Research paper

© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019

Sensor Web Service: A Geographical Information System (GIS)Based Model for Reliable Fire Detection in Buildings

S.Kavitha

Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation Green Fileds, Vaddeswaram, A.P. – 522302.

Abstract.

Natural disaster frequency is trending upward, as evidenced by recent worldwide emergencies, pointing to an increase in these events in the future. As a result, innovative approaches to emergency management are now frequently discussed. Events that interfere with regular operations, endanger lives, or undermine national stability are considered emergencies. Events such as explosions, fires, collisions, and terrorist acts fall under this category. Emergency managers use a range of strategies to protect financial assets prior to, during, and following major incidents.. It is essential for efficient emergency management to have fast and accurate information available. In this situation, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) have been extremely helpful, particularly when handling natural disasters like fires, earthquakes, volcanoes, and tsunamis. This study investigates a proposed GIS-based model named Sensor Web Service, emphasising its application in emergency management systems and concentrating on reliable fire detection within buildings.

Keywords: GIS, OGC, Sensor Web, Sensor Web Enablement (SWE), Emergency Management

1. Introduction

The use of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in reserve supervision has become increasingly important. For emergency responders, having the capacity to quickly access and visualise data on arenas exaggerated through disasters on a plot has established to be an invaluable resource. Before, during, and subsequently an extra incident, public and private resources must be coordinated and supervised. This is known as emergency management, or EM. Law enforcement, firemen, paramedics, and dispatchers are not included in Emergency Management (EM); yet, EM is essential to the coordination of public protection personnel's

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -1) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019 actions during the many stages of emergency response, such as research, retort, recapture, and restoration. Data from several sources is necessary for efficient emergency management. The size and reach of EM programmes must be determined by methodically collecting, compiling, and presenting this data. Having precise and organised data at hand is essential for making well-informed judgements and acting quickly in the occurrence of a real emergency. Any system that combines, gathers, stores, analyses, shares, maintains, and displays data related to geographic information is mentioned to as a Geographic Information System (GIS), often called a Geographical Information System. A useful tool for gathering and evaluating spatial data and presenting findings in a spatial manner is geographic information systems (GIS) [1].

As shown in reference [3], the Sensor Web methodology makes complex features and processes possible. These comprise the following: identifying unforeseen events in real time; creating vector arenas since restrained scalar standards and locally interpreting them; and identifying critical events with a single sensor node, which in turn initiates global modifications to the functioning of the Sensor Web.

The Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) created the Sensor Web Extension (SWE), which is a standardised framework that includes interface, protocol, and encoding guidelines. These specifications allow sensor-processing services along with sensor data discovery, access, and retrieval.

This study's main goal is to suggest a workable architecture for sensor web services that makes use of GIS technology. With this integration, emergency systems will be improved by overcoming the difficulties caused by the large amounts of spatial statistics that are extant in standard GIS. The study also concentrates on creating practical methods for identifying flames inside structures.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

a) Geographical Information System

Similar to a typical database, a GIS is a computerised information system. Then crucial feature sets it apart: every piece of data in a GIS has to be allied to a particular geographic or spatial reference, such latitude/longitude or extra longitudinal coordinates.



Figure 1 Definition of GIS

Geographic Information Systems, or GIS for short, are a assortment of technologies intended to collect, store, process, evaluate, organise, and present data related to certain geographic areas. The depiction of several kinds of geologically referenced data is made possible by this technology. With the practice of GIS, users can examine, decipher, and display statistics in a variability of ways, including maps, globes, charts, and reports. It makes it easier to comprehend intricate connections, trends, and patterns. GIS facilitates question-answering and problem-solving by providing a rapid and easily understood means of seeing data. Furthermore, GIS technology is flexible and easily incorporated into various enterprise information system architectures [3].

b) Open Geospatial Consortium standards(OGC)

In order to create publicly available geoprocessing requirements, 384 businesses, government organisations, academic institutions, and private citizens from around the world work together as the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC). The OpenGIS Specifications' open interfaces and protocols are established by these specifications. Interoperable results that improve the capabilities of mainstream IT, wireless and location-based services, and the Web are made possible by these standards. They enable developers of cutting-edge technology to open up complex geographical data and services for a variability of applications. OGC's Sensor Web Enablement Architecture is essential to the fields of hazard intensive care and catastrophe management. Spatial statistics setups can incorporate sensors and sensor data with this approach. Strong standards have been produced by the OGC Sensor Web Enablement (SWE) initiatives, enabling the seamless amalgamation of sensors and sensor data. Standardised encodings and application-level service interfaces are chunk of the SWE architecture. These encodings make it easier to format sensor metadata (OGC Sensor Model Language) and sensor measurements (OGC Observations & Measurements). Furthermore,

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019 web service interfaces are available for managing sensors (Sensor Planning Service), promising to alerts and measures (OGC Sensor Alert Service), and obtaining sensor data (OGC Sensor Observation Service).

c) OGC SWE Framework

Guidelines for sensor statistics and sensor amenities are established by the OGC Sensor Web Enablement (SWE) employed group. A sensor web, as defined by Botts et al. (2007), is any web-based access to sensor systems and stored sensor statistics that can be found and retrieved through application programme interfaces (APIs) and standard protocols. In essence, it is a huge sensor network and data storehouse allied to the internet. By establishing service crossing point for operations like retrieving sensor data or sending alarms, besides ethics for encoding sensor data, the SWE ingenuity seeks to bring this vision to reality. These specifications, which are erected on widely used OGC morals for instance OWS Communal and Geography Markup Language (GML), make it easier to integrate sensor statistics into existing geographic data setups, such as WMS, without any problems. The SWE service model, that comprehends specifications for service edges used for alerting, sensor tasking, and sensor data entree, and the SWE information model, that covers sensor data encodings, make up the two categories of the SWE standards.

1) Observations and Measurements

The foundational structures and formats for sensor-based annotations and extents are defined by the Observations & Measurements (O&M) specification. A measurement is a specific observation that yields a numerical value, whereas an observation is simply the piece of perceiving a phenomenon. There are five core slices to the elementary opinion model. The scheme division indicates how the observed value was produced, typically via a sensor. The particular marvel that remained noticed is mentioned in the experiential assets division. The scene evidence of the reflection is checked in the feature of interest, which also specifies the actual entity to which the reflection relates. The reflection was made at the precise moment indicated by the sample time property. The upshot division contains the reflection cost itself. *2)Sensor Model Language.*

Structures and formats for defining different processes inside sensors or post-processing systems are providing by the SensorML specification. The progression category is the basic category that underpins all SensorML descriptions. It is distinct by its input and output parts

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019 besides any optional extra parameters. Additional metadata, such as technical characteristics, calibration information, or quality, can similarly be included in SensorML descriptions. Several subtypes of the progression type can be clear in SensorML, enabling the representation of various varieties of actuators, detectors, or process systems.

3)Sensor Observation Service

A web service interface is offered by the Standardised Observations Service (SOS) to allow customers to obtain information about linked sensors and the data they have collected. Like other OGC services, SOS includes the GetCapabilities function, which lets users obtain a service portrayal that includes a slant of sensors and experiential structures on top of the altitudinal and progressive scope of available observations. Moreover, the Describe Sensor function allows users to request sensor descriptions encoded in TML or SensorML[3]. The GetObservation action provides entree to notes and is the fundamental component of SOS. To fine-tune the observation response, employers can postulate sensor IDs or IDs of observed phenomena, together with spatial, temporal, or value filters, in a GetObservation request. All SOS implementations must include these three operations, which make up the core profile of SOS. An SOS illustration can gadget the SOS specification's transactional profile to make it easier to register additional sensors and pullout observations. By transmitting a SensorML or TML sensor description, this profile integrates the RegisterSensor function, which permits the registration of new sensors in SOS. The sensor is then assigned a unique ID by SOS, that can be castoff later to add fresh observations to SOS with the InsertObservation function.

4) Web Notification Service

A mechanism for asynchronous communication amongst SWE machineries is established by the Web Notification Service (WNS), enabling message exchanges. This service is very helpful when a consumer entreaty requires several cooperating services to complete or when request processing is taking a long time. Moreover, WNS may transform protocols into XMPP messages, acting as a transducer for protocols like HTTP[1]. As a result, WNS makes it possible to support numerous more protocols, such as phone calls, SMS, and email. The WNS condition describes two communiqué shapes: two-way notification, in which the recipient must compose a rejoinder note and forward it to the caller, and one-way notification, in that the sender notifies the recipient without anticipating a response[8]. WNS

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -1) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019 can be castoff in unification with SPS and SAS illustrations inside the SWE framework to afford asynchronous messaging amongst clients and service instances.

5) Sensor Planning Service

Sensors and device schemes can be instructed to record observations within predetermined durations and areas using a standardised interface provided by the Sensor Planning Service (SPS). Clients can entreaty the data they need to generate a legitimate task request earlier acquiescing a chore using the Submit procedure. Furthermore, one can use the GetFeasibility procedure in advance to determine whether a task's execution is achievable for a specific sensor. SPS offers the DescribeResultAccess function to locate entree facts to the acquired data because it ensures not allowance access to the notes obtained by assigned sensors. Additionally, the SPS interface has tools for handling tasks that have been submitted, including the ability to update, cancel, and retrieve task status. When requesting satellite data and waiting for a human operator's decision, for example, SPS may need to communicate asynchronously with the task's client. In these situations, SPS may use a Web Notification Service (WNS).

6) Sensor Alert Service

A Service interface that permits clients to subscribe to customised aware settings and grow messages after these measures are happened is described in the Sensor Alert Service (SAS) specification. This strategy is in line with the publish-subscribe communication pattern, as opposed to SOS's pull-based methodology. The incident notice system's management is handled by SAS itself. The service provider is in control of putting in place the causal messaging server, that is castoff for issuing and notifications. XMPP servers are regularly castoff for messaging. Producers may manage their ads and publicise notifications by using SAS. They can also renew or delete advertisements as needed. Through the Subscribe function, customers can cancel or renew their subscriptions to get specific alerts. Regulars have two choices for receiving alerts: via Web Notification Service (WNS) instances or straight XMPP communication. The latter approach, known as the "last mile mode," is used in states where customs may not always have Internet access. WNS ensures that warnings are delivered by bridging the communication gap between these clients and the Internet.

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019

3. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

A) Concept of Sensor Web

As Figure 2 illustrates, it is currently feasible and practical to place a large number of lowcost, low-energy sensors in different locations for ongoing environmental monitoring. Sophisticated remote-sensing satellites that have either been launched or are scheduled for deployment are also becoming more common. Combining these many sensor schemes can afford a wealth of observations that are timely, comprehensive, continuous, and multiresolution[6]. Applications for these observations can be initiate in a number of industries, including conveyance, native land safety, agriculture, smart spaces, environmental monitoring, habitat observation, and even space exploration.



Figure 2 Service Oriented View Of Sensor Web

Though, here is no communication between the sensors or sensor schemes in ad hoc networks. Sensors in a network are usually only connected within the networks to that they fit in, each thru its own proprietary etiquettes, evidence replicas, and facts setups. The statistic which these sensors are actuality castoff for diverse claims and goals exacerbates the lack of cooperation. This circumstance is similar to the early computing era before the World Wide Web (WWW) was created. It is essential to create a sensor web for sensor networks, like to the WWW for CPUs[2]. The Sensor Web connects various nodes and isolated sensors via underwired and wireless networks, acting as an information backbone. Its goal is to provide universal access to instantaneous sensor capitals, including experiential abilities and sensor data. The Sensor Web is conceptually parallel to the WWW. Similar to the World Wide Web, that joins different computers over the internet to provide a range of evidence and network

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019 services, the Sensor Web connects different sensor networks to provide sensor-related information such as metadata, sensor observations, tasking capabilities, and related features since Sensor networks to Sensor Web.



Figure 3. Relation between Sensor networks to Sensor Web

- Find sensors (public or secure) quickly that meet my needs in terms of quality, tasking capabilities, position, and observable attributes.
- Get sensor statistics in a standardised format that my software and I can both understand.
- Easily access sensor observations with a standardised approach made to meet my unique needs.
- Task sensors as required to happen explicit desires.

Sign up for alerts to get notified as soon as a sensor picks up a certain phenomenon.



Figure 4. WSN for building fire Detection

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019

b) Framework for Dependable Fire Detection in Structures and Tables

Dependable conservation monitoring systems can be significantly improved by utilising wireless sensor networks (WSN) to increase system viability and efficiency. The wireless and ad-hoc networking aptitudes of sensor knobs make this possible. Sensors are[4] typically dispersed over large geographic areas, frequently in isolated areas. In addition to node density and distance, power consumption is a major difficulty in maintaining node connectivity and gathering sufficient data indicative of particular occurrences

The management of fire risks in industrial buildings is the central theme of this scenario. To expand the accuracy of fire detection, a web of wireless sensors is utilised, with a focus on reducing false alarms through the amalgamation of diverse kinds of sensors. So as to prevent situations like cigarette smoke, which produces smoke then does not pointedly rise the temperature, or high summer temperatures, which do not produce smoke but do raise the temperature, from being mistakenly classified as fire incidents, it is necessary to integrate temperature and smoke sensors. The fire division in Aachen, Germany, provided a real-world fire isometrics location for the system's certification. An intentional fire was started that showcase the OSIRIS framework-based system's fire detection capabilities.

Three dissimilar types of sensors were included in the wireless sensor network: thermometers, webcams, and smoke detectors. Three changed SWE (Sensor Web Enablement) services were used to help these sensors work together. The statistics assembled by the diverse sensors was accessed through the Sensor Observation Service (SOS). The Sensor Planning Service (SPS) remained castoff to assign sensors to change internal settings. Additionally, the Sensor Alert Service (SAS) was implemented to consent handlers to sign up for particular alerts and events[9], such as smoke detection. Five components make up the architectural perspective of the fire intensive care system, as shown in Figure 6.

1) Sensor nodes(motes)

A sensor node, sometimes referred to as a mote, is a part of a Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) that is in trust of gathering information from its surroundings, analysing it, making choices, and interacting with other networked nodes. Within WSNs, certain nodes have particular hardware limitations and characteristics. Furthermost WSN nodes consume partial energy availability; around be sure of on batteries, while others usage energy reaping systems as of the environment, such as solar panels or generators driven by vibration. As a result,

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019

WSN nodes are often slight entrenched structures that are appropriate for short-range radio connections, having little computing power and poor data transfer rates. Size and cost margins enact analogous limitations.



Figure 5. Architecture of Sensor node

2) SPS

A service that assists users in scheduling desires for gadgets and sensor daises and creating a workable plan for gathering sensors.

3) SOS

A service that allows a customer to access observations from several platforms or sensors (various types of platforms and sensors may be used). Additionally, clients have access to details on the related platforms and sensors[10].

4) SAS

A service where a customer subscribes to particular alert s and receives notifications .

5) WNS

A service to oversee client meetings and use several communication methods to update the client on the position of the requested service



Figure 6. Architecture overview of the fire monitoring system

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 8, Issue 4, 2019

REFERENCES

[1] Huuskonen, J., Oksanen, T.: Soil sampling with drones and augmented reality in precision agriculture. Comput. Electron. Agric. 154, 25–35 (2018)

[2] Gai, K., Qiu, M., Zhao, H.: Energy-aware task assignment for mobile cyber-enabled applications in heterogeneous cloud computing. J. Parallel Distrib. Comput. 111, 126–135 (2019)

[3] A model of a geoinformation system to support decision-making about the epizootic situation in a municipalityArticle Jan 2011 S. A ChuninS. I. ShanyginV. A. KuzminD. A. OrekhovI. D. Yeshchenko

[4] Leonid V. Stoimenov, Member, IAENG, Aleksandar Lj. Milosavljević, and Aleksandar S. Stanimirović, "GIS as a Tool in Emergency Management Process", Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2007 Vol I, London, UK. pp. 238-242..

[5] Borko Furht , Armando Escalante, "Handbook of Cloud Computing", Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010, pp.3-4.

[6] Suraj Pandey," Cloud Computing Technology & GIS Applications", The 8th Asian Symposium on Geographic Information Systems From Computer & Engineering View (ASGIS 2010), ChongQing, China, April 22-24, 2010.

[7] Yang Xiaoqiang, Deng Yuejin, "Exploration of Cloud Computing Technologies for Geographic Information Services", Sponsored by the project of National 863 plan.

[8] Allan, Roger. "Energy harvesting powers industrial wireless sensor networks."

[9] M. Bhardwaj, T. Garnett, A. P. Chandrakasan, "Upper on the Lifetime of Sensor Networks," roceedings of the IEEE International Conference on ommunications, June 2001.

[10]Delin, K.A., "The Sensor Web: A Macro-Instrument for Coordinated Sensing," Sensors, Vol. 2, 2002, pp. 275-280.

[11]F.Akyildiz, W.Su,Y. Sankarasubramaniam, and E. Cayirci, "Wireless Sensor Networks : A survey," Computer Networks, vol. 38.