

In House Aligners Fabrication: An Insight of Independent Practice

Nalin Yadav¹, Rajiv Ahluwalia², Tina Chugh³

¹ Post Graduate student, Department of Orthodontics, Santosh Dental College, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

² Professor and Head, Department of Orthodontics, Santosh Dental College, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

³ Reader, Department of Orthodontics, Santosh Dental College, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT:

Contemporary orthodontics is adapting digitalization in a better way than earlier it was. The transformation occurring in orthodontic field may be up to some extent induced by aligner companies aggressive marketing strategies promoting directly aligners from c/ompany to the patient. Now-a-days due to slashing in cost of Armamentarium required in aligner fabrication is encouraging to orthodontist having inhouse aligner setup. This article reviews the process of fabrication of in-house aligners and its advantages.

Keywords: aligners, digital, fabrication.

INTRODUCTION:

Today people are more awakened to fitness and aesthetics. Now the need of time is to deliver the aesthetic correction of the face as well as teeth with more aesthetic tools. Clear aligners are fulfilling this need of the hour completely. Clear aligner technology has marked a paradigm shift in the field of orthodontics, challenging the ways in which orthodontists move teeth. CAD-CAM technology has made aligner production simple and the availability of 3D technology and a marked reduction in its cost is a boon in orthodontics.¹ Now days inhouse or in clinic aligner production is easily possible. This article reviews the process of fabrication of in-house aligners.

IN-HOUSE PROCESSING OF ALIGNERS:

To make a 3D model, either resin or filament can be utilized. The filament is used to produce models with Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) printers. The main advantage of the filament model is that it is 10 times more economical than the resin model.² Another important advantage is the filament model's ability to be used immediately after printing. For the resin models, postprocessing is necessary after printing. The model is lightly cured and then washed with alcohol. When building resin models, resin is raised in a resin tank, and after the process is complete, resin is applied to the model's surface. Washing removes the resin from the 3D models' exterior surface.

To build the resin model, the resin is softly cured layer by layer. As a result, after printing, the resin model does not entirely cure. The last phase requires light curing. The models should be finished curing for about 30 minutes under UV light. After final curing, the models are ready for the aligner forming process. Before exchanging the STL documents from the product, all models should be labelled because they could be imprinted on the same table while different patients are going through different stages of therapy.

Labeling is a straightforward process: with the aid of the product, a text is placed in a convenient location on the model to be engraved or emblazoned at a chosen depth and font dimension. However, the created aligners would not have labels if the labelling number was not present on the teeth; as a result, there is a possibility that the aligner may be put in the wrong box during trimming and polishing. The doctor might adhere the label to the tooth surface, but doing so makes it challenging to remove the aligner and could be uncomfortable owing to the label's irregular surface.) As a result, the person in charge of the aligners must set them on the models they fit, but not entirely.

Several different kinds of plastic foils are manufactured for aligner fabrication. Some of the Commonly Used Materials in Aligner Fabrication are Polyethylene Terephthalate Glycol (PET-G), Polypropylene (PP), Polycarbonate and Thermoplastic Polyurethane (TPA), and Ethylene Vinyl Acetate (EVA).

Businesses are focusing on improving the flexibility, toughness, and resistance to colouring and microcracking of the plastic foils under pressure and in an oral environment. There is no consensus among orthodontists as to how long should pass before the patient begins wearing the next aligner. Some orthodontists claim that their patients can use equipment or techniques that accelerate tooth movement to change their aligners every three days. 2,3 Some medical professionals suggest patients to change their aligners every 7, 10, or 15 days, depending on how long they are worn each day. According to studies, the aligners apply pressure on the teeth for 48 hours before it quickly reduces to zero. When the patient changes the aligner, the cycle resumes. The material's elasticity is examined in vitro in a number of studies.^{4,5} There hasn't yet been a study that compares the influence of the plastic foil's material composition on the efficacy or duration of the therapy published in the literature.

ADVANTAGES OF IN-HOUSE ALIGNERS:

In comparison to the cost of aligners purchased from corporations, the price of the aligners produced by the clinician is relatively reasonable. When a doctor makes their own aligners, the price of a single aligner includes the cost of printing a 3D model and the plastic foil. When it comes to expensive software, the availability of programmers for fabricating aligners is growing, which indicates that costs will drop quickly soon. In addition, there are options for monthly or case-based payments in addition to purchasing a license for a year. Additionally, as 3D printers become more widely available, their costs become more affordable every year. In addition to pricey printers, there are several more accessible types.

Finally, many clinics where orthodontists fabricate orthodontic appliances already have thermoplastic aligner forming equipment on hand.

The second benefit is duration, which means the doctor may hand out the aligners quickly. After the digital scanning of the patients' teeth, the digital setup process takes a mild case about 30 minutes. It takes about 15 minutes to set up in a simple scenario where the molars are immobile.

The benefit of the process is that, after a quick training period, some of the more time-consuming tasks can be easily assigned to a staff member. The number of software options on the market has increased. For all of these software products, the guiding ideas and workflow are essentially identical. The first step is model preparation, which entails importing models, orienting them, removing extraneous data, filling in data gaps, and identifying the teeth in the models. There is no requirement for orthodontic experience on the part of dental personnel during this treatment, which should always be performed in the same manner. The clinician creates the treatment plan in the second stage using a digital setup (aligns the teeth on the arch form suitable for the patient, determines the movement sequence and speed, puts the necessary attachments, and determines the need for IPR, elastic wear, etc.). The export of the digital setup models is the final phase. This involves identifying the models and choosing their height, both of which can be done by dental personnel. Future iterations of the aligner software will do the first and third stages in parallel as technology advances. The software will do tasks like exporting and model preparation. The aligner software will soon add auto alignment as a new capability in addition to self-segmentation and exporting.

Three models may be printed at once using a DLP printer in about an hour. It takes about 30 minutes to postprocess. Each aligner is formed under vacuum or pressure for around five minutes. In conclusion, a doctor can provide the aligners to a patient the same day that impressions are taken.

Contrary to popular opinion, making aligners in the office takes less time for the dentist than ordering them from a supplier. When developed internally, getting the case's series of digital setup models takes the physician 15 to 30 minutes in total. The clinic staff will then handle the printing of the 3D models and the creation of the aligners from thermoplastic foils. An educated staff member can readily and successfully complete the typical procedure of shaping and trimming the aligner from the plastic foil. The printing process can also be handled by staff members, and 3D printers feature user-friendly manuals. Compared to aligners made by a different company, one major benefit of in-house aligners outside of the clinic is that the clinician can immediately decide on the alignment and staging in his head rather than having to write down a recipe for the company technician, who is frequently not even a dental technician. Depending on the business, this technician could not even be familiar with the fundamentals of dental alignment. The company will not send a digital setup evaluation procedure or revisions that need to be evaluated periodically if the physician

creates their own digital setup. The physician cannot decide when the digital setup would be ready for a submitted case, which is another significant drawback of dealing with businesses. Despite the fact that the business has a message system It might not be a good time for the doctor to be informed that the digital setup plan is prepared for evaluation. The digital setup treatment plan that the company sends is not guaranteed to be the last one. When an in-house aligner system is employed, the doctor has total control over the procedure's duration.

For the safety of all the procedures and the continuity of the system, the clinician building the system for generating the aligners internally should thoroughly learn and practice all the steps—including any tips or tricks—and have complete control over the process. When something within the fabrication process does not function, the clinician should be the one to make the diagnosis and addressing the issue to carry out a good process.

CONCLUSION:

Inhouse aligner fabrication is convenient and more control of the clinician over the planned treatment and any time corrections and alterations possible in comparatively very less time. In house setup is cost effective and which turned up more consumer friendly. Hence it is expected more and more inhouse setup in coming years.

REFERENCES:

1. Tozlu M, Özdemir F. In-house Aligners: Why We Should Fabricate Aligners in Our Clinics? Turk J Orthod. 2021 Sep;34(3):199-201.
2. Groth C, Kravitz ND, Jones PE, Graham JW, Redmond WR. Three-dimensional printing technology. J Clin Orthod. 2014;48(8):475–485.
3. Ojima K, Dan C, Kumagai Y, Schupp W. Invisalign treatment accelerated by photobiomodulation. J Clin Orthod. 2016;50(5):309–317.
4. Kwon JS, Lee YK, Lim BS, Lim YK. Force delivery properties of thermoplastic orthodontic materials. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2008;133(2):228–334.
5. Gerard Bradley T, Teske L, Eliades G, Zinelis S, Eliades T. Do the mechanical and chemical properties of Invisalign™ appliances change after use? A retrieval analysis. Eur J Orthod. 2016;38(1):27–31.