PAIR SUM LABELLING OF UNION OF GRAPHS

Nagarajan P

M. Phil Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Bharath University, Chennai. 72

*2 Dr. D. Venkatesan

Professor & Head, Department of Mathematics, Bharath University, Chennai. 72.

nrajan1729@gmail.com venkatesan.math@bharathuniv.ac.in

Address for Correspondence

Dr. D. Venkatesan

Professor & Head, Department of Mathematics, Bharath University, Chennai. 72.

nrajan1729@gmail.com venkatesan.math@bharathuniv.ac.in

ABSTRACT

The view of pair sum labeling has been introduced in this work. Pair sums labeling

behavior of complete graph, cycle, path, bistar etc. Here we study pair sum labeling of union

of some standard graphs and we find the maximum size of a pair sum graph. Although its

primary interest was the graceful labeling of trees in order to solve Ringel's conjecture, labeling

of graphs gained over the years its own beauty and interest. All the graphs considered here are

finite and undirected. The graph labeling is an assignment of integers to the vertices or edges

or both subject to certain conditions. If the domain of the mapping is the set of edges then the

labelling called pair sums a labeling.

KEYWORDS: graph, labelling, edges, pair sum labelling etc.,

INTRODUCTION

The pair sum Labeling of graphs has been a topic of research for 50 years and

it still has many properties to be found. Labeling was introduced in the late 1960's. Many

studies in graph labelling refer to Rosa's research in 1967. Graphs that have some sort of

regularity of structure are said to be Pair sum labeling. This work gives a brief overview of the

subject, presenting not only theoretical results from the literature, but also some computational

782

e-ISSN 2320 -7876 www.ijfans.org Vol.11, Iss.9, Dec 2022 © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved

Research Paper

results. Furthermore, we give some contributions to this problem. Pair sum labeling some

rather simple graphs classes like cycle and wheel. Also show necessary conditions to the

existence of a graceful labeling for a graph, and two methods of constructing graceful graphs.

A pair sum labeling is an assignment of integers to the vertices or the edges, or both, subject

to certain conditions. If the domain is the set of vertices we speak about the labeling. If the

domain is the set of edges, then the labeling is called the edge labeling. If the labels are assigned

to the vertices and also the edge of a graph such a labeling is called pair sum labeling

Definition: The union of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph $G_1 \cup G_2$ with

 $V(G_1 \cup G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G_1 \cup G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$

Definition: If P_n denotes a path on n vertices, the graph $L_n = P_2 \times P_n$ is called a ladder.

Definition: The graph $C_n \hat{O}K_{1,m}$ is obtained from C_n and $K_{1,m}$ by identifying any vertex of C_n

and central vertex of $K_{1,m}$.

Theorem

If $m \le 4$, then nK_m is a pair sum graph.

Proof

Obviously m=1,the result is true.

Case 1: m=2.

Assign the label j and j+1 to the vertices of jth copy of K2 for all odd j. For even

values of j,label the vertices of the jth copy of K₂ by-j+1and-j.

Case 2: m=3.

Subcase1 m is even.

Label the vertices of first n/2 copies by 3j - 2, 3j - 1, $3j(1 \le j \le n/2)$. Remaining n/2 copies

are labeled by -3i + 2, -3i + 1, -3i.

Subcase 2 n is odd.

783

Label the vertices of first (n-1) copies as in Subcase (a). In the last copy label the

vertices by
$$\frac{3(n-1)}{2} + 1$$
, $\frac{-3(n-1)}{2} - 2$, $\frac{3(m-1)}{2} + 3$ respectively.

Case 3 m = 4

Subcase1: n is even

Label the vertices of first $\frac{n}{2}$ copies by 4j-3, 4j-2, 4j-1, 4j $(1 \le j \le n/2)$. Remaining $\frac{n}{2}$

Copies are labeled by -4j+3, -4j+2, -4j+1, -4j.

Subcase2: n is odd.

Label the vertices of first (n-1) copies as in Sub case(a). In the last copy label the vertices by -2n, 2n+1, 2n+2 and -2n-3 respectively.

Theorem

Ladder L_m admids pair sum labeling

Proof

Let
$$V(L_m) = \{x_j, y_j: 1 \le j \le m\}$$
 and

$$E (L_m) = \{x_j y_j : 1 \le j \le m\} \cup \{x_j x_j + 1, y_j y_j + 1 : 1 \le j \le m - 1\}.$$

When m is odd.

Let m=2n+1.consider $g:V(L_m)\rightarrow \{\pm 1,\pm 2,...,\pm (4n+2)\}$ by

$$g(x_j) = -4(n+1)+2j, \quad 1 \le j \le n,$$

$$g(x_{n+1}) = -(2n+1),$$

$$g(x_{n+1+j})=2n+2j+2, 1 \le j \le n$$

$$g(y_i) = -4n-3+2j,$$
 $1 \le j \le n,$

$$g(y_{n+1})=2n+2$$

$$g(y_{n+1+j}) {=} 2n {+} 2j {+} 1, \qquad 1 {\leq} j {\leq} n.$$

When m is even

Let m=2n. consider
$$g:V(L_m)\rightarrow \{\pm 1,\pm 2,...,\pm (4n+2)\}$$
 by

$$g(x_{n+1-j}) = -2j, \quad 1 \le j \le n,$$

$$g(x_{n+j})=2j-1, 1 \le j \le n,$$

$$g(x_{n+j})=2j,$$
 $1 \le j \le n,$

$$g(x_{n+1-j}) = -(2j-1), 1 \le j \le n.$$

Then L_m admits a pair sum labeling.

Theorem

Any triangular snake T_m is a pair sum graph.

Proof

Let
$$V(T_m) = \{x_i, y_i : 1 \le i \le m+1, 1 \le j \le m\},\$$

$$E(T_m) {=} \{x_i x_{i+1}, x_i y_j, y_i y_{j+1} {:} 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m{-}1\}.$$

The proof consider three cases

Case1: m = 4n-1

Define

$$g(x_i)=2j-1, 1 \le j \le 2n,$$

$$g(x_{2n+j})=-2j+1, 1 \le j \le 2n,$$

$$g(y_i)=2j,$$
 $1 \le j \le 2n-1,$

$$g(y_{2n}) = -8n + 3$$
,

$$g(y_{2n+j})=-2j,$$
 $1 \le j \le 2n-1.$

Case2: m=4n+1

Define

$$\begin{split} g(x_i) &= -8n - 3 + 2(j - 1), & 1 \leq j \leq 2n + 1, \\ g(x_{2n + 1 + j}) &= 8n + 3 - 2(j - 1), & 1 \leq j \leq 2n + 1, \\ g(y_j) &= -2 + 2(j - 1), & 1 \leq j \leq 2n \\ g(y_{2n + 1}) &= 3, \\ g(y_{2n + j + 1}) &= 8n + 2 - 2(j - 1), & 1 \leq j \leq 2n. \end{split}$$

Case3 m=2n

Define

$$g(x_{n+1})=1$$
,

$$g(x_{n+1+j})=2j,$$
 $1 \le j \le n,$

$$g(x_{n+1-j})=-2j, 1 \le j \le n$$

$$g(y_n)=3$$
,

$$g(y_{n+1}) = -5,$$

$$g(y_{n+1+j})=5+2j, 1 \le j \le n-1,$$

$$g(y_{n-j}) = -(5+2j), \quad 1 \le j \le n-1.$$

Clearly $T_{\mathbf{m}}$ is a pair sum labeling.

Theorem

The crown $C_m \odot K_1$ is a pair sum graph.

Proof

Let C_m be the cycle given by $x_1x_2,...,x_mx_1$ and let $y_1,y_2,...,y_m$ be the pendent vertices adjacent to $x_1,x_2,...,x_m$ respectively.

Case1: m is even.

Subcase(a): m=4n.

Define

$$g(x_i)=2j-1,$$
 $1 \le j \le 2n$

$$g(x_{2n+j}) = -2j+1,$$
 $1 \le j \le 2n,$

$$g(y_j)=4n+(2j-1),$$
 $1 \le j \le 2n,$

$$g(y_{2n+j}) = -(4n+2j-1), \quad 1 \le j \le 2$$

Theorem

One point union of a cycle C_3 and a path P_2 is not a pair sum graph.

Proof

Let
$$g(v_1) = y_1, g(v_2) = y_2, g(v_3) = y_3$$
 and $g(v_4) = y_4$.

By theorem 2.1
$$y_1+2y_2+2y_3+3y_4=0$$

$$=> y_1 + y_4 = -2[y_2 + y_3 + y_4]$$

$$=> 2| (y_1 + y_4)$$

$$=> y_1 + y_4 = 2k \rightarrow (2).$$
(1)

Case(i): k = 1

$$=> y_1+y_4=2\rightarrow (3)$$

$$=> -y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = -3 \rightarrow (4)$$

Let (l,m,n) be the ordered 3-tuples which satisfy the equation (4). Using theorem 2.1 and definition 3.1, we have the following ordered 3-tuples.

$$(i)(1,2,-4), (ii)(1,-4,2), (iii)(2,3,-4), (iv)(2,-4,3), (v)(-2,-1,-4), (vi)(-2,-4,-1)$$

$$(vii)(3,1,-1),(viii)(3,-1,1),(ix)(3,2,-2),(x)(3,-2,2),(xi)(3,4,-4),(xii)(3,-4,4),$$

$$(xiii)(-3, -2, -4), (xiv)(-3, -4, -2), (xv)(4, -1, 2), (xvi)(4, 2, -1), (xvii)(4, -2, 3), (xviii)(4, 3, -2).$$

Subcase
$$(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(3, 2, -2), (3, -2, 2), (3, 4, -4), (3, -4, 4)\}$$

By theorem, this is not possible.

Subcase $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(-3, -2, -4), (-3, -1, -2)\}$ By $(3), y_4 = 5$, this is not possible.

Subcase
$$(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(4,-1, 2), (4,2,-1)\}$$

By(3), y_4 = -2 and in this case, the label of v_4v_3 is zero. This is a contradiction to definition

Subcase(xii):
$$(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(4, -2, 3), (4, 3, -2)\}$$

By(3), y_4 = -2. Then two edges receive 2 as an edge label.

In all the above subcases, the given graph is not a pair sum graph.

Case(ii): k = 2

$$By(2), y_1+y_4=4 \rightarrow (5)$$

$$=>y_1+y_2+y_3=-6 \rightarrow (6)$$

In this case, we have the following ordered 3-tuples.

3,1).

Subcase
$$(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(1, -2, -3), (1, -3, -2)\}$$

By(5), y_4 = 3.Inthiscasetheedgelabel v_4v_3 zerowhichisa contradiction.

Subcase
$$(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(1, -4, -1), (1, -1, -4)\}$$

By(5), y_4 = 3, which is a contradiction.

Subcase $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(-1, -3, -4), (-1, -4, -3)\}$

By(5), y_4 = 5, which is not possible.

Subcase $(y_1,y_2,y_3) \in \{(2,-1,-3), (2,-3,-1)\}$

By(5), x_4 = 2, which is not possible.

Subcase $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(3,-1,-2), (3,-2,-1)\}$

By(5), y_4 = 1 and this implies that zero appears as an edge label, a contradiction.

Subcase $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(3,1,-4), (3,-4,1)\}$

By(5), y_4 = 1, a contradiction.

Subcase $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \{(4, 1, -3), (4, -3, 1)\}$

By(5), $y_4 = 0$, a contradiction.

In all the above subcases, the given graph is not a pair sum graph.

Case(iii) k = 3

By(2),
$$y_1+y_4=6 \rightarrow (7)$$

$$=>y+y2+y3=-9 \rightarrow (8)$$

Here we have the following ordered 3-tuples.

$$(i)(2,-4,-3)(ii)(2,-3,-4)(iii)(3,-4,-2)(iv)(3,-2,-4)(v)(4,-2,-3)(vi)(-4,-3,-2) (vii)(4,-4,-1)(viii)(4,-4,-1)(viii)(4,-4,-4)$$

Case(v) k > 3.

Then $y_1+y_4 \ge 8$, which is not possible.

Theorem

 $C_3\hat{O}$ $K_{1,n}$ is a pair sum graph if, n > 1

Proof

Case(i) n=1

By theorem, $C_3\hat{O}$ $K_{1, n}$ is not a pair sum graph.

Case(ii) n>1

Let C_3 be the cycle $x_1x_2x_3x_1$. Let $V(K_1, n) = \{y, y_i : 1 \le j \le m\}$ and

 $E(K_{1,n}) = \{yy_j: 1 \le j \le m\}.$

Without loss of generality we assume y is identified to x_1 .

Define g(x)=1,

$$g(x_2)=-2,$$

$$g(x_3)=3,$$

$$1 \le j \le \frac{n}{2} \text{ if n is even and}$$

$$1 \le j \le \frac{n+1}{2} \text{ if n is odd,}$$

$$g(y_{(n/2)+j})=3+j \qquad 1 \le j \le \frac{n}{2} \text{ if n is even and}$$

$$1 \le j \le \frac{n-1}{2} \text{ if n is odd.}$$

Clearly g is a pair sum labeling of $C_3\hat{O}$ $K_{1, n}$.

Theorem

 $C4\hat{O}$ K_1 , n is a pair sum graph for all n.

Proof

Without loss of generality we assume x is identified to x_1 .

Define
$$g(x) = -1$$

$$g(x_2)=2$$

$$g(x_3)=1$$

$$g(\mathbf{x}_4) = -2$$

 $g(y_j)$ = -2-j $1 \le j \le n/2$ if n is even and $1 \le j \le (n+1)/2$ if n is odd

 $g(y_{(n/2)+j})=4+j$ $1 \le j \le n/2$ if m is even and $1 \le j \le (n-1)/2$ if n is odd

Then g is a pair sum labeling. Therefore $C_4\hat{O}$ $K_{1,n}$ is a pair sum graph.

Theorem

Let $C_n : x_1 x_2 ... x_n x_1$ be the cycle where $n \equiv 0,1,2 \pmod{4}$. Let G be the graph with $V(G) = V(C_n)$ and

 $E(G)=E(C_n)\cup\{x_1x_3\}$. Then G is a pair sum graph.

Proof

Case(i) n=4m+2

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{j})=2(m+1)-\mathbf{j}$$
 $1 \le \mathbf{j} \le 2m+1$

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{2m+1+\mathbf{j}}) = -(2(m+1)-\mathbf{j})$$
 $1 \le \mathbf{j} \le 2m+1$

Case(ii) n=4m

$$g(x_1)=2m+1$$

$$g(x_{i+1}) = 2m-j$$
 $1 \le j \le 2m-1$

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{2m+1}) = -(2m+1)$$

$$g(x_{2m+1+i}) = -(2m-j)$$
 $1 \le j \le 2m-1$

Case(iii) n=4m+1

 $g(x_1) = -4$

$$g(x_{1+j}) = j$$
 $1 \le j \le 2m+1$

$$g(x_{n-2j+2}) = -(2j-1)$$
 $1 \le j \le m$

$$g(x_{n-2j+1}) = -(4+2j)$$
 $1 \le j \le m-1$

Then g is a pair sum labeling. Therefore G is a pair sum graph.

REFERENCE

- [1] Choudum, S.A., and Kishore, S. P. M., pair sum labellings of the union of paths and cycles, Discrete Math., Volume 206, (1999), 105-117.
- [2] Gao, Z., Odd pair sum labellings labelings of some union graphs, J. Nat. Sci. Heilongjiang Univ., Volume 24, (2007), 35-39.
- [3] Hoede, C., and Kuiper, H., All wheels are graceful, Util. Math., Volume 14, (1987). 311-322.
- [4] Kaneria, V.J., Jariya, M.M., and Meghpara, M., pair sum labellings labeling for some starrelated graphs, Int. Math. Forum, Volume 9 (26), (2014a), 1289-
- [5] 1293. Kaneria, V. J., Makadia, H. M., and Jariya, M. M., and Meghpara, M., pair sumlabeling for complete bipartite graphs, Appl. Math. (103), (2014b), 5099 5104.
- [6] Kaneria, V. J., Meghpara, M., and Makadia, H.M., labeling for open star of graphs, Int. J. Math. Stat. Invention, (IJMSI), Volume 2 (9), (2014c), 19-23.
- Liu, J. B., Zou, T., Lu, Y., Gracefulness of Cartesian product graphs, Pure [7] Appl. Math. (Xi'an), Volume 28, No.3, (2012), 329-332.
- Mishra, D., and Panigrahi, P., Graceful lobsters obtained by component

[8]

- moving of diameter four trees, Comput. Math. Appl., Volume 50, (2005), 367-380
- [9] Rahim, M. T., and Slamin, Most wheel related graphs are not vertex magic, Util. Math., Volume 77, (2008), 193-199.
- [10] Seoud, M.A.,, and Abdel-Aal, M.E., On odd graceful graphs, Ars.Comb.108 (2013),161-185.
- [11] Sudha,S.,and Kanniga,V., Gracefulness of some new class of graphs, Engin. Sci. Internat. Reser. J., Volume 1, No. 1, (2013), 81-83.
- [12] Vaidya, S. K., and Lekha, B., Odd graceful labeling of some new graphs, Modern Appl. Sci., Volume 4 (10), (2010a), 65-70.