sensible use of corticosteroids during and after dentoalveolar surgery until more research can address these questions and offer additional evidence-based recommendations on dosage forms, administration times, and durations.

CRITERIA FOR SELECTION AND A SEARCH STRATEGY: -

The usage of medications to reduce postsurgical edoema was the subject of a search of conventional electronic databases for publications published during the last 30 years. Studies from before 1970 were excluded unless they made a specific contribution, as medications, surgical techniques, and equipment have evolved over time and may no longer be applicable to current treatments. The selected publications weren't submitted to any sort of conclusive data analyses, so this article should be regarded as a thorough literature review rather than a meta-analysis.

THEPHYSIOLOGYOFOROFACIALPOSTSURGICALEDEMA: -

Unexpectedly, some dentists view edoema as a complication as opposed to a typical physiologic response to trauma and injury. Regardless of the cause, when body tissues are harmed, the usual physiological response is inflammation, which results in edoema. Every surgical intervention will result in some degree of edoema, therefore this is to be expected. The only remaining uncertainties are the extent and location of the edoema. For more detail on the pathophysiology of wound healing and inflammation, the reader is directed to Hupp's explanation in a top-tier contemporary oral surgery textbook1 or to the article by Pattenet al [2]. Prostaglandins may also have a little function in the development of edoema, although they do not seem to be the only reason. [3] Studies are currently being conducted to define their precise function in the procedure. It has not been extensively established how long the edoema lasts after oral surgery and it likely varies from patient to patient and procedure to treatment. Edema is said to reach its peak in 24 to 48 hours by Laskin16, although according to Peterson17, it often peaks in 48 to 72 hours and resolves after the first post-op week. After 3 days, any postoperative swelling that is still present is assumed to be further swelling brought on by an infection rather than postoperative edoema. 17 Most frequently reported and consistent with published studies is a 2- to 3-day time window. We are not aware of any

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper **© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved,** UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

credible evidence that compares the edoema responses of resting versus physically active patients following maxillofacial surgery. Excessive patient activity may possibly increase the quantity of edoema.

EDEMA CONTROLLED BY CORTICOSTEROIDS: -

Corticosteroids are well-known surgical adjuvants that decrease tissue mediators of inflammation, lowering fluid transudation and edoema in the process. [3,13] Edema is typically reduced along with some surgical pain relief, and corticosteroids have some prostaglandins inhibition effects. However, steroids by themselves do not have a clinically meaningful analgesic effect. [4,8,10] In fact, by inhibiting -endorphin levels, the use of steroids may worsen the patient's response to pain. 18 Steroids frequently also have a moderate euphoric, or mood-altering, impact that might theoretically assist patients deal with postoperative sequelae, [19,20] However, this effect in postsurgical dental patients has not been well investigated and may be highly varied. Although prolonged use of steroids can slow healing and make a person more susceptible to infection, oral surgery's standard short-term usage methods have little clinical impact on these consequences.

Contraindications

Patients with active or insufficiently treated tuberculosis, active viral or fungal infections (especially ocular herpes), active acne vulgaris, primary glaucoma, or patients with a history of acute psychoses or psychotic tendencies are said to be categorically contraindicated for the use of corticosteroids. However, rather than a single dose or short-term use, the recommendations are mostly based on long-term chronic steroid use. Nevertheless, it is wise to limit use in these individuals until additional information about the dangers of short-term use becomes available. Patients who are vulnerable to allergic responses may experience them from methylparaben or sulfites found in some steroid formulations. Numerous negative effects and at least 30 verified allergic reactions have both been described in the literature. Patients with diverticulitis, peptic ulcers, Cushing's syndrome, renal insufficiency, uncontrolled hypertension, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, pregnancy, lactation, acute or chronic infections, or myasthenia gravis may benefit from preoperative medical advice. The more recent derivatives, such methylprednisolone and dexamethasone, do not have the negative effects on hypertension and glaucoma that are linked to mineralocorticoid activity.[19]

Equivalency

The strength and duration of action of the various steroid formulations differ. The body produces cortisol naturally, which is equivalent to 80 mg of hydrocortisone, 16 mg of methyl-prednisolone, and 3 mg of dexamethasone or betamethasone in 100 milligrammes of cortisone. The 8–12 hour short biologic half-life of hydrocortisone restricts its application. Dexamethasone and betamethasone have longer half-lives, ranging from 36 to 54 hours, whereas methylprednisolone has an intermediate half-life of 18 to 36 hours. [19] When a

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper **© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved,** UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

prolonged effect is required, the low solubility of the acetate forms works as a sustained-release depot for 1–2 weeks (peak effect, 4–8 days). This gives these forms some clinical advantage.

RESULTS OF A LITERATURE REVIEW: -

Patients who took prophylactic oral betamethasone right before surgery in a 1969 trial by Hooley and Francis26 had significantly less postoperative edoema, pain, and need for pain medicine than the control group. In a 1975 publication, Messer and Keller4 reported that administering 4 mg of intramuscular dexamethasone right away following surgery resulted in a "substantial" reduction in clinical edoema, discomfort, and trismus in 500 patients. Patients who received 125 mg of intravenous methylprednisolone before surgery experienced a statistically significant reduction in early edoema, according to Huffman, but after a week there was no longer a difference. Using 125 mg of methylprednisolone 3 hours after surgery resulted in a 62% decrease in immunoreactive bradykinin release, according to Hargreaves and Costello. After using 12 mg of oral methylprednisolone before surgery and 4 mg twice daily for two days after surgery, Bystedt and Nordenram found no statistically significant differences in edoema from a placebo group. Once more, this was most likely a result of the study's use of subtherapeutic dosages. Edilby et al. Reported that taking 4 mg of intramuscular dexamethasone before and one day after surgery had no appreciable impact. These findings highlight the necessity for greater, longer doses to experience the corticosteroid's anti-inflammatory properties to their fullest.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications (NSAIDs) effects

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medicines (NSAIDs), such ibuprofen and flurbiprofen, may help patients with edoema following surgery even more than corticosteroids alone, according to recent studies. [5,6] Various authors dispute this. [7] They point out that prostaglandins only play a small part in edoema and that it takes several days for the anti-inflammatory effects of NSAIDs to manifest. [5] The combination of double-dosed oral methylprednisolone and ibuprofen decreased swelling by 58% (by visual analogue scale; by utilising ultrasonography, by 56%), according to Schultze-Mosgau et al. [6] Hargreaves disagreed with their findings, pointing out a lack of evidence that the two medications were potentiated and expressing concern that the two medications together would exacerbate gastrointestinal adverse effects. However, it is unknown if such a gastrointestinal effect poses a serious concern with short-term use. Flurbiprofen did improve analgesia, but Sisk and Bonnington31 report that it had no appreciable effects on edoema after 72 hours when combined with 125 mg of methylprednisolone. Tenoxicam, an NSAID that is not given with a steroid, was shown by Ücok7 to be no more effective than a placebo at reducing edoema in the first two days following surgery. According to Troulloset al. [5], NSAIDs by themselves have just a minimal anti-edema effect.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

ROUTINE USE OF ANTIBIOTICS CONCURRENTLY: -

There is insufficient evidence to support the routine use of corticosteroids and prophylactic antibiotics for infection prevention. The reasons for using prophylactic antibiotics are outside the purview of this article. Whether corticosteroids are used or not, Capuzziet al.37 and others demonstrate that routine antibacterial prophylaxis is not justified and does not considerably lessen the post-op- erative sequelae. According to a study by MacGregor and Addy, systemic penicillin usage is only slightly advantageous in the most challenging instances. Therefore, we think that the use of antibiotics should depend on how much surgical trauma is caused, rather than just because corticosteroids are being used.

ADVICE FOR DENTAL SURGERY USE, INTERIM: -

Despite the fact that numerous writers anecdotally support the use of corticosteroids to reduce postoperative edoema, very few authors have provided firm recommendations. As a result, protocols have developed by word-of-mouth, and many regimens currently in use may only be somewhat beneficial and subtherapeutic. We feel fresh evidence implies a need to modify some of the techniques that are based on studies from the 1960s and 1970s, based on the data given in various publications. As was already mentioned, higher dosages of steroids are necessary, and studies indicating rebound swelling on the second and third days following surgery indicate that longer courses of prophylactic steroids are typically required. For preventive corticosteroid therapy, we advise the following interim regimens utilising this advice, pending the results of subsequent research.

Intramuscular

According to Milles and Desjardins10 and Montgomery et al.[19], a 1-time depot intramuscular form, such as 40 mg methylprednisolone acetate (Depo-Medrol and other brands, 40 mg/mL), is beneficial. Immediately following the injection of sedation or local anaesthetic, the medication can be injected prior to surgery into the deltoid, gluteus, masseter, or medial pterygoid muscle on one or both sides. It is highly uncomfortable if administered intraorally before local anaesthetic. Depo-Medrol can be substituted with 8 to 12 mg of dexamethasone acetate (Decadron-LA and other brands). Never administer Depo-Medrol or Decadron-LA intravenously; these medications are only to be used intramuscularly. We are not aware of any clinical studies that have assessed the efficacy and safety of that specific drug form in dentistry, although the use of 8 to 12 mg of the long-acting, resi- tory dexamethasone acetate form (Decadron-LA and others) may have therapeutic benefits. It is intriguing that its application has not been researched despite the fact that it makes sense that this would be a workable alternative drug form. Therefore, clinical research is required.

Intravenous

Many people choose to administer methylprednisolone sodium succinate intravenously in doses of 125 mg (Solu-Medrol and others) or 12 mg of dexamethasone sodium phosphate

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

(Decadron and others). 125 mg of methylprednisolone sodium succinate intravenously given right before surgery is a common practise. When the loading dosage is given intravenously, oral pretreatment doses have not been shown to be necessary. Although Gersema and Baker20 do not think follow-up dosing is necessary, we think that if the medication is used for a morning case, the injection should ideally be followed by two 8-mg oral methylprednisolone (Medrol) tablets the evening following surgery because of the medication's shorter biologic half-life. Then, during the first and second days following surgery, one to two 4-mg tablets of methylprednisolone are administered 4 times each day. This dose approach is in line with other clinicians' clinical advice, although not having been scientifically validated. [13,16] Dexamethasone and betamethasone do not require additional dosages because of their extended half-lives. The brief periods of the regimen eliminate the need for dosage titration**.**

Oral

Since studies have indicated that orally taken steroids take at least 2 to 4 hours to take action, the regimen should typically start the night before surgery or the morning before surgery (for an afternoon surgery). Our preferred oral form of dexa-methasone is two 4-mg tablets taken at bedtime the night before surgery, a loading dose of three to four 4-mg tablets taken before to surgery, and a single follow-up dosage of three to four 4-mg tablets taken in the mornings of the first and second postoperative days. Once more, this empiric regimen lacks statistical support and is based on a review of the literature. The advice should only be used up till more research data are available.

DISCUSSION: -

Even in somewhat challenging cases, corticosteroids shouldn't be regularly administered following every dentoalveolar surgery. Instead, it ought to be saved for specific circumstances where a sizable quantity of surgical trauma is anticipated before to operation or unexpectedly discovered during surgery. This includes cases of multiple extractions with extensive alveolar remodelling (such as tori removal or alveo-plasty), deeply impacted teeth, large teeth with weak coronal structures or widely divergent roots, embedded in dense bone, vestibuloplasties, block resections, and other similarly involved surgical procedures. There is still a lack of information regarding the advantages of use, if any, in orthognathic and cosmetic surgery. As reported by Messer and Keller, anecdotally, many surgeons frequently expect greater-than-usual swelling in patients with lighter complexions and lighter natural hair colour since these patients appear to be more reactive. 4 There is little objective support for this clinical impression, despite the fact that we have observed similar clinical observations in our practises. Furthermore, we are not aware of any scientific data that compare postsurgical edoema in patients with different skin tones. A claim made by some authors that women seem to endure greater post-operative edoema than males is likewise unsupported**.**

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

Needs for research

Despite several papers written since the 1960s, there are still many questions about steroid use for which there are no specific, fact-based solutions. The majority of publications compare the usage of one steroid with a placebo group, but very few articles evaluate other steroids or steroid regimens to determine which the most effective form is and what the smallest dose is that delivers most benefit with minimum dangers. To assist in addressing a number of crucial problems, well-designed prospective clinical studies are required. Is it true that people with darker skin tones and hair have less swelling than those with lighter features? Is clinical swelling more prevalent in fat people than in lean patients?

• Are women typically more prone to post-surgical edoema than men?

• Do surgical patients who recover in silence have less swelling than those who engage inphysical activity?

•Is it necessary to keep taking oral medications until the third postoperative day, or might the regimen be kept up for just one more day?

•How soon should injectable corticosteroid forms be administered for best results before surgery? Is a preoperative oral dose taken the night before surgery significantly advantageous?

What oral steroid dosages are best for the follow-up regimens?

• Which treatment, a single dose of methylprednisolone acetate or an intravenous plus oral dexamethasone regimen, is clinically superior? Is dexamethasone's longer-acting acetate version therapeutically equivalent to or superior to its methylprednisolone counterpart?

• What dexamethasone dosage should be used in an oral treatment plan?

• Are there any clinical benefits to using the available combination form of short- and long-acting betamethasone?

Which of the several methods for assessing edoema that have been suggested by prior studies is the most accurate? A confirmed, consistent method for comparing future data is required. Our assessment is that none of these topics have been adequately investigated.

CONCLUSION: -

Though commonly advocated and used, corticosteroids shouldn't be used consistently to treat post-dentoalveolar surgical edoema. Instead, the technique should be performed in a limited number of situations where there has been considerable surgical trauma or if the patient is thought to be at risk for excessive edoema. Surgery abilities and attention to operative details should be sufficient in ordinary instances to maintain postoperative edoema at tolerably low levels. When steroids are utilised, they should be started prior to the surgical procedure, administered at larger doses, and either used in a long-acting form or as a supplement to

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper **© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved,** **UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022**

follow-up care to extend steroid coverage for at least 2 days after surgery. Such use shouldn't hinder recovery and won't materially affect adrenal function. The recommended regimens are listed in Table III, although they should be viewed as empiric and temporary until results from additional controlled research are available. It is not well established in the literature that using NSAIDs along with corticosteroids can enhance edoema reduction. Only in situations when the high risk of postoperative infection clearly outweighs the dangers of use, such as in patients who are immunocompromised, should the use of antibiotics for patients receiving short-term, prophylactic corticosteroids be taken into consideration.

REFERENCE:-

1. Hupp JR. Wound repair. In: Peterson LJ, Ellis E, Hupp JR, Tucker MR, editors. Contemporary oral and maxillofacial Surgery. 3rd ed. St Louis: Mosby; 1998. p. 58-60.
2. Patten JR, Patten J, Hutchins MO. Adjunct use of dexametha- sone in postoperative dental pain control. Compend Contin Educ Dent 1992;13:580-9.
3. Hupp JR. Principles of surgery. In: Peterson LJ, Ellis E, Hupp JR, Tucker MR, editors. Contemporary oral and maxillofacial surgery. 3rd ed. St Louis: Mosby; 1998. p. 56.
4. Messer EJ, Keller JJ. The use of intraoral dexamethasone after extraction of mandibular third molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1975;40:594-8.
5. Troullos ES, Hargreaves KM, Butler DP, Dionne RA. Comparison of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, ibuprofen, and flur- biprofen with methylprednisolone and placebo for acute pain, swelling, and trismus. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1990;48:945-52.
6. Schultze-Mosgau S, Schmelzeisen R, Frölich JC, Schmele H. Use of ibuprofen and methylprednisolone for the prevention of pain and swelling and removal of impacted third molars. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1995;53:2-7.
7. Ücok C. Stereophotogrammetric assessment of the effect of tenoxicam on facial swelling subsequent to third molar surgery. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1997;26:380-2.
8. Beirne OR, Hollander B. The effect of methylprednisolone on pain, trismus, and swelling after removal of third molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1986;61:134-8.
9. Schaberg SJ, Stuller CB, Edwards SM. Effect of methylpred- nisolone on swelling after orthognathic surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1984;42:356-61.
10. Milles M, Desjardins PJ. Reduction of postoperative facial swelling by low-dose methylprednisolone. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1993;51:987-91.
11. Skjelbred P, Lokken P. Post-operative pain and inflammatory reaction reduced by injection of a corticosteroid. A controlled trial in bilateral oral surgery. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1982;21:391-6.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

12. Neupert EA 3d, Lee JW, Philput CB, Gordon JR. Evaluation of dexamethasone for reduction of postsurgical sequelae of third molar removal. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1992;50:1177-82.

13. Peterson LJ. Principles of management of impacted teeth. Principles of oral and maxillofacial surgery. Vol 1. Philadelphia: JB Lippincott; 1992. p. 117.

14. Sisk AL, Hammer WB, Shelton DW, Joy ED. Complications following removal of impacted third molars: the role of the expe- rience of the surgeon. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1986;44:855-9.

15. MacGregor AJ. Reduction in morbidity in the surgery of the third molar removal. Dent Update 1990;17:411-4.

16. Laskin DM. Oral and maxillofacial surgery. Vol 2. St Louis: Mosby; 1985. p. 39.

17. Peterson LJ. Postoperative patient management. In: Peterson LJ, Ellis E, Hupp JR, Tucker MR, editors. Contemporary oral and maxillofacial surgery. 3rd ed. St Louis: Mosby; 1998. p. 251.

18. Hargreaves KM, Shmidt EA, Mueller GP, Dionne RA. Dexamethasone alters plasma levels of beta-endorphin and post- operative pain. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1987;42:601-7.

19. Montgomery MT, Hogg JP, Roberts DL, Redding SW. The use of glucocorticosteroids to lessen the inflammatory sequelae following third molar surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1990;48:179-87.

20. Gersema L, Baker K. Use of corticosteroids in oral surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1992;50:270-7.