ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

The Most Recent Advancements in Complete Denture Prosthodontics

Akshay Bhargav[1*], Rajiv Gupta[2], Amit B Lall[3], Rajiv Ahluwalia[4], Sumita Giri[5], Shweta Bali[6]

1 Professor & Dean, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR, India

[2] Professor, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR, India

[3] Professor & HOD, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR, India

[4] Professor & HOD, Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR, India

[5] Professor & HOD, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR, India

[6] Professor & HOD, Department of Periodontics & Oral Implantology, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Delhi NCR, India

ABSTRACT:

Analysis of the state-of-the-art in complete denture prosthodontics is beyond the scope of any one person's discussion, and even if it were, the topic could not be fully covered in the space typically reserved for one article. In this review an accurate assessment of prosthodontics was given as perceived. The technical advances in complete denture prosthodontics will therefore be covered in the first section of this paper, followed by a discussion of various philosophic, educational, and political situations and attitudes that are influencing prosthodontics.

Keywords*:* Prosthodontics; Denture Prosthodontics; Dental Implants

INTRODUCTION:

In the past 20 years, prosthodontics' emphasis on the repair of osseointegrated dental implants has undergone a significant evolution. New ideas have largely replaced the original guiding principles for implant restoration, which have evolved or perhaps vanished entirely. As it relates to dental implants, the art and science of prosthodontics today is the consequence of very real and significant lessons acquired during the last 20 years. Understanding how the history of implant prosthodontics ties to osseointegration will help researchers and clinicians better understand how to conduct clinical research that will ultimately lead to improvements in patient care. The accurate assessment as seen by the authors is reviewed in this article.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

PROSTHODONTIC TREATMENT TODAY:

There is no denying that modern prosthodontists have access to the best equipment, materials, and technical knowledge. Let's examine a few components of a complete denture solution for people who are missing teeth.

Diagnosis

Complete denture service diagnosis consists of two parts. First, because of our experience in fundamental science, we are able to identify pathologic lesions and treat them appropriately in order to prepare for new dentures. Few dentists used "study" casts before taking impressions back in 1947. [1,2] Now, all prosthodontists and all prudent dentists will create diagnostic casts prior to planning therapy for patients who lack teeth. Many edentulous individuals' treatments have failed because diagnostic casts were never made. Diagnostic casts that are mounted will show mechanical issues that might not be seen until it is too late to fix them. Diagnostic casts are valuable in two situations: (1) identifying insufficient space between the residual ridges, and (2) highlighting size disparities between the two ridges.

Radiographs

The bones of the oral cavity were radiographed to look for signs of retained root tips and ongoing infection. The information radiographs give regarding the denture's base seats is currently their most significant value. The relative thickness of the soft tissues enveloping the mandible and maxilla is shown by intraoral dental radiographs. Depending on this information, the dentist will decide whether to perform preimpression surgery or attempt to remove extra moveable fibrous tissue that covers the dentures' base seats. The small intraoral dental radiographs are more trustworthy for determining soft tissue thickness than the panoramic type of radiograph. [3]

Impression Materials and Methods

The salesperson or physician who collaborated with the company as a sponsor was what led to the decision of which material to use. Today, practically all of the materials in use have standards. Anyone who does not select his impression material (and all other materials) from the list of certified dental materials, as evaluated and published by the American Dental Association Council on Dental Materials and Devices, is dumb and doing his patients a disservice.

Regardless of the price of the material itself, how the imprint materials are used will affect how well they work. Any impression method that does not capture the greatest amount of the basal seat while still maintaining the tissues' health and functionality is not up to par.

The failure to employ trays precise enough to transport the imprint material into the mouth so it is adequately controlled and restricted while it sets is the apparent cause of this issue. Without either under-extending or over-extending the impression borders, stock trays simply cannot do the task.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper **© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022**

Making an impression can be a methodical process, but it needs to be planned in accordance to the patient's unique anatomy. Additionally, it needs to be planned in consideration of the health of the oral tissues it will rest on and be surrounded by. In order to respect the histologic structure of the tissues in the basal seat, complete denture impressions must be planned. The gross form is not sufficient knowledge. Dentists should adapt their impression processes to the type and thickness of the soft tissues that cover the mandibular and maxillary bones. The final dentures show the distinctions between empirical and scientific impression techniques. As expensive as it is to make dentures correctly so that they do not need modifying, it takes time to do so.

Jaw relations

The relationship between the jaws is the next thing to take into account, assuming that precise castings and occlusion rims have been created from the impressions. Finding the most trustworthy method continues to be a challenge despite years of research. [4,6] When the mandible is in its physiologic rest position, a specific interocclusal distance should exist. However, establishing that gap is much easier said than done. In fact, because such attempts in the mouth upset the physiologic rest of the mandibular muscles, it is impossible to even see or measure the interocclusal distance.

There are many guides for the occlusal vertical relation. These include the patient's tactile perception, as described by Lytle [7], and observations of the relationships between the anterior teeth while speaking, particularly while producing speech sounds. This is a straightforward method of observation, although it is not error-proof like all the other methods. Some patients have peculiar speech patterns, while others have a remarkable capacity to temporarily adjust to the trial bases. The vertical dimension of the entire dentures for these patients will be wrong since they will eventually revert to their old habits and jaw positions. Therefore, the solution to the issue of vertical jaw connections is still elusive.

The mandible's relationship to the maxillae at the established vertical relation is called the centric relation. Although it seems easy to comprehend and document, debate persists. Under two straightforward circumstances, there is a relationship known as a "centric connection" between the mandibular bone and the two maxillary bones in the horizontal plane.

The mandible is first positioned as far back as it will go. This indicates that it is in a position of reference from which it may be retrieved anytime required or wanted. The establishment of the vertical jaw relation prior to recording the centric relation is the second need included in the definition. This condition acknowledges that any change in the vertical relation causes a change in the mandible's horizontal relationship to the maxillae. This is true because the mandible's opening axis is above the level of the mandible's body. It is difficult to agree on what centric relation is, how it should be recorded, or how it should be applied in prosthodontics, to sum up the issue. On a needlepoint tracing, some prosthodontists see centric connection as a point, while others see it as a region.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

Tooth forms and occlusion

There appears to be a cyclical pattern to the interest in posterior tooth shapes and occlusion. When Sears first introduced his channel teeth in 1927, he inspired a lot of other people to create mechanical tooth forms. The absence of cuspal inclines was the main trait shared by the majority of these changed teeth. The elimination of lateral stresses on dentures was the goal of their design. [8,9] This is partially accomplished by moving the teeth side to side or back and forth, but if food is to be sheared, the side to side motion is required with flat or monoplane teeth. Additionally, any mandibular position in front of or to the side of the centric relation produces more occlusal loads on the anterior than on the posterior half of the occlusal scheme. Cusp teeth do give patients the chance to apply harmful lateral forces on their dentures when engaging in parafunctional behaviors like clenching and grinding. From the bicuspids to the final molars above, the Sears teeth featured a mesiodistal groove (the equivalent of buccal and lingual cusps). The bottom teeth were small, and the bicuspids and molars were connected by a strong ridge. This method is nearly entirely reversed in Pound and Murrell's most recent proposal [10]. The upper denture uses teeth with a cusp angle of 30 degrees, while the bottom denture uses teeth with a cusp angle of 20 degrees. The lower teeth are altered through grinding to create large, saucer-shaped fossae that the upper lingual cusps can fit into. The ensuing occlusion is a sequence of point contacts between the upper lingual cusps and the lower teeth after the upper buccal cusps are removed from contact. In reality, the lower fossae's sides have shallow cusps that allow the occlusion to be balanced. Teeth of this standard design are sold by one manufacturer. It is clear that there is much more that may be done with regard to denture tooth shapes.

Articulators

Prosthodontists that specialize in fixed restorations and complete mouth rehabilitation are principally responsible for the trend in articulators. They have proposed and created equipment that are significantly more complex than those that dentists who perform removable prosthodontics typically use. [11,12] There are many very precise and totally adjustable articulators available, however the majority of them are difficult to modify for individuals wearing complete dentures. The soft-tissue foundation that the recording bases must rest upon is the cause of this lack of practical use for individuals with complete dentures. The highly sensitive articulators cannot be precisely adjusted as long as the recording bases that support the recording instruments can move relative to the underlying bone. Therefore, it would seem that for the time being at least, complete denture fabrication is adequate for the simplest forms of articulators.

Esthetics and phonetics

Prosthodontists have the best chance for excellent outcomes when they develop phonetics and esthetics. We owe it to our patients to use the teeth and base materials that are currently accessible, which are the best in dental history, in the most efficient and beautiful way possible.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper **© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022**

The difference is the understanding that artificial teeth will look their finest when they are positioned exactly where the original teeth had been. Previously, every tooth was positioned "above the ridge." Leverage was a major worry at the time, therefore this was done for mechanical reasons. Today's prosthodontists have the tools, expertise, and materials necessary to restore the self-esteem and dignity of dentu- lous patients so that they can resume their lives as fully as possible.

THE ONE BIG PROBLEM IN PROSTHODONTIC TREATMENT:

In the clinical care of edentulous individuals, one issue—the ongoing resorption of remaining ridges—is more important than any other. As a result, dentures must frequently be replaced and adjusted, and the teeth on dentures must occasionally have their occlusal contours changed.

Even though specific imprint techniques and materials are supposed to prevent the removal of the residual ridges, this problem still hasn't been resolved. Some tooth shapes and occlusal designs are intended to provide only beneficial forces to the ridges, preventing their destruction. Some denture base materials are designed to offer the resilience necessary to safeguard the remaining ridges.

But we should probably just accept it. We have no idea how to stop alterations to dentures' base seats. This is the main issue in prosthodontics, and despite extensive study and several well-intended assertions, the issue persists.

Most people who have worn at least one set of complete dentures are likely to have the greatest requirement for prosthodontic care. Given that dentures typically last seven years, there is a constant need for replacement dentures. Complete denture issues will grow steadily more challenging to resolve for prosthodontists and general practitioners of dentistry.

FORCES AFFECTING THE FUTURE OF PROSTHODONTICS:

The future of prosthodontics is being impacted by a number of unsettling factors. They will impact how well prosthodontic care is provided. These forces are strong, and some people even claim they are unstoppable, but I prefer to believe that if we work hard enough to make the correct decision, it will win out in the end.

Pressures from government

Government, the general public, unlicensed practitioners, the economy, and dentistry educators all exert pressure. Every day, we read or hear about a fresh government initiative to address the nation's citizens' healthcare needs. There have been many initiatives made since 1939 that were evidently intended to sway voters.

The catastrophe will be that prosthodontics will lose appeal over time to dentists and dental students, forcing patients to depend more and more on unlicensed dentists. Additionally, the standard of prosthodontic care will decline with accompanying increasing harm to the mouths

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022

and health of edentulous patients because these practitioners lack education and expertise. Of course, taxing those who use the service is the only way the government can cover the costs.

Of course, this trend will eventually result in a bigger need for prosthodontists and more use of them. As the standard of prosthodontic care provided by general dentists declines, the specialization should grow.

Pressure of economics

Prosthodontics is being impacted by economic pressure in two ways. First, many dentists are looking for streamlined processes that produce dentures quickly. Some of them create and employ quick cuts that can't fully utilize the fundamental sciences they studied in dental school. As a result, they frequently offer prosthodontic services similar to those offered by "dental mechanics."

The desire to increase the responsibilities of auxiliary in the field of prosthodontics is another result of economic pressure. Procedures in complete dentures are reversible since they can be undone. This implies that dishonest dentists may exploit their patients in some states.

The answer in this case appears to be to train the auxiliaries to carry out more procedures separate from the patient than they now do. These procedures might theoretically involve casting, creating occlusion rims, mounting casts, and many other dental procedures. All mouth procedures, however, should still be performed by the dentist.

Prosthodontics in undergraduate dental education

Some contemporary developments in undergraduate dentistry education are really unsettling. These are mostly cutbacks in the amount of time allotted for prosthodontics instruction and study in dentistry curricula.

The practice of shortening prosthodontics lectures is not new. Dr. I. Lester Furnas made the initial observation in 1940 at a gathering of the National Society of Denture Prosthetists. He demonstrated how other areas of dentistry were being broken down into main departments and categories, and how each of these new divisions assessed the amount of time spent in the lab for prosthodontics instruction as time they felt they need.

In a report to the American Prosthodontic Society, Dr. Bernard Levin [13] stated that laboratory technicians in Denmark are legally permitted to treat patients directly and can therefore create both partial and complete dentures for the general population. The educational requirements for these laypeople, who make around 80% of the dentures in Denmark, are unregulated.

CONCLUSION:

Finally, it should be clear that the legislators should point out that dentists do not and obviously cannot perform prosthodontic procedures and that the law has to be modified. If prosthodontic professionals do not continue to bear the full burden of providing dentures for

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper **© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 4 ,2022**

the general public, dentistry must look to its own standards, particularly in prosthodontics. The campaign to protect the public against subpar prosthodontic care will be led by prosthodontic groups. Many topics that are important to prosthodontists were covered in this review.

REFERENCE:

1. Lytle RB. Vertical relation of occlusion by the patient's neuromuscular perception. J Prosthet Dent 1964;14:12-21.
2. Pound E, Murrell GA. An Introduction to denture simplification. Phase II. J Prosthet Dent 1973;29:598-607.
3. Levin B. Some observations and opinions on European dentistry. J Prosthet Dent 1974;31:658-61.
4. Ferrari M, Mason PN, Cagidiaco D, Cagidiaco MC. Clinical evaluation of resin bonded retainers. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 1989;9:207- 19.
5. Creugers NH, Snoek PA, van't Hof MA, Kayser AF. Clinical performance of resin-bonded bridges: a 5-year prospective study. II. The influence of patient-dependent variables. J Oral Rehabil 1989;16:521-7.
6. Hussey DL, Pagni C, Linden GL. Performance of 400 adhesive bridges fitted in a restorative dentistry department. J Dent 1991;19:221-5.
7. Pro¨ bster L, Setz J. Clinical performance of silane-coated, resin-bonded fixed partial dentures with two different preparational concepts. Quin- tessence Int 1990;21:707-12.
8. Olin PS, Hill EM, Donahue JL. Resin bonded bridges: University of Minnesota Recall Data. IADR Abstract No. 2031. J Dent Res (Special issue) 1990;69:362.
9. Crispin BJ. A longitudinal clinical study of bonded fixed partial dentures: the first 5 years. J Prosthet Dent 1991;66:336-42.
10. Chang HK, Zidan O, Lee IK, Gomez-Marin O. Resin-bonded fixed partial dentures: a recall study. J Prosthet Dent 1991;65:778-81.
11. Simon JF, Gartrell RG, Grogono A. Improved retention of acid-etched fixed partial dentures: a longitudinal study. J Prosthet Dent 1992;68: 611-5.
12. Boyer DB, Williams VD, Thayer KE, Denehy GE, Diaz-Arnold AM. Analysis of debond rates of resin-bonded prostheses. J Dent Res 1993; 72:1244-8.
13. Thayer KE, Williams VD, Diaz-Arnold AM, Boyer DB. Acid-etched, resin bonded cast metal prostheses: a retrospective study of 5- to 15-year-old restorations. Int J Prosthodont 1993;6:264-9.