

PSYCHOSOCIAL PREDICTORS OF MARITAL SATISFACTION

Dr Babhuti Kashyap¹, Dr. Raja Kamal Ch²

¹ Assistant Professor Psychology, Chandigarh University, Punjab(UILAH).

² Assistant Professor, Kristu Jayanti College, Bangalore.

DOI: 10.48047/IJFANS/S3/132

ABSTRACT:

There is no doubting the fact that the family is and always will be the most important factor in determining the quality of life that is both the richest and the most meaningful for humans. The coming together of two people who were raised in separate environments to share a home and raise children results in the formation of a family. Marriages that are successful demonstrate the capacity of the pair to acknowledge one other's flaws while simultaneously celebrating their strengths. The majority of people's social lives revolve on their families, making the family unit not only the incubator of our emerging society but also the centre of their social lives. Ordinary men and women place a high value on their homes and families as a cornerstone of their aspirations and sense of self-respect. Everyone, regardless of age, may find the utmost solace and support within the context of their family. The majority of individuals get their primary feeling of accomplishment from being able to successfully bring up a family. The happiness and sadness that are experienced inside one's own family are, respectively, the ones that are felt the most intensely by the majority of men and women. Marriage may provide support, safety, combined resources, shared household assets, sexual and emotional connection, and assistance with day-to-day obligations. These are a few ways that marriage might enhance a person's well-being and life satisfaction. Divorce is a frequent outcome of marital discontent and may occur in any kind of union. Divorce may have lasting negative consequences. The goal of premarital and marriage counselling is to reduce marital strife and enhance marital pleasure. Can being married or in a relationship suffice for eligibility? The research investigated the relationship between marital satisfaction and various measures of well-being. The disparities in well-being between married or in a relationship and single persons, as well as between the sexes, were also investigated. The poll includes 1087 Croatian adult Internet users. The general well-being, marriage and relationship satisfaction, and demographic information of the subjects were analysed. The MRS was slightly associated with all well-being factors, although it was most strongly connected to love life and family ties. Those who were married or in a committed relationship had a higher MRS than those who were single.

Keywords: contentment with one's marriage and relationships; well-being; marriage and relationship satisfaction scale; marital and relationship satisfaction dimensions

INTRODUCTION

When a man and a woman who had quite different upbringings get married, move in together, and build a family with their offspring, that is the beginning of a family. Marriages that are successful are marked by the capacity of the partners to acknowledge and respect one another's flaws while at the same time putting the emphasis on the good characteristics that are possessed

by each spouse. Intimate partners often participate in a range of perceptual processes that assist them in seeing one another and their relationships in a favourable light and in continuing to uphold their duties to one another. These techniques may also assist partners in seeing themselves and their relationships in a good light and identifying areas for improvement. As a result, they might have a positive outlook on the commitments they have made to one another (Murray, Holmes, & Griffin, 1996).

Marital Relationship

It is common knowledge that the ceremony of getting married is one of the most important events that may occur in a person's life. The act of getting into a marriage is one of the characteristics that distinguishes early adulthood from later stages of life. The intertwining family system begins with courtship, which is followed by marriage, which is the second phase, and the family, which is the last step (Saxton,1990). Because marriage has developed into a more complex social institution over the course of the last several decades, it is especially important to have a solid grasp of the marital relationship in the present day and age. The concept of a "companionate marriage" emerged as a result of the forces of industrialization and globalisation, which are transforming the character and structure of marriage around the world. A marriage that is characterised by a high degree of closeness, compassion, and empathy, which allows for a high quality and secure relationship, is known as a companionate marriage (WilcoxandNock2006, Amatoetal.2003, Burgess1948).

Marital Satisfaction

"Marital satisfaction" refers to the subjective sensations of happiness, contentment, and pleasure that a spouse experiences while evaluating all elements of the marriage. [Cite] [Cite] (1978, p.76, Rollings & Gallian) (1978, p.76, Rollings & Gallian). Schoen, Astone, Rothert, and Standish (2002) describe marital satisfaction as a person's evaluation of their own marriage and a reflection of its happiness and functionality. [Cite] [Cite] The bulk of research on marital happiness was conducted in the United States, despite the fact that it began to flourish at the end of the twentieth century and continues to draw marital, developmental, and family academics from across the globe. Individuals, families, and society as a whole must study successful marriages. A prosperous society requires both a reduction in divorce and an increase in the number of good, happy marriages. Studies (del Mar Salinas-Jiménez, Artés, & Salinas-Jiménez, 2013; Liu, Li, & Feldman, 2013; MaleeviPerovi, 2010) indicate that married people are happier than widowed, divorced, and single individuals. This knowledge is not unexpected. According to Diener, Gohm, Suh, and Oishi (2000) and Tucker, Friedman, Winger, and Schwartz, marital happiness influences a person's mental and physical health, mood, social integration, and subjective well-being throughout their lives (1996). The length of a couple's union is affected by marital happiness.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A "literature review" is a study of relevant papers that help to setting the context for and defining the research question. Such an investigation falls within the aforementioned category. In order to establish a research challenge that can lead operational research, the goal of the review is to maintain a consistent emphasis on narrowing the scope of the problem. One of the things that the

review is supposed to do is get rid of the parts of the body of research that aren't pertinent to the methodology that the investigator will be using, and it should also provide a justification for why alternative methodologies shouldn't be used. These are both functions that the review is supposed to perform. When the decision of the investigator to choose a certain method rather than others is justified by referring to previous studies, it is accorded a higher level of credibility as a result. The purpose of the literature review is to act as a funnel, narrowing the focus of the investigation to a research question that can be researched in the allocated period of time and with the resources that are available to the individual who is doing the inquiry (Guthrie, 2010).

Differences in marital happiness between men and women

Marriage satisfaction varies by gender. Women are less likely to marry than men (Amato, Booth, Johnson, & Rogers, 2007; Kamp). Some notice no difference (Dush, Taylor, Kroeger, 2008; Stevenson and Wolfers, 2009). Jackson, Miller, Oka, and Henry (2014) found insignificant variations in marital satisfaction. Wives were 7% less content. Clinical samples prompted this minor modification. Corra, Carter, Carter, and Knox discovered that white men's marital happiness increased between 1973 and 2006. (2009). Male dominance in marriage results in uneven financial management, conflict, and double standards for sexual conduct. Taniguchi and Kaufman (2013) found that sex egalitarianism may explain the joy of marriage. According to Pardo, Weisfeld, Hill, and Slatcher (2013), sex roles have an impact on marital pleasure.

Subjective Well-Being

According to Diener (2000), subjective well-being consists both emotional and cognitive life evaluations. The term "cognitive well-being" relates to the evaluation of life or life domains, while "affective well-being" refers to positive experiences and few negative feelings. Diener (2000) described SWB as life satisfaction, contentment with significant life domains (such as marital satisfaction), positive affect, and little negative affect (experiencing few negative emotions). There is a modest relationship between money, health, and age and self-reported happiness. Satisfaction with one's family and friends, as well as one's social life, may enhance one's happiness. Helliwell, Layard, & Sachs (2017)

RESEARCH GAP AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

Numerous measures assess marital and/or relationship satisfaction. Some examined particular relationship features, such as conflict, for diagnostic reasons (e.g., Conflict Tactics Scale by Straus, 1979), whilst others measured overall relationship/marriage satisfaction. While researching marital happiness, the majority of writers focus on negative consequences like conflict and depression (Bookwala, 2012; Ryff & Singer, 1998). Utilizing life pleasure as a measuring stick for beneficial psychological impacts (Cohen, Geron, & Farchi, 2009). There was a correlation between positive results (satisfaction) and global well-being evaluations and marriage/relationship satisfaction.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To investigate if there are significant gender variations in the levels of marital and relationship satisfaction
- To Compare married and single participants' well-being markers.

METHODS

Procedure

Participation in the Croatian longitudinal research on well-being led to the collection of the data required for this analysis (CRO-WELL project). The inquiry was carried out by using a web-based application, which consisted of a substantial collection of questions and was comprised entirely of such questions. By following the link that was provided on the website for the research, any adult was able to take part in the survey. Participation in the survey was completely voluntary. In order to protect the participants' identity before initiating the survey, a set of tokens was sent to each individual participant before the poll was even started. At the beginning of the survey, the participants were informed that providing their comments is completely voluntary, that they are free to withdraw their participation at any time with no questions asked, and that the information they supply would only be used for academic research purposes.

Measures

Life satisfaction:- "Overall, how happy are you?" is a question used to measure overall life satisfaction, a global cognitive evaluation of one's life fulfilment (e.g. World Values Survey, 2007). The participants rated their life satisfaction on a scale from 0 (not happy) to 10 (very pleased) (indicating they were highly satisfied). We used a single-item scale following the guideline of Cummins (1995). Cummins said, "A single question may suffice if simply a life satisfaction score is desired."

Happiness:- "In general, how cheerful do you feel?" The [unit of happiness] was used to quantify psychological well-being (adapted from Fordyce, 1988). Participants assessed their happiness on a scale ranging from 0 (not happy) to 10 (very pleased). The single-item happiness measure used the same principle as the life satisfaction scale.

Personal Well-being Index:- MPWI score (Cummins, 1996). Positivity. This research developed the Marital/Relationship Satisfaction Scale (MRS). satisfied Using PWI, we assessed marriage/relationship (dis)satisfaction (Cummins, 1996). Relationships and marriage were emphasised. Initially, relationship/marriage scales were used. Stevens Relationship Questionnaire, Couples Satisfaction Index, Marital Satisfaction Scale (Locke & Wallace, 1959). Relationship? 83. Three focus groups classified new-topic questionnaires. Those aged 25 to 55 spoke first. 50% wed. Five to seven people discussed "relationship bliss." The topics emphasised the perspectives of children.

All respondents agreed that children improve marriage and relationships. Recognize and share your partner's values. Strong partner support. Groupings. Separated are family, leisure, religion, and politics. Accept the perspectives of others Children and marriage were mentioned.

MRS examines communication, idea/value respect, shared activities, comprehension, support, duty/responsibility allocation, proximity, and finances/materials. Parents. 0-10 ("fantastic") ("absolutely pleased"). You?

Socio demographic variables:- Age, gender, education, income, and work status were collected.

Participants

Out of the 1087 people questioned, 191 men (17.6%) took part. 18-78 (mean=37.2) Only 3.2% and 0.9% were above the age of 60. Our participants had a high school diploma or less in 28.4% of cases, and a college degree in 53.9% of cases. The survey may have favoured educated, young

women. The majority of participants (37.1%) earned between 5.000 and 9.000 HRK (650 to 1200 EUR) per month, whereas the national average was 6,000 HRK (Croatian Bureau of Statistics, 2017). (800 euro). 73.6 percent were employed, 14.3 percent were students, 7.5% were jobless, and 3.5% were retired. 73% of those who took part had a partner.

Statistical Analysis

We performed reliability and confirmatory factor analyses to assess the MRS scale's metrical properties. For a better understanding of the variables' connections, descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation analysis were done. We used separate t-tests to compare married and single individuals and χ^2 tests

RESULTS

Table shows gender differences in marital/relationship satisfaction, mean scores, and standard deviations.

Gender			
Women		Men	Total
Mean M (SD)	7.4 (2.25)	7.5 (2.25)	7.5 (2.19)

There was no statistically significant difference in the judgments of marital or relationship satisfaction offered by men and women ($t(782) = 0.48, p = 0.63$).

Relationship Status and Well-Being

71.2 percent of men and 73.4 percent of women were married or in a relationship ($p > 0.05$). Married and single people's life satisfaction, happiness, and changed PWI scores were compared. Happier and more satisfied were married/coupled people. Love, family, beauty, and success made them happy. In all life categories, married or in a relationship people were happier, although the differences weren't substantial.

CONCLUSION

In this work, validated relationship/marriage satisfaction measure, the PWI measures relationship satisfaction Internal and external aspects of familial attachment are evaluated. This study examines the association between marital/relationship satisfaction and general well-being and life domain satisfaction. In cross-sectional study, marital/relationship satisfaction and well-being are investigated. Online engagement skewed our sample. Girls were dominant. Another concern was the absence of relationship or marriage duration analysis. This should be included in future satisfaction surveys. Not separating married and dating may have had an effect on the results. Divorces, cohabitations, and other relationships are detrimental to marriage. A once-solid marriage disintegrates. MRS examines couple gender gaps. Future research should cover seniors, males, those with less education, and rural areas.

REFERENCES

- Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment. Attachment and loss: Vol. 1. Loss*. New York: Basic Books.
- Davis, K. E. (1996). *The Relationship Rating Form (RRF): A measure of characteristics of romantic relationship and friendship*. Department of Psychology. University of South Carolina.

- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2003). *SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference. 11.0 update* (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn& Bacon.
- Homans, G. C. (1974). *Social behavior: Its elementary forms (rev. ed.)*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich
- Locke, H. J., & Wallace, K. M. (1959). Short marital adjustment and prediction tests: Their reliability and validity. *Marriage and Family Living*, 21(3), 251–255. <https://doi.org/10.2307/348022>
- Spanier, G. B. (1976). Measuring dyadic adjustment: New scales for assessing the quality of marriage and similar dyads. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 38, 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.2307/350547>
- Taniguchi, H., & Kaufman, G. (2013). Gender role attitudes, trouble talk, and marital satisfaction in Japan. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 31(7), 975–994. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407513516559>
- Zainah, A. Z., Nasir, R., Hashim, R. S., & Yusof, N. M. (2012). Effects of demographic variables on marital satisfaction. *Asian Social Science*, 8(9), 46–49. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v8n9p46>