IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES
ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed ( Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 10, October 2022

# An Analysis of Prevention and Cure of Mental Disorder

Dr Prerana Gupta, Professor,
Department of Psychitary, Teerthanker Mahaveer University Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- preranagupta1978@gmail.com

***ABSTRACT: Even with optimum treatment and access to resources, modelling studies indicate that less than 30% of the burden of mental illnesses may be avoided. This emphasizes the need of reducing the prevalence of mental illnesses by implementing evidence-based preventive methods and policy changes. The argument for prevention is presented in this cross-journal article collection by highlighting proven efforts, as well as possibilities and goals for the prevention of mental illnesses in early life, the workplace, and at the population level. These articles include systematic and narrative reviews that outline the evidence basis for preventive methods, as well as commentary and debate to encourage discussion and reconsideration of preventative initiatives. Governments and funding organizations are hesitant to engage in research and policy action that may take several years to show benefits, which is a barrier to increasing preventive research. The argument for the cost-effectiveness of mental disorder prevention must be made forcefully, and new cross-disciplinary, intersectional initiatives and policies for mental illness prevention throughout the lifetime must be created.***

***KEYWORDS: Disorder, Health, Mental, Prevention, Symptoms.***

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Promoting health and well-being via promotion, prevention, and early intervention methods may have the greatest effect. Screening methods and early detection treatments may enable more effective healthcare pathways by intervening long before health issues develop or occur. They also enable more customized treatment by customizing health interventions to specific sociodemographic and health-related risk variables, as well as activating therapies tailored to certain disease stages. In this respect, clinical staging models have been proposed as a way to enhance health outcomes by addressing the requirements of individuals who appear at various stages along the health-disease continuum. Despite the challenges, reformulating health services in this way may improve preventive and early intervention effectiveness, disease control, and overall care, improving the health and well-being outcomes of a larger population. It has the potential to decrease disease burden and healthcare costs, which should not be ignored [1].

### *1.1 The need for implementing prevention and early intervention in youth mental health:*

Prevention and early intervention are widely acknowledged as critical components in reducing the severity of any potentially severe health issue. While representing a field of extraordinary accomplishment, early intervention in adolescent health is a goal that has yet to be fully realized. This is especially true in the case of adolescent mental health. Indeed, mental health services have historically been geared on providing health benefits to adult populations during crisis situations and severe crises. In this context, mental health presentations to emergency rooms in pediatric populations are quite common occurrences. Deinstitutionalization strategies have only partly addressed this problem, especially given the broad range of implementation of community mental health services, particularly for children and young people, throughout the globe [2].

Theoretical concerns regarding the possibility of intervening in terms of mental health at this specific age window are founded on a variety of evidence-based factors. To begin with, mental health is an important aspect of a person's capacity to operate effectively in their personal and social lives, as well as to develop coping mechanisms for life events. Early

childhood years are particularly significant in this respect, given the increased sensitivity and fragility of early brain development, which may have long-term implications for intellectual, social, emotional, and behavioral accomplishments in adulthood. Second, the majority of mental illnesses peak in occurrence during the transition from childhood to early adulthood, with up to 1 in 5 individuals having clinically significant mental health issues before the age of 25, with half of them already symptomatic by the age of 14.

Mental health problems, particularly anxiety and mood disorders, are the leading cause of disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) among people under the age of 25, accounting for 45 percent of the global burden of disease, with problematic substance use, such as alcohol and illicit drugs, being the leading risk factor for incident DALYs (9 percent). Third, most mental health programs, as they have been historically designed, have proved ineffective in providing healthcare at this crucial time, with just a small percentage of young people using mental health services despite the high incidence of mental health issues. In addition, individuals aged 0–25 had the longest wait for treatment after symptom start. This is due to two major factors. On the one hand, young people, particularly those who are male, from low-income families, or who are members of ethnic minorities, are less likely to seek mental health treatment for the first time, and stigma is a significant obstacle in this respect. When they do, they are disengaged at a high rate. On the other hand, significant delays in obtaining treatment are due to services' limited capacity to provide specialized mental healthcare to children in need after a first primary care visit. When therapies are finally offered, the vast majority are not based on scientific evidence [3].

Based on the data shown above, there is an urgent need to create, or enhance existing, adolescent mental health care models that can incorporate preventive and early intervention methods. While there has been progress in the treatment of psychotic illnesses, thanks to the effective application of the at-risk mental state idea, this concept is still largely unexplored in the treatment of common mental diseases including depression, anxiety, drug addiction, and eating disorders. To meet the demand for early intervention into mental health problems in children and young adults, it is critical to redesign prevention and early intervention services for young populations at the same time, by promoting multidisciplinary collaborations between different specialized professionals in an enhanced and integrated extended primary care service. The purpose of this narrative review is threefold: to provide an update on the current debate on the at-risk mental state concept and the possibility of expanding the clinical area of intervention beyond psychotic disorders; to review the role of psychosocial difficulties early in life as potentially stable risk factors for poor mental health, and the extent to which they have been targets for early intervention; and to review the role of psychosocial difficulties early in life as potentially stable risk factors for poor mental health, and the extent to which they have been targets for early intervention [4].

### *1.2 Towards a trans-diagnostic clinical staging model to intercept a wider at-risk youth population:*

The so-called "prodromal state" (i.e., the period preceding the onset of severe mental disorders) was viewed in the nineteenth century as a phase characterized by low-intensity or low-severity symptoms not sufficient to warrant a categorical diagnosis, but whose inevitable progression to full-blown disorder was only a matter of time. By overcoming the static notion of necessarily gloomy prediction, the creation of the "at-risk mental state" concept around the turn of the century was a watershed moment in the development of a preventative approach to mental illnesses. This has significantly relaxed the deterministic approach to more severe mental illnesses, such as schizophrenia, in favour of a more cautious attitude to the condition's probable future development in a psychosis-spectrum setting, where milder

 © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, 

versions of the disorder and recovery remain conceivable. We are now approaching a new turning point after a period of struggle to convert this paradigmatic breakthrough into more effective mental healthcare procedures, owing to the restricted application of concepts of "risk" and "transition" on the basis of positive psychotic symptom presentation alone.

In addition to transitioning to psychosis, longer-term psychotic illness, or persistent sub-threshold psychotic symptoms, research data has increasingly acknowledged that progression to chronic mood, anxiety, personality, and/or drug use disorders is also a frequent consequence. This study contributes to the growing body of data that risk factors may contribute to a variety of psychopathologies throughout development, and that early indications of later risk are often dimensional. Adversities in childhood, for example, seem to have a detrimental effect on a variety of illnesses [5]. Cross-disciplinary methods must integrate, if not overcome, the conventional diagnostic approach in order to properly describe pluripotent and trans-diagnostic developmental processes and bio-behavioural pathways that lead to mental disease. In this respect, integrated adolescent mental health care for individuals who are still in the early stages of a mental illness may benefit from a more comprehensive clinical staging model framework than the restricted ultra-high risk (UHR) paradigm for psychosis. A trans-diagnostic clinical high-risk mental state (CHARMS) paradigm, in particular, may improve the ability to detect a broader range of lower-risk cases than those with only attenuated psychotic symptoms, such as people with sub-threshold bipolar and borderline personality symptoms, as well as mild-moderate depression [6].

### *1.3 Youth mental health: which targets for which interventions?*

Due to the general neurodevelopmental changes that occur throughout adolescence, it is a time of risk as well as potential for mental health. According to research, a variety of variables affect a person's mental health from conception through early adulthood, after which mental health may still be substantially influenced but to a lower degree. Meeting a child's physical (healthy nutrition), psychological (stable and responsive attachment relationships), and social (supportive and safe environments) needs is critical for optimal brain development, emotional regulation, and higher order cognitive function, as well as long-term health benefits. Adversities such as insufficient care, neglect, and trauma during pregnancy and early infancy, on the other hand, have been proven to have a detrimental effect on scholastic trajectories, psychosocial skills, physical resilience, and the potential of healthy aging.

Environmental variables may also differently alter gene expression and stress response, with long-term health consequences, depending on their nature, whether risk or protective factors. For example, data from gene-environment interaction studies indicates that children with certain genetic variations are more likely to have behavioural issues later in life, but only if they are reared in dysfunctional homes. When stressful life experiences occur in the absence of a stable and supportive environment, they have the most "toxic" impact on children's stress systems, increasing the likelihood of future development of stress-related mental problems. In this setting, the formation of a secure connection between the child and a protective main caregiver seems to be especially important in order to enable adaptive emotional and behavioral reactions to stressful experiences.

Neurodevelopment may be harmed in the absence of it, making the person more vulnerable to further environmental insults and the subsequent development of both internalizing and externalizing behavioural problems, such as anxiety, depression, substance abuse, maladaptive eating patterns, sexual risk behavior, and suicidality. The link between attachment issues and youth psychiatric disorders is most likely bidirectional, meaning that

problematic behaviors in childhood and adolescence may potentially trigger or worsen pre-existing dysfunctional patterns in the caregiver-child/adolescent attachment relationship [7].

### *1.4 Mental health prevention and early intervention in youth::*

Individuals and communities' strengths, ability, and resources are emphasized in mental health promotion so that they may have more control over their mental health and its determinants. On the other side, prevention seeks to decrease the occurrence, prevalence, and severity of certain mental health disorders. Evidence-based WHO recommendations suggest that population-level health interventions have an overall promotion emphasis in order to close the treatment gap for mental, neurological, and drug use disorders across the globe. This is consistent with the well-established continuum of care that includes interventions that promote positive mental health, interventions aimed at preventing the onset of mental health disorders (primary prevention), and interventions aimed at early identification, case detection, early treatment, and rehabilitation (secondary and tertiary prevention) [8].

The efficacy of adolescent preventive programs targeting child abuse, negative effects of parents' divorce on children, drug misuse, and school-related problematic behaviors in decreasing rates of psychosocial problems later in life is highly supported by meta-analytic research. In this regard, multimodal prevention programs combining preschool intervention and family support have been linked to the longest-lasting positive effects on a variety of social outcomes, including significantly improved overall academic performance and lower rates of delinquency and antisocial behavior. However, various mental health policies, as well as social and contextual factors, have an impact on promotion methods. For example, some health and social domains, such as education, housing, nutrition, and healthcare, have a widespread impact in low-income settings, whereas a lack of supportive environments and community networks may have more negative consequences in urban areas with high population density or ethnic minorities. Promotional campaigns will almost certainly need to be tailored to the particular sociocultural context. The implementation of successful programs involves reorienting health care, depending on the key problems and interventions that are most required [9].

### *1.5 Universal prevention (pre-clinical stage):*

The goal of universal mental health prevention is to promote healthy neurodevelopment. Despite the fact that there is no agreement on which pathophysiological processes should be addressed during early development, encouraging results indicate that developmental abnormalities and behavioural impairments seen throughout infancy may be treatable, at least in part. Perinatal phosphatidylcholine and N-acetylcysteine administration to support infants' brain development and anti-inflammatory neuroprotection; lifetime omega-3 fatty acid, vitamin, sulforaphane, and prebiotic supplementation.

### *1.6 Selective prevention (clinical stage 0):*

Selective treatments seek to avoid the emergence of psychiatric symptoms in the premorbid state, thus changing the developmental route to full-threshold illnesses. Individuals who get these treatments have a substantially greater chance of acquiring a mental illness than the general population while remaining asymptomatic. Parental mental illness, paternal age, maternal and obstetric complications of pregnancy, season of birth, ethnic minority, immigration status, urban environment, infections, childhood adversities, vitamin D deficiency and malnutrition, low premorbid intelligence quotient, traumatic brain injury, and heavy tobacco and cannabis use have all been identified as risk factors [10].

### *1.7 Indicated prevention (clinical stage 1):*

 © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed ( Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 10, October 2022

The goal of indicated treatments is to identify people who are functionally impaired and no longer asymptomatic and are at clinical high risk for developing a mental illness. Psychosis investigations have found a time of increased risk for transition to full-blown illness in the first two years after the onset of functional impairment, with approximately a third solely in remission. More recently, a move toward a wider emphasis that is not limited to psychosis risk identification has been proposed, in accordance with mounting evidence that mental illnesses are pluripotent and cross-diagnostic. This is supported by data that such a restricted strategy ensures just a 5% detection rate, even for individuals who would ultimately have a first episode of psychosis.

### *1.8 Secondary prevention in youth mental health (clinical stage 2):*

If patients develop full-blown mental symptoms, it is critical to work aggressively to achieve clinical and functional remission in order to ensure an early and potentially complete recovery. Secondary prevention strategies and early intervention services aim to reduce the occurrence of negative prognostic factors like untreated illness for an extended period of time, poor treatment response, poor psychosocial wellbeing and functioning, comorbid substance use, and a high burden on patients' families, with the ultimate goal of preventing relapse or incomplete recovery.

## 2. DISCUSSION

Approximately 450 million individuals worldwide suffer from mental and behavioral problems. During their lifespan, one out of every four people will acquire one or more of these diseases. Neuropsychiatric disorders account for 13% of total Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) lost worldwide owing to all diseases and accidents, with that percentage expected to rise to 15% by 2020. Psychiatric disorders account for five of the top 10 causes of disability and mortality globally. Mental diseases not only impose a significant psychological, social, and economic cost on society, but they also raise the risk of physical ailments. Given the present limits in the efficacy of treatment methods for lowering impairment caused by mental and behavioral disorders, prevention is the only long-term solution for minimizing the burden imposed by these illnesses. The significance of risk and protective variables in the development of mental illnesses and poor mental health has been elucidated by social, biological, and neurological sciences. From prenatal life onwards, biological, psychological, social, and societal risk and protective variables, as well as their interconnections, have been discovered. Because many of these variables are changeable, they may be used as targets for preventive and promotion efforts. This article covers a variety of mental health topics.

## 3. CONCLUSION

Research data supports the adoption of healthcare systems that integrate mental, primary, and social care in order to ensure kids have a healthy mental development via promotion, prevention, and early interventions. The recent introduction of mental health services for children and adolescents aged 0 to 25 years old raises fresh concerns about what is required today for this model of care to reach its full potential. The continuity of juvenile mental health requirements from an early age seems to go beyond what is within the competencies and responsibilities of mental health practitioners, putting the epistemic standing of psychiatry in jeopardy. The provision of appropriate interventions from the early stages of disease through long-term problems is one of the prerogatives of the mental health care industry. However, it is becoming more apparent how critical it is to provide continuous early intervention throughout all possible phases, including preclinical, in order to prevent sporadic support and the loss of initial gains. In the area of mental health, efforts at reduction at Unum

 © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, 

have left much to be desired in people of all ages, emphasizing the question's deeper complexity. Far from being a simple hermeneutic or language problem, current discussions over renaming mental health disorders or recognizing new ones based on research data highlight the difficulties of controlling what, after decades of clinical study, is rising below the iceberg. Mental health promotion and prevention are not always the exclusive duty of mental health professionals. According to the data presented in this review, health researchers and professionals, as well as health care institutions and governments, must work together to provide integrated and multidisciplinary mental health interventions, particularly in the early stages of the preventive chain. Mental health professionals already have the scientific, ethical, and moral duty of guiding social, political, and general health-care actors engaged in mental health promotion and maintenance.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] D. H. Ahn, "Mental disorders in adolescents," *J. Korean Med. Assoc.*, 2009, doi: 10.5124/jkma.2009.52.8.745.

[2] N. Protska, "Mental disorders and suicides," *Psychiatry, Psychother. Clin. Psychol.*, 2017.

[3] T. A. Widiger, "The construct of mental disorder," *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*. 1997, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2850.1997.tb00115.x.

[4] D. Biljan, D. Laufer, P. Filaković, M. Šitum, and T. Brataljenović, "Psoriasis, mental disorders and stress," *Coll. Antropol.*, 2009.

[5] A. Lundberg-Love, P, K., Nadal, K, L., & Paludi, M, "Women and Mental Disorders," *Women and mental disorders (Vols 1-4).* 2012.

[6] C. Kisa, S. G. Yildirim, and E. Göka, "Impulsivity and mental disorders," *Turk Psikiyatr. Derg.*, 2005.

[7] W. W. Eaton, S. S. Martins, G. Nestadt, O. J. Bienvenu, D. Clarke, and P. Alexandre, "The burden of mental disorders," *Epidemiologic Reviews*. 2008, doi: 10.1093/epirev/mxn011.

[8] G. Meynen and A. Ralston, "Seven views on mental disorder," *Tijdschr. Psychiatr.*, 2011.

[9] N. authorship indicated, "Nervous and mental disorders.," *Psychological Bulletin*. 1924.

[10] S. Richard-Devantoy *et al.*, "Homicide and major mental disorder: What are the social, clinical, and forensic differences between murderers with a major mental disorder and murderers without any mental disorder?," *Encephale*, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.encep.2008.05.006.