ECTOINE PROTECTS DNA FROM DAMAGE BY IONIZING RADIATION

¹SRIKANTH NYAMTABAD, ²MAGGIDI RAMANI, ³GOVARDHAN SREENANCHA

^{1,2,3}Assistant Professor Department of Chemistry Kshatriya College of Engineering

ABSTRACT:

Ectoine plays an important role in protecting biomolecules and entire cells against environmental stressors such as salinity, freezing, drying and high temperatures. Recent studies revealed that ectoine also provides efective protection for human skin cells from damage caused by UV-A radiation. These protective properties make ectoine a valuable compound and it is applied as an active ingredient in numerous pharmaceutical devices and cosmetics. Interestingly, the underlying mechanism resulting in protecting cells from radiation is not yet fully understood. Here we present a study on ectoine and its protective infuence on DNA during electron irradiation. Applying gel electrophoresis and atomic force microscopy, we demonstrate for the frst time that ectoine prevents DNA strand breaks caused by ionizing electron radiation. The results presented here point to future applications of ectoine for instance in cancer radiation therapy.

INTRODUCTION:

Ectoine (1,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2-methyl-4pyrimidinecarboxylic acid) is synthesized and accumulated in molar concentration by bacteria to withstand osmotic stress1-3. Even at high molar concentration, ectoine and related substances do not disturb the metabolic pathways within the cell and are therefore called compatible solutes4 . Ectoine functions not only as an osmoregulatory compatible solute but also protects cell components and even whole cells against diferent stressors such as freezing and thawing, high temperatures, and drying1,5-7. In addition, it was shown that ectoine mitigates the damages on eukaryotic cells caused by ultraviolet radiation. Te study Bünger by and revealed coworkers а decrease in mutations in mitochondrial DNA of human skin cells when these cells were incubated with ectoine prior to irradiation with UV-A light8. Similar results were obtained afer irradiation with UV/VIS photons and less damage to DNA was observed in cells that have been treated with ectoine.

Te mechanism by which ectoine protects cell components such as proteins and membranes is relatively well understood5. Te beneficial effect is explained by the lower afnity of ectoine, compared to water, to the surface of such biomolecules. Te low afnity results in a thermodynamic force that contributes to increased stability of proteins and membranes9. Te mechanism in protecting living cells against UV radiation, however, is far from being clear. Ectoine reduces the release of certain infammatory factors and thus it was postulated that ectoine diminishes infammatory processes caused by UV radiation10. Likewise, it is hypothesized that ectoine-induced expression of heat shock proteins is the cause for protection against UV radiation11

In the present study it was investigated whether ectoine is able to protect DNA from damage by ionizing electron radiation in a cell-free setting. Plasmid DNA was irradiated with high energy electrons (30 keV) in water containing 1M ectoine. Applying gel electrophoresis and atomic force microscopy (AFM) it was found that ectoine on its own is a potent



IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787 Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, Iss 08, 2021

protective substance of DNA against ionizing radiation.

RESULTS

To fnd out whether ectoine protects DNA from radiation, plasmid pUC19 (2686 bp) was dissolved in ultrapure water (pH 6.6) or water containing ectoine (1M) and exposed to electron beams of 30keV with varying currents of 0 to 12.7nA. Te primary electrons were generated with the aid of an electron microscope and the experimental setup is described in detail by Hahn et al. 12 Plasmid DNA has been used for the experiments because its supercoiled isoform is highly sensitive to radiation damage. Assuming one nucleotide unit measures 0.34nm13, the entire contour length of pUC19 plasmid is nearly 913nm. Intact plasmid DNA appears as supercoiled (sc) structure. Single strand breaks (ssb) due to mechanical stress or enzymatic activity will lead to an open circular (oc) isoform, whereas double strand breaks (dsb) will result in linearized (lin) DNA. Further degradation of linearized DNA will result in even shorter fragments.



Figure 1. Undamaged plasmids per pUC19 samples irradiated with electrons (30 keV) in pure water (pH 6.6) and water containing 1M ectoine.

			undamaged plasmids		damaged plasmids				
			supercoiled		open circular		linearized (~913 nm)		fragmented
pUC19	number of primary electrons [·10 ¹²] per 300 s	Effective irradiation dose [Gy]	Gel data Relative Fluorescence signal %	AFM data Mean %±STD	Gel data Relative Fluorescence signal %	AFM data Mean %±STD	Gel data Relative Fluorescence signal %	AFM data Mean %±STD	AFM Data
without ectoine	0.305	0.2	49	63 ± 15	51	35 ± 13	0	2 ± 3	no
	21.14	14.07	*	**	*	**	*	**	yesee
with ectoine (1 mol/l)	0.394	0.26	58	60±3	42	33 ± 3	0	7±2	no
	23.78	15.83	85	84 ± 8	15	15 ± 7	0	1 ± 1	no

Table 1. Quantitative results at selected data points (compare Fig. 1) from gel electrophoresis and AFM outlining the formation of different pUC19 isoforms during irradiation with electrons, performed with and without ectoine. *Only ocDNA and linear DNA, respectively, were detected. Quantification was not possible since ocDNA and linear DNA could not be distinguished by gel fragmented electrophoresis. **Highly DNA was the main DNA species found by AFM. For further details see discussion.

electrophoresis Applying gel and intermittent contact AFM enabled identifcation and quantifcation of diferent plasmid isoforms. Afer electrophoretic separation, GelRed-stained DNA isoforms were quantifed from agarose gels and the amount of undamaged plasmids was plotted against the number of primary electrons and against the dose, respectively Te diferent isoforms were (Fig. 1). assigned according to their position in the gel by comparison with native supercoiled pUC19 DNA and its linearized isoform (HindIII digest). Furthermore, binding efciencies of GelRed for the same amount (75 ng) of sc and linear isoform DNA were determined and the correction factor was obtained as 0.95 ± 0.01 . Te minimally weaker binding to scDNA could therefore be neglected.

To estimate how much the sample treatment prior to irradiation has already changed the structure of pUC19, two diferent control samples were compared. Te frst control was completely untreated plasmid DNA, which consisted of



IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, Iss 08, 2021

approximately 97% supercoiled DNA. Plasmid DNA that went through the entire preparation process until irradiation was the second control and contained in average of 77% scDNA (ultrapure water, two replicates) and 84% scDNA (with ectoine, two replicates), respectively.

Plasmid pUC19 DNA was irradiated for 300s in water and by increasing the number of primary electrons, we observed a strong decline in undamaged scDNA until the complete loss of scDNA. In contrast, irradiation of pUC19 with high amount of primary electrons in the presence of 1M ectoine, kept most of the DNA intact. On average, 81% of the plasmids remained in the native supercoiled isoform, however, with a standard deviation of approximately 9%.

Quantification of GelRed-stained DNA via relative fuorescence signals from agarose gels. Te black triangle shows a control sample without any treatment. Black circles show samples in water (no ectoine) and red circles represent samples in 1M ectoine solution. Te data denoted by black and red squares are obtained from samples that went through the entire preparation process, which includes the incorporation in the microscope chamber, but without any radiation treatment. Additionally, representative samples, highlighted with a yellow circle, are analysed with AFM to obtain the distribution of the pUC19 isoforms (Table 1).

Te fraction of undamaged plasmids afer irradiation with diferent electron doses was quantitatively also analyzed by means of intermittent contact AFM, which has developed into a standard technique for imaging susceptible biomolecules14 with nanometer resolution. In particular, AFM has been used for structural analysis of supercoiled, open circular and linearized plasmid DNA as well as for analysis of contour lengths of linear DNA, which was fragmented by radiation.

Hence, within the seventeen diferent irradiated samples we selected four diferent and characteristic representatives. Te chosen samples comprised pUC19 DNA dissolved in unbufered water, which was irradiated with



Figure 2. Representative AFM amplitude images of electron-irradiated pUC19 DNA. Plasmid pUC19 in water was irradiated with a low electron dose of 0.2Gy (A) and with a high electron dose 14.07Gy (B). With increasing dose the scDNA declines and linear DNA arises that varies greatly in length (B and display details thereof). In comparison, pUC19 in 1M aqueous ectoine solution afer irradiation with 0.26Gy (C) and 15.83Gy (D) stays in its undamaged and native scDNA isoform. For AFM imaging the DNA was chemically fxed on ultra-smooth mica as a substrate. (bar=200nm).

a low and a high electron dose of 0.2Gy and 14.07Gy, respectively, and pUC19 dissolved in 1M ectoine solution, which was irradiated with 0.26Gy and 15.83Gy, respectively (see supplementary information, Table S1).



IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787 Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, Iss 08, 2021

For statistical reasons, three replicates were prepared from each of the four solutions on mica substrate. From each mica surface at least three different sites were picked and multiple images were scanned from these sites by atomic force microscopy. Four representative AFM images of electron irradiated pUC19 DNA are shown in Fig. 2. Te amount of DNA isoforms that was found in the water samples by AFM imaging was analyzed, means and standard deviation of the three replicates are given in Table 1. With increasing radiation dose the number of scDNA declines and fragmented DNA arises. Tese results are in good agreement the data obtained with bv gel electrophoresis, which revealed the complete loss of scDNA afer high-dose irradiation (compare Fig. 1) and an increase in the formation of open circular and linear DNA, respectively (Table 1). Although linear DNA migrates somewhat faster compared to the open circular isoform, a reliable discrimination of both forms by electrophoresis was ofen difcult. In contrast, AFM allowed to distinguish all three DNA isoforms based on single molecule analysis (Fig. 2) and even small amounts of linear DNA could be seen in samples irradiated with 0.2Gy. Applying a radiation dose of 14.07Gy, AFM analysis revealed an increase in linear DNA of varying sizes, while scDNA, ocDNA, and full length linear DNA (~913nm) were detectable only in low quantities.

Dissolving pUC19 DNA in aqueous ectoine solution (1M) changes the effect of radiation on DNA and ectoine apparently confers protection even against high radiation of 15.83Gy. In the presence of the compatible solute, the plasmid remained predominantly in the supercoiled isoform irrespective of the applied radiation dose (compare Figs 1 and 2C and D).

Discussion

Analyzing DNA by gel electrophoresis and AFM showed that ionization irradiation (30 keV electrons) causes strand breaks in supercoiled plasmid DNA. Te present study demonstrates for the frst time that ectoine prevents DNA from being damaged by ionizing electron radiation. Te argumentation behind the statement above is based on the following approach.

Strand breaks become apparent through changes in DNA conformation, which leads to the appearance of open circular and linear DNA isoforms and the decline of supercoiled DNA. Electrophoresis is a well-established method for structural analysis of plasmids. Because irradiated plasmids undergo conformational changes, altered electrophoretic mobility can be exploited for quantification, even though short fragments below 200bp are difcult to detect17,19,20. Atomic force microscopy allows to visualize changes in DNA conformation. Te number of single- and double-strand breaks introduced into a single DNA molecule represents the level of damage. Hence, the length distribution of the fragments is of great interest for quantifying the damage caused by radiation.

Ionizing radiation is known to disintegrate DNA. Te large number of short fragments is the result of densely localized ionization events in the proximity of the DNA. Hence, it is widely accepted that this is leading to destabilising the secondary structure of DNA14–18. Depending on the dose and the type of radiation, the effect of DNA lesions are ranging from cross-links,



base releases and combination of single strand-breaks, double strand-breaks to clustered double-strand breaks.

Te here described efects of ionizing radiation (30 keV electrons) on DNA dissolved in water are also in good agreement with results from our previous studies27. Additionally, the amount of diferent plasmid isoforms that have been detected by gel electrophoresis and AFM are in good agreement (compare Table 1). However, afer irradiating DNA with 14.07Gy in pure water, the results from gel electrophoresis and AFM difer in some respects. Even though silanization of mica formed a granular substructure hindering a quantitative analysis of fragments.

Applying gel electrophoresis, ocDNA and linear DNA were found, whereas almost completely fragmented DNA, as was to be expected16,18,20, detected was predominantly by imaging. AFM Comparing both detection principles it is obvious. that results from gel electrophoresis as an integrating method have their limits concerning the detection of small molecules. On the contrary, AFM sufers from a limited scan feld but benefts from high spatial resolution needed to detect highly damaged species. Such inconsistencies between AFM and electrophoresis were also found by Jiang et al

Some authors have proposed that such diferences may result from the chemical fxation on mica during AFM sample preparation, which introduces further strand breaks into already damaged DNA and thereby generating short linear molecules19. It is known that adhesion of DNA to mica surfaces with an incomplete silane layer can change the molecule's conformation30. As described by Schmatko and coworkers30, the charges on a bare surface without silane can cause damage to DNA and transform supercoiled pUC19 into open circular DNA. However, such conformational changes of control samples into linearized or even fragmented DNA were not observed by AFM in our experiments. During sample preparation, each mica surface was visually assessed to ensure that the surface was completely silanized. Tus, it was made sure that the observed damage to DNA was caused by radiation and not by sample preparation or substrate adhesion. For gel electrophoresis, it is known that due to molecular entanglement DNA molecules could be transported in the "wrong" gel band19 and are indistinguishable from each other in terms of their conformation. In support of our fndings, Murakami and coworkers17 reported that afer 60Co exposure short fragments of DNA can be clearly seen by AFM, whereas those fragments escaped detection by gel electrophoresis. Te experiments carried out here, clearly revealed that the compatible solute ectoine protects DNA from damage caused by electron radiation and preserves its native supercoiled conformation, even if inconsistencies between the methods are still worth to be discussed.

Descriptions of the mechanisms by which ectoine protects DNA against ionizing electron radiation are still under discussion. Ectoine and other compatible solutes protect proteins from denaturation by stressors such as heat or dryness5,9 . Stabilizing the native folded state is explained by the preferential exclusion of compatible solutes from the surface of proteins and their frst hydration shell. Stabilization by preferential exclusion is



IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787

Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, Iss 08, 2021

explained as the result of the tendency to minimize the surface area9,31. However, this model might not hold true for all molecules. Based on atomistic moleculardynamics simulations for the compatible solute hydroxyectoine, it was shown that hydroxyectoine can be attracted to surfaces of negatively charged molecules

the preferential Following exclusion model, the attraction of hydroxyectoine to negative surfaces was coined preferential binding32. Firstly, the model based on the molecular dynamics simulation confrmed preferential exclusion the of hydroxyectoine from the surface of positively charged proteins and their frst hydration shell33-35. Secondly, the model preferential revealed а binding of hydroxyectoine to negatively charged spheres such as DNA within a distance of 0.6nm above the surface32. As а consequence, hydroxyectoine will partially replace the frst water shell that surrounds DNA in a distance of approximately 0.2 to 0.5 nm36-38. Assuming that ectoine resembles hydroxyectoine in terms of its binding properties, it is suggested that ectoine as well partially replaces water from the hydration shell of DNA

Tus, the mechanism by which ectoine stabilizes DNA against ionizing electron radiation can be described as follows: For the interaction of ionizing radiation with water we can assume that a decrease of degradative species in the vicinity of DNA takes place and thus mitigates the damage of DNA. Additional effects such as the scavenging of radicals are already under investigation.

In contrast to other studies, the calculation of the radiation doses in this survey is based on a microdosimetric approach27. In our previous study the energy deposit per volume of plasmid including its frst hydration shell and per primary electron (30 keV) in water through a 100nm thick Si3N4 membrane has been found to be $1.205 \cdot 10 - 14$ eV27. Although in the experimental setup described here a thicker membrane of 200nm was used, the irradiation dose can be calculated based on this value (see supplementary, Table S1). simulations Moreover. of electron scattering and of plasmid difusion suggest that the kinetic energy spectrum of the throughout the water electrons is dominated by low energy electrons. Te energy of these electrons is below 100 eV and on average in the range of 50 eV27. Tese data allow to estimate the kinetic energy of electrons in the vicinity of DNA. Tus, the electrons used exhibit energies far above the ionization thresholds of water and DNA, which are approximately 7 to 8 eV39-42 for DNA and around 10 eV for water.

CONCLUSION

DNA double-strand breaks are the most critical radiation-induced damage, which eventually will lead to cell death. Most ionizing radiation in water ends in an avalanche of low energy electrons, which are together with hydroxyl radicals the key players in damaging DNA. To get closer to the physiological conditions in living cells, a special sample holder had been developed12 that allowed electron irradiation of DNA in aqueous solution and helped to evaluate the potential of ectoine in protecting DNA.

In water without ectoine, the applied electron radiation caused multiple doublestrand breaks in plasmid pUC19 as witnessed by the generation of highly



IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787 Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, Iss 08, 2021

fragmented DNA. In contrast, 1M ectoine preserved intact the native supercoiled conformation of plasmid DNA and suppressed any damage even at a high irradiation dose of 23.8.1012 primary electrons with 30 keV, which corresponds to an efective dose of 15.8Gy.

Until now, the mechanism on how ectoine protects the stability of plasmid DNA is not fully understood. Te replacement of the (frst) hydration shell of DNA by ectoine could possibly lead to this efect, because less secondary electrons will be generated by interaction of ionizing radiation with water in the vicinity of the DNA. It is, however, also possible that ectoine acts as a radioprotector in terms of its electron as well as radical scavenging properties.

Terefore, further studies have to clarify the precise mechanism by which ectoine protects DNA. Tese studies have to include stability tests for ectoine for electron and UV43 exposure to fnd out if ectoine undergoes irreversible chemical changes. We already know from our recent work that ectoine protects DNA from radiation in a concentration-dependent manner44. Furthermore, ectoine must be compared in terms of radiation protection to other compatible solutes such as hydroxyectoine, trehalose and glycine betaine. Comparing the different solutes will help to determine whether the specifc tetrahydropyrimidine structure of ectoine contribute in stabilizing DNA or whether more common features contribute to the protection, for instance the relatively large hydrophobic surface area of compatible solutes combined with good water solubility. Experiments with known OHscavengers such as DMSO must be included as well. Moreover. our experiments, which we have carried out in a simple model system have to be expanded to chromosomal DNA and even whole cells. Interestingly, ectoine is not taken up by a number of eukaryotic cells (skin cells) but it is not clear yet whether this is true for all eukaryotic cells (e.g. kidney cells).

In conclusion, this work provides strong evidence in support of ectoine as a potent and specifc substance in protecting DNA against ionizing radiation. If taken up by eukaryotic cells, ectoine could be used as a radioprotector for DNA and possibly for cells in a wide-ranging of medical applications such as cancer radiation therapy.

Experimental Procedures

Irradiation of plasmid DNA.

Te plasmid created at the University of California (pUC19, 2686 base pairs) was purchased at New England Biolabs GmbH. Te plasmid pUC19 was desalted by Microcon YM-50 flters and resuspended in ultrapure water (conductance 0.055 µS cm-1, pH 6.6) Te concentration and purity of plasmids was determined by using NanoDrop2000c (Termo Scientifc). Te plasmid sample at concentrations of 50 ng/µl were adjusted in ultra-pure water (conductance 0.055µS cm-1, pH 6.6) or (1,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2-methyl-4ectoine pyrimidinecarboxylic acid, purchased from bitop AG, Witten, Germany) solution (1M, pH 6.6). A volume of 4µl pUC19 solution were used for irradiation. As described by Hahn et al. 12 the irradiation of plasmid DNA were performed through a vacuumseparating nanomembrane in aqueous solution with a FEI XL30 scanning electron microscope (SEM) by use of primary electrons with kinetic energies of 30 keV. Te irradiation was performed at



IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787 Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, Iss 08, 2021

room temperature. Currents of 0-12.7nA (determined with a faraday cup and a picoammeter) Keithlev 6485 with irradiation times of (300 ± 4) s were used. Te SE-detector voltage was fxed at 0 V to enable calculations of the dosis. Te sample pre-preparation, the electron irradiation and post-preparation of the samples were carried out exactly within 2h. Afer sample treatment, the plasmid samples were stored at -20 °C (freezing and thawing only 1 time) before analyzing by using gel electrophoresis and atomic force microscopy.

Agarose gel electrophoresis. Gel electrophoresis was performed to identify diferent plasmid structures and to determine topological changes afer electron irradiation of plasmids. Each channel of 1% agarose gel was run with 75 ng plasmids at 50 V for 90 minutes in gel electrophoresis. Te DNA was stained with GelRedI (GeneON GmbH) and visualized as well as quantifed by measuring the fuorescence intensity of each plasmid structure (Herolab E.A.S.Y® Doc plus, E.A.S.Y Win-Sofware).

AFM sample preparation. Atomic force microscopy was performed to determine the structure of DNA plasmids in ectoineaqueous solution afer electron irradiation. Te samples were prepared on mica, which commonly used as ultra-smooth is substrate for deposition the of biomolecules. Te surface was functionalized based on an approved procedure45 by incubating an aliquot of 20ul of 0.05% APTES solution ((3-Aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane) for one minute at room temperature on freshly cleaved mica and by purging with ultrapure water (conductance 0.055µS cm-1). Nitrogen (Linde, 5.0) was used to

blow-dry the functionalized mica plates. Under these conditions an APTES layer with a thickness<15%.

Intermittent contact atomic force microscopy. Intermittent contact AFM was performed in air by using a Nanotec Electronica (Madrid, SL Spain) microscope. In order to provide high spatial resolution cantilevers equipped with diamond-like carbon (DLC) whiskers at the tip end (supplier: NT-MDT) were used, which have a curvature radius of about 1-3nmaccording to the manufacturer. Two diferent types of cantilevers were used (126±10 kHz for NSG01 DLC, 295±10 kHz for NSG10 DLC) without apparent infuence on the quality of images. In all cases it was checked if conventional feedback on amplitude of vibration or PLL-based feedback using diferent frequencies yields best result. Images were collected at a scan frequencies between 1-2Hz and the fxed scan size was chosen to 2.5 µm since statistical purposes require a large number of plasmids on each AFM image. Tus a lateral pixel resolution of ~4.9 nm is achieved. Extreme care was required to adjust the feedback in a way that nor the DNA is destroyed neither the tip is contaminated. Relative humidity in the closed chamber was reduced by а desiccant, however sample surfaces will still exhibit adsorbed and absorbed water, infuencing tip-sample adhesion and energy dissipation. Tus, topography images appear not as sharp as images of the amplitude or phase signal which consistently were used for analysis. Since only conformation as well as contour length of the plasmids is analyzed, the information of the DNA height is not required.



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787 Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, Iss 08, 2021

AFM image post-processing. For visualization, analysis and post-processing of the AFM images WsXM sofware (version 4.0 Beta 6.4)46 was used. Since immobilization procedure causes the artefacts like silan aggregates bright spots in the images were suppressed. If necessary, a post-processing in terms of a low-pass-flter was applied afer the carefully performed background subtraction of artefacts caused by substrate or scanning process. In all cases the color scale was optimized to enhance the plasmid contour.

Data analysis and quantifcation. Analyzing gel electrophoresis data, the amount of undamaged plasmids of electron irradiated samples were quantifed, with (1M) and without ectoine in the aqueous solution. Additionally, within the irradiated diferent samples four characteristic representatives (without ectoine, 0.2Gy and 14.07Gy respectively/ectoine solution, 0.26Gy and 15.83Gy respectively) were selected to compare the fraction of supercoiled, open circular and linearized plasmids with the results from AFM experiments. In AFM experiments three replicates per condition were deposited on mica and at least three diferent parts of each sample were imaged. For each experimental condition, not less than 11 AFM images on 2-3 mica samples were captured. By doing so, typically several hundreds DNA plasmids were included in the analysis for each sample. A visual assessment of each image was carried out by two independent persons in order to count the amounts of diferent plasmid structures. Te following criteria were used: 1) Plasmid structures have to be completely visible on the image. 2) Plasmids have to be recognizable as single

molecule and not lie on top of each other. 3) Te classes of diferent plasmid isoforms are: supercoiled, open circular or linear structure. 4) Te contour length of the linear structure (lin DNA) was found to be approximately 913nm as expected. Since silanization of mica formed a granular substructure the length of strongly fragmented linear DNA with less 100bp (~34nm) could not be counted properly. For statistical analysis of AFM data each experimental condition was compared due to the mentioned criteria and the topological diferences in plasmids. Here, the procentual amounts of each plasmid structure were taken as a basis, not the actually counted from every image. Results are expressed as the mean for each fraction. Te datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

1. Galinski, E. A., Pfeifer, H. P. & Trüper, H. G. 1,4,5,6-Tetrahydro-2-methyl-4pyrimidinecarboxylic acid. A novel cyclic amino acid from halophilic phototrophic bacteria of the genus Ectothiorhodospira. Eur. J. Biochem. 149, 135–139 (1985).

2. Severin, J., Wohlfarth, A. & Galinski, E. A. The predominant role of recently discovered tetrahydropyrimidines for the osmoadaptation of halophilic eubacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. 138, 1629–1638 (1992).

3. Schwibbert, K. et al. A blueprint of ectoine metabolism from the genome of the industrial producer Halomonas elongata DSM 2581T. Environ. Microbiol. 13, 1973–1994 (2011).

4. Brown, A. D. Microbial water stress. Bacteriol. Rev. 40, 803–846 (1976).



IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787 Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, 155 08, 2021

5. Lippert, K. & Galinski, E. Enzyme stabilization by ectoine-type compatible solutes: protection against heating, freezing and drying. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 37 (1992).

6. Harishchandra, R. K., Wulf, S., Lentzen, G., Neuhaus, T. & Galla, H.-J. Te efect of compatible solute ectoines on the structural organization of lipid monolayer and bilayer membranes. Biophys. Chem. 150, 37–46 (2010).

7. Beblo-Vranesevic, K., Galinski, E. A., Rachel, R., Huber, H. & Rettberg, P. Infuence of osmotic stress on desiccation and irradiation tolerance of (hyper)thermophilic microorganisms. Arch. Microbiol. 199, 17–28 (2017).

8. Bünger, J., Degwert, J. & Driller, H. Te protective function of compatible solute ectoine on the skin cells and its biomolecules with respect to UV-radiation, immunosuppression and membrane damage. IFSCC Magazine 4, 1–6 (2001).

9. Kunte, H., Lentzen, G. & Galinski, E. Industrial Production of the Cell Protectant Ectoine: Protection Mechanisms, Processes, and Products. Current Biotechnology 3, 10–25 (2014).

10. Bünger, J. & Driller, H. Ectoin: an efective natural substance to prevent UVA-induced premature photoaging. Skin Pharmacol. Physiol. 17, 232–237 (2004).

11. Botta, C., Di Giorgio, C., Sabatier, A.-S. & De Méo, M. Genotoxicity of visible light (400–800 nm) and photoprotection assessment of ectoin, L-ergothioneine and mannitol and four sunscreens. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 91, 24–34 (2008). 12. Hahn, M. B., Uhlig, F., Solomun, T., Smiatek, J. & Sturm, H. Combined infuence of ectoine and salt: spectroscopic and numerical evidence for compensating efects on aqueous solutions. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 18, 28398–28402 (2016).

13. Lodish, H. F. Molecular Cell Biology. (W.H. Freeman, 2000).

14. Lyubchenko, Y. L., Shlyakhtenko, L. S. & Ando, T. Imaging of nucleic acids with atomic force microscopy. Methods 54, 274–283 (2011).

15. Pang, D., Berman, B. L., Chasovskikh, S., Rodgers, J. E. & Dritschilo, A. Investigation of Neutron-Induced Damage in DNA by Atomic Force Microscopy: Experimental Evidence of Clustered DNA Lesions. Radiat. Res. 150, 612 (1998).

16. Pang, D., Rodgers, J. E., Berman, B. L., Chasovskikh, S. & Dritschilo, A. Spatial distribution of radiation-induced double-strand breaks in plasmid DNA as resolved by atomic force microscopy. Radiat. Res. 164, 755–765 (2005).

17. Murakami, M., Hirokawa, H. & Hayata, I. Analysis of radiation damage of DNA by atomic force microscopy in comparison with agarose gel electrophoresis studies. J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods 44, 31–40 (2000).

18. González, L. N. et al. DNA fragmentation by gamma radiation and electron beams using atomic force microscopy. J. Biol. Phys. 38, 531–542 (2012).

19. Jiang, Y., Rabbi, M., Mieczkowski, P. A. & Marszalek, P. E. Separating DNA with diferent topologies by atomic force microscopy in comparison with gel



IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 787 Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, Journal Volume 10, Iss 08, 2021

electrophoresis. J. Phys. Chem. B 114, 12162–12165 (2010).

20. Psonka-Antonczyk, K., Elsässer, T., Gudowska-Nowak, E. & Taucher-Scholz, G. Distribution of double-strand breaks induced by ionizing radiation at the level of single DNA molecules examined by atomic force microscopy. Radiat. Res. 172, 288–295 (2009).

21. Martin, F. et al. DNA strand breaks induced by 0-4 eV electrons: the role of shape resonances. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 068101 (2004).

22. von Sonntag, C. New aspects in the free-radical chemistry of pyrimidine nucleobases. Free Radic. Res. Commun. 2, 217–224 (1987).

23. Zheng, Y., Cloutier, P., Hunting, D. J., Sanche, L. & Wagner, J. R. Chemical basis of DNA sugar-phosphate cleavage by lowenergy electrons. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 16592–16598 (2005).

24. Solomun, T. & Sturm, H. Bringing electrons and microarray technology together. J. Phys. Chem. B 111, 10636–10638 (2007).

25. Solomun, T., Seitz, H. & Sturm, H. DNA damage by low-energy electron impact: dependence on guanine content. J. Phys. Chem. B 113, 11557–11559 (2009).

