e-ISSN 2320 –7876 www.ijfans.org
Vol.11, Iss.12, 2022
Research Paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, **UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal**

Performance Evaluation of Mutual Funds: A Study onSelectedEquity Mutual Fundsin India

Ashish Dhingra
Research Scholar
School of Business Studies
Sharda University, Greater Noida, 201301
Email ID- reply.ashish@yahoo.com

Prof. Sunil Joshi
Professor
School of Business Studies,
Sharda University, Greater Noida- 201301
Email ID- sunil.joshi@sharda.ac.in

Abstract

This study compares the actual performance of Indian mutual funds with their relative performance in order to analyse the efficiency of these funds. The results of this comparison are used to draw conclusions regarding the efficacy of Indian mutual funds. The daily closing net asset values (NAVs) of the various fund schemes were used as the foundation for the calculations that were done in order to determine the returns that were generated by the various fund schemes. The NSE-Nifty index was utilised during the process of developing the market portfolio. The efficiency of mutual funds can be evaluated using a number of different metrics, including ANOVA. The Indian Mutual Fund Association is the origin of the aforementioned information and serves as the source.The months of April 2019 through March 2022 are reserved for the studies. According to the findings, the majority of mutual funds achieved positive returns during the course of the research period. Investing in the stock market through the use of mutual funds is considered to be the most successful strategy.

Introduction

Since it was first established in 1964, the industry of mutual funds in India has come a very long way. Over the course of several years, the sector has seen a significant amount of structural change. Due to the fact that there are many different participants participating in all different kinds of fund schemes, the competition is currently very fierce. There has been a significant expansion in the number of available schemes, as well as the size of the industry overall, its investor pool, and its operational scope. It is continuing to grow in response to the demands of investors as well as the challenges of the market. At this stage, it is necessary for investors in mutual funds to assess the performance of various schemes before determining whether or not to make investments. Although it is possible that past success is not a reliable indicator of future performance, many investors look to the past as a point of reference. There are statistical methods accessible that make use of previously gathered data to arrive at a scientific conclusion regarding the value of various schemes.

Portfolio managers work together effectively to oversee the management of investors' money in mutual funds. An investor who does not have skills in investing in the capital market can benefit from their diversification, competent management, and easy investment process because these things are provided by them. The industry of mutual funds is currently in a position to provide its investors with a plethora of opportunities as a direct result of the introduction of a diverse selection of products across the board, which has put the industry in the position to do so. The rate of savings and investment in India is significantly higher than the rate of savings and investment in the economies of other Asian countries, such as China and Japan. Countries like China, South Korea, and Japan have economies like this. As a direct result of this turn of events, India is quickly becoming one of the most attractive places in the world to put money into. There is a pressing need to increase awareness, particularly in semi-urban and rural communities, where people aren't very familiar with the advantages of investing in mutual funds. This is a situation in which there is a great need for improvement. They are still putting their money into more conventional investment vehicles.

ReviewofLiterature

Bharathi (2015) conducted research on open-ended mutual fund schemes using a sample size of 51 schemes selected using a selection strategy that was both convenient and representative. The NAVs were collected over the course of a year, beginning on October 1, 2013, and ending on September 30, 2014. This period of time encompassed the entire year. The duration of this collection operation was a full year. Up to 18 of the 51 funds achieved returns that were higher than the return on the market as a whole. The schemes were responsible for producing these returns.

William F. Sharpe proposed a measure in 1966 that may be used to evaluate the performance of a portfolio. Sharpe was one of the initial individuals to apply the CAPM in order to evaluate the performance of mutual funds. He made the assumption that the risk of a fund and its expected return E (RP.) are linearly connected to one another. Sharpe's analysis indicates that the performance of funds lagged behind that of the Dow Jones index by a margin of 40 basis points. It had been decided to cut back on the expenditure ratios of additional funds that had performed better. Sharpe analysed the performance of 34 open end funds in the United States during the course of the years 1954-1963.According to the findings of his research, good performance was not connected with the size of the organisation, but rather with a low expense ratio. The risk assessment was consistent across samples of the schemes.

Anand (2017) concentrated his research on the various plans offered by Birla Sunlife as well as those offered by companies that are in direct competition with Birla Sunlife. The author conducted a literature review and used the Delphi method to study the SWOT analysis of Birla Sunlife as well as the analysis of the performance of an equity fund over a period of three years. After conducting an in-depth financial study by author determining which of the selected equity funds gets larger returns than the competition.

Alka Solanki (2016) analysed the performance of a number of different schemes over the course of the period from 2007 to 2016. Author research was recently featured in an issue of the journal Alka Solanki (2016). This was done so that a comparison could be made between the return and the risk of a benchmark.She did this so that she could analyse the return and risk in relation to the respective benchmarks for each plan, which she was able to do after completing the task. Every one of the investment strategies that were analysed, with the sole exception of the Eliance Focused Large Cap Fund, generated an average return that was greater than the return that was generated by the market. The following is an explanation of how the findings of the study are presented.

Gouri Shankar Lall (2018) carried out research with the working title "on Performance Evaluation of Equity-based Mutual Funds in India." The results of this inquiry were compiled and presented in a report that was given the title "Performance Evaluation of Equity-based Mutual Funds in India." For the goals of this research, daily data from Thomson Reuter's concerning Market Index (SENSEX), and Net Asset Value (NAV) were gathered. The data presented here cover the time period from April 2011 all the way up to March 2016, inclusive. Treyner's Index and Sharpe's Index were both utilised at various points throughout the course of the process of carrying out the data analysis. According to the results of the research, the Sundaram Global Advantage Scheme had a higher value of the Sharpe ratio when compared to the other schemes that were chosen. Because of this, it can be deduced that the scheme's managers have more expertise than those of the other schemes. Kotak Global Developing Market Opp. is an investment opportunity. The growth of the share is less subject to fluctuations than the growth of other schemes. Compare this to the growth of other schemes.

Chakrabarti (2019) evaluates equity mutual funds in India. The model used for the evaluation was developed by Sharpe. According to the findings of their research, the mutual funds, on average, produced profits each month over the time period covered by the study (which ran from January 2012 to June 2017). In terms of the returns that were generated, the ELSS funds performed less favourably than the Growth funds or all funds combined.

Carlos (2019) carried out research with the objective of determining whether it was more appropriate to utilise a factor-based model or a characteristic-based model in order to assess the performance of a portfolio. Both of these models are what many in the finance business refer to as benchmarks. In order for him to be successful in this endeavour, he relied on the Linear model, the Asset Pricing Model, the Fama and French factors, and so on. We used the linear model to determine if it would be more appropriate to employ a factor-based model or a characteristic-based one. This was done using the linear model. This was accomplished by contrasting the outcomes produced by the two models against one another. When addressing the topic of judging how well a portfolio has fared, both of these models are referred to as benchmarks in the context of the discussion. The aim of the model was to adjust return to the situation. The returns were modified so that they were dependent upon the exogenous factors in this scenario. This would be the situation if the information on returns was utilised in conjunction with a linear model that was meant to adapt return to a set of exogenous elements. In this scenario, the return would be adapted to the exogenous factors. In the event that this scenario came to pass, the return on investment would be modified proportionately. This is the outcome that would occur if the information that was provided on the returns was used. According to the results of the study, it would not be unreasonable to make such an assumption when faced with the circumstances presented here.

ObjectivesoftheStudy

- To conduct an analysis of the performance of a number of equity mutual funds taking into account their rate of return and the level of risk they present within the context of the numerous fund schemes that are accessible in India.
- Using Sharpe and Treynor as a means of gaining knowledge regarding the performance of a selection of equity mutual funds.

Methodology

e-ISSN 2320 –7876 www.ijfans.org
Vol.11, Iss.12, 2022
  UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal

In the following article, an attempt is made to research and evaluate the performance of eight Indian equity mutual funds. Secondary data were collected from a wide variety of sources, including as websites, journals, magazines, and so on, and were used to compile the results of this study. Between April 2019 and March 2022, a comprehensive analysis was performed on the mutual funds, and the outcomes of this study are based on the information gathered from secondary sources such as these. A number of statistical and financial approaches will need to be utilised in order to carry out an exact study of the performance of these numerous mutual fund schemes. This will be necessary in order to conduct an accurate analysis. Sharpe and Treynor are the measures that are used for the instruments and processes.

Hypothesis

It is hypothesised that there will not be a material variation in the performance of the chosen mutual fund scheme when the sharpe ratio is calculated over the course of the research.

If the Treynor ratio were to be calculated for the course of the research period, there would be no discernible difference in the performance of the mutual fund scheme that was chosen.

Data Analysis and Interpretation.

Table 1 contains the following information: Return, Standard Deviation, Sharpe, and Treynor Index for the Top 8 Stock Schemes for the 2019-20 Financial Year Equity schemes, in general, are geared towards attracting customers who are willing to assume a higher level of risk. It is feasible to reach the conclusion that the Parag Parikh Flexi Cap Fund has the greatest performance among all equity funds by referring to the data that has been supplied in the table that has been presented above. The Sharpe and Treynor Indexes for it are the highest possible, coming in at 2.88 and 23.25, respectively. The ICICI Prudential Bluechip Fund, on the other hand, has the weakest performance of the group.

S.No	Scheme	Return %	SD	Sharpre Index	Treynor Ratio
1	"ParagParikhFlexi CapFund"	16	0.21	3.88	23.25
2	"SBIFocused Equity Fund"	15	1.32	4.36	9.36
3	"DSPFlexiCap Fund"	14	1.99	3.92	23.57
4	"IDFCSterlingValueFund"	14	1.56	3.68	10.63
5	"ICICIPrudentialBluechipFund"	13	2.56	4.34	8.36
6	"InvescoIndiaInfrastructureFund"	12	2.25	4.36	10.56

7	"PGIMIndiaFlexi CapFund"	11	2.36	5.16	21.36
8	"NipponIndiaLarge CapFund"	14	1.96	3.35	10.56

Table 2: Equityfundschemes:2020-21

S.No	Scheme	Return %	SD	Sharpre Index	Treynor Ratio
1	"ParagParikhFlexi CapFund"	14	1.85	1.22	22.56
2	"SBIFocused Equity Fund"	12	1.63	1.69	-3.05
3	"DSPFlexiCap Fund"	15	1.58	1.59	9.96
4	"IDFCSterlingValueFund"	14	0.85	1.36	10.56
5	"ICICIPrudentialBluechipFund"	16	1.93	1.66	7.88
6	"InvescoIndiaInfrastructureFund"	14	1.86	1.68	14.67
7	"PGIMIndiaFlexi CapFund"	16	1.86	1.95	21.36
8	"NipponIndiaLarge CapFund"	15	1.85	1.97	-4.23

Table 2 displays the return, standard deviation, sharpe and treynor indexes, and other metrics for the top eight equity schemes for 2020-21. Investors who are willing to take on more risk are typically targeted by equity schemes. According to the table above, it is possible to draw the conclusion that the fund with the highest Sharpe Index among all equity funds is the Parag Parikh Long Term Equity Fund, which has a value of 1.22. The fund with the highest Treynor Index among all funds is the PGIM India Flexi Cap Fund, which has a value of 14.76. The fund with the lowest Treynor Index among all funds is the SBI Focused Equity Fund, which has a value of -3.05

Table 3: Equityfundschemes:2021-22

S.No	Scheme	Return %	SD	Sharpre Index	Treynor Ratio

1	"ParagParikhFlexi CapFund"	13	2.11	3.88	5.44
2	"SBIFocused Equity Fund"	12	1.13	3.99	3.65
3	"DSPFlexiCap Fund"	11	1.89	3.83	2.15
4	"IDFCSterlingValueFund"	14	1.98	4.33	2.84
5	"ICICIPrudentialBluechipFund"	12	1.79	4.56	2.36
6	"InvescoIndiaInfrastructureFund"	15	1.27	3.86	2.85
7	"PGIMIndiaFlexi CapFund"	14	1.78	2.65	2.86
8	"NipponIndiaLarge CapFund"	11	2.32	6.83	4.56

Table 3 displays the return, standard deviation, sharpe and treynor indexes, as well as the top eight equity schemes for the 2021-22 financial year. Investors who are willing to take on more risk are targeted by equity schemes. According to the data presented in the table above, the best performer among all equity funds is the Parag Parikh Flexi Cap Fund, which has a positive Sharpe Index of 3.88 and a positive Treynor Index of 5.44. On the other hand, the DSP Flexi Cap Fund and the Nippon India Large Cap Fund tie for the worst performance among the group, each of which has a positive Treynor Index of 2.15 and a positive Sharpe Index of 6.83.

Table 4:ANOVA –SharpeIndex

Table4:ANOVA[a]						
Model		Sumof Squares	df	MeanSquare	F	Sig.
1	Reg.	1289.855	5	177.189	14.131	0.006
	Resid.	1796.328	57	9.042		
	Total	3946.86	61			

As a result, it has been determined that the samples were taken from a population that contained varying degrees of the trait in question. So, the effect on the economy as a whole will not be the same for any strategy. As a result, the performance of the scheme is not individual, and there is some variation in the performance of the plan when compared to the additional risk taken for that. Based on the data presented in table 4, the researchers came to the conclusion that the critical value is lower than the calculation value, hence refuting the null hypothesis.

Table 5:ANOVA–TreynorIndex

Table5:ANOVA[a]

Model		Sumof Squares	df	MeanSquare	F	Sig.
1	Reg.	3195.125	5	992.35	22.692	0.000
	Resid.	982.995	57	29.13		
	Total	3991.05	61			

As a result, it has been determined that the samples were taken from parts of the population that had varying degrees of variance. So, the effect on the economy as a whole will not be the same for any strategy. As a result, the performance of the scheme is not individual, and there is some variation in the performance of the plan when compared to the additional risk taken for that. Based on the data shown in the table above, five researchers came to the conclusion that the crucial value is lower than the calculation value, hence refuting the null hypothesis.

Conclusion

It is clear, based on the performance evaluations of the eight different equity funds that were presented earlier, that all of the funds have done quite well over the course of the time period that has been taken into consideration for this study. This is evident due to the fact that the time period was taken into consideration. The decline in value of the NIFTY that occurred in the year 2020 had an effect on the performance of each of the chosen funds on an individual basis. When everything is said and done, it's possible that the conclusion will be that the majority of the funds have done well despite the highly unpredictable market. This may be the case if it's determined that the majority of the funds have done well. It's possible that this will be the outcome if it turns out that the majority of the funds have been profitable. After conducting research on a wide variety of mutual fund schemes, the researchers arrived at the conclusion that, when making an investment decision, the element of risk and return is the first and most important component to take into consideration, followed by the considerations of safety and liquidity. This was the conclusion that was reached as a result of the research that was conducted. If the investors wish to select a fund that subjects them to a lower degree of risk, then they need to select a fund that has a higher rank in the Treynor measure. Only then will they be able to achieve their goal. Investors should strive for a higher Sharpe measure rank if they want to increase the rate of return on their money while also diversifying the types of holdings they have in their portfolios. This is something that investors can do for themselves. The acquisition of shares in a mutual fund is a venture that ought to only be done by individuals who possess a fair level of awareness in topics pertaining to finance. This is because the purchase of shares in a mutual fund is a significant investment decision. In addition to beta and the standard deviation, prospective investors and current investors alike should also take into consideration specific performance evaluations from a variety of dimensions given by parameters such as the Sharpe ratio and the Treynor ratio. These evaluations should be taken into consideration in addition to beta and total return in direct. Instead than just taking NAV and total Return into direct consideration, you should do this instead. This is a very essential step that needs to be taken in order to ensure that mutual funds in India all perform at a consistent level.

References

1. Tripathi, S., &Japee, D. G. P. (2020).PerformanceEvaluationofSelectedEquityMutualFundsinIndia. GapGyan-AGlobalJournalofSocialSciences.
2. Pástor, Ľ., &Stambaugh, R. F. (2002).Investinginequitymutualfunds.JournalofFinancial Economics,63(3),351-380.
3. Cuthbertson, K., &Nitzsche, D. (2013).Performance, stock selection and markettimingoftheGermanequitymutualfundindustry. Journal of EmpiricalFinance,21,86-101.
4. Rao,D.N.(2006).Investmentstylesandperformance of equity mutual funds inIndia.AvailableatSSRN922595.
5. Cuthbertson,K.,Nitzsche,D.,&O'Sullivan, N. (2008). UK mutual fundperformance: Skill or luck?. Journal ofEmpirical Finance,15(4),613-634.
6. Elton,E.J.,&Gruber,M.J.(2013).Mutualfunds.In HandbookoftheEconomics of Finance (Vol. 2, pp. 1011-1061).Elsevier.
7. Pendaraki,K.,Doumpos,M.,&Zopounidis,C.(2004).AssessingEquity Mutual Funds'performanceUsingaMulticriteriaMethodology a Comparative Analysis.In SupplyChainAndFinance (pp.69-90).
8. Heath,D.,Macciocchi,D.,Michaely,R.,&Ringgenberg, M. C. (2022). Do indexfundsmonitor?. TheReviewofFinancialStudies,35(1), 91-131.
9. Chen,H.L.,Jegadeesh,N.,&Wermers,R. (2000). The value of active mutualfundmanagement:Anexaminationofthestockholdingsandtradesoffundmanagers. JournalofFinancialandquantitativeAnalysis,35(3),343-368.
10. Burkhanov,A.(2020).EconometricAnalysisofWorldInvestmentFundsNetAssets. Архивнаучныхисследований,(22).

11. Wardlaw, M. (2020). Measuring mutualfundflowpressureasshocktostockreturns. TheJournalofFinance, 75(6),3221-3243.
12. Galagedera,D.U.,Roshdi,I.,Fukuyama,H., & Zhu, J. (2018). A new networkDEAmodelformutualfundperformanceappraisal: An application to US equitymutualfunds.Omega,77,168-179.
13. Brav,A.,Jiang,W.,Li,T.,&Pinnington,
14. J. (2020). Picking friends before picking(proxy) fights: How mutual fund votingshapesproxycontests. ColumbiaBusinessSchoolResearchPaper,(18-16).
15. Shah,D.,Isah,H.,&Zulkernine,F.(2019). Stock market analysis: A reviewandtaxonomyof predictiontechniques. InternationalJournalofFinancial Studies,7(2),26.
16. Jiang, H., &Verardo, M. (2018). Doesherdingbehaviorrevealskill?Ananalysis of mutual fundperformance.The Journal ofFinance, 73(5),2229-2269.
17. Madaan,G.,&Singh,S.(2019).Ananalysisofbehavioralbiasesin investment decision-making. InternationalJournalofFinancial Research,10(4),55-67.
18. Metawa, N., Hassan, M. K., Metawa, S.,&Safa,M.F.(2018).Impactofbehavioralfactorsoninvestors’financialdecisions:caseoftheEgyptianstockmarket. International Journal of IslamicandMiddleEasternFinanceandManagement.
19. Bellofatto,A.,D’Hondt,C.,&DeWinne, R. (2018). Subjective financialliteracy and retail investors’behavior. JournalofBanking&finance,92, 168-181.
20. Brest,P.,Gilson,R.J.,&Wolfson,M.A.(2018). Essay: How investors can (andcan't) create social value. J. Corp. L., 44,205.
21. Choi,J.,&Kronlund,M.(2018).Reachingforyieldincorporatebondmutual funds. The Review of FinancialStudies, 31(5), 1930-1965.
22. Galaz,V.,Crona,B.,Dauriach,A.,Scholtens,B.,&Steffen,W.(2018).Finance and the Earth system–Exploringthelinksbetweenfinancialactorsandnon-linearchangesintheclimatesystem.Global EnvironmentalChange,53, 296-302.
23. Nethala,V.J.,Pathan,M.F.I.,&Sekhar,
24. M.S.C.(2022).AStudyonCooperativeBanks in India with Special Reference toMarketingStrategies. JournalofContemporaryIssuesinBusinessandGovernment Vol,28(04).
25. Sekhar, M. S. C., Murthy, J., Karim,

S.,Reddy,M.M.S.,&Bhupathi,C.FactorsInfluencingCustomers'BuyingBehaviour: A Study of Electric VehicleswithreferencetoTirupatiCity.

26. Kumar,T.S.,&Sekhar,S.(2019).Impactofe-MarketingonInfluencingConsumer Purchase decision. InternationalJournalofScientific Development andResearch, 4(11).
27. Sekhar,S.C.,&Radha,N.(2019).Impactofglobalizationonmsme:prospects,challengesan dpolicyimplementation on economicgrowth. International Journal of Trend inScientific Research andDevelopment, 3(6), 536-541.
28. Sekhar,M.S.C.,Ashalatha,D.,&Gorkhe,M.(2022).CorporateGovernance-ImpactonFinancialPerformance of Selected IT CompaniesinBengaluruCity. JournalofContemporaryIssuesinBusinessandGovernment Vol,28(03).
29. Rana, S. (2022). Consumer AwarenessandPerceptiontowardsGreenMarketing:AnEmpiricalStudyinBangaloreCity . JournalofPositiveSchoolPsychologyhttp://journalppw.com,6(5), 4240-4245.
30. Sekhar,S.C.(2020).AStudyonEffectivenessofElectronicbankingSystem.Sanshodhan,9, 8-13.
31. Durgaraju, R., &Sekhar, S. C. (2021). APerspective Research Study on the NewAgeCurrency(TheCaseofBitcoinCurrency System). InternationalResearchJournalofInnovationsinEngineeringandTechnology,5(2), 16.
32. Basha,S.M.,&Ramaratnam,M.S.(2017).ConstructionofanOptimalPortfolioUsingSharp e'sSingleIndexModel: A Study on Nifty Midcap 150Scrips. IndianJournalofResearchinCapitalMarkets,4(4),25-41.
33. JagadeeshBabu, M. K.,SaurabhSrivastava, S. M., & AditiPriyaSingh,M.B.S.(2020).InfluenceOfSocialMediaMarketingOnBuying Behavior ofMillennialTowardsSmart Phones In BangaloreCity. PalArch's Journal of ArchaeologyofEgypt/Egyptology, 17(9),4474-4485.
34. Basha,M.,Singh,A.P.,Rafi,M.,Rani,M.I.,&Sharma,N.M.(2020).CointegrationandCaus alrelationshipbetweenPharmaceuticalsectorandNifty–AnempiricalStudy. PalArch'sJournalofArchaeologyofEgypt/Egyptology, 17(6),8835-8842.
35. Shaik,M.B.,Kethan,M.,Rani,I.,Mahesh,U.,Harsha,C.S.,Navya,M.K.,&Sravani,D.(2022).WhichDeterminantsMatterforCapitalStructure?AnEmpirical Study on Nbfc's inIndia. InternationalJournalofEntrepreneurship, 26,1-9.
36. Agrawal,D.K.(2022).AnEmpiricalStudyonSocioeconomicFactorsAffectingProduc

er'sParticipationin Commodity Markets in India. Journal ofPositiveSchool Psychology,2896-2906.

37. Krishnamoorthy,D.N.,&MahabubBasha, S. (2022). An empirical study onconstruction portfolio with reference toBSE. Int J Finance Manage Econ, 5(1),110-114.
38. DrSanthosh Kumar, V., &Basha, S. M.(2022).AstudyofEmotionalIntelligence and Quality of Life amongDoctors in Pandemic Covid. InternationalJournalofEarlyChildhood, 14(02), 2080-2090.
39. Shaik, M. B., Kethan, M., Jaggaiah, T.,&Khizerulla,M.(2022).FinancialLiteracyandInvestmentBehaviourofITProfessional in India. East Asian JournalofMultidisciplinaryResearch, 1(5),777-788.
40. Mohammed,B.Z.,Kumar,P.M.,Thilaga,S.,&Basha,M.(2022).AnEmpiricalStudyonCustomerExperienceAndCustomerEngagement Towards Electric Bikes With ReferenceTo Bangalore City. Journal of PositiveSchool Psychology, 4591-4597.
41. Kumarai, G. S., Bajaj, P. K., Rana, S. S.,Kethan,J.,Basha,S.M.,&Karumuri,V.(2022). An empirical study on customersatisfactiontowardsorganizedRetailoutlets in Bengaluru city,Karnataka. AcademyofMarketingStudies Journal,24, 1-11.
42. Shaik,M.B.,Kethan,M.,&Jaggaiah,T.(2022).FinancialLiteracyandInvestment Behaviour of IT ProfessionalWith Reference To BangaloreCity. IlomataInternationalJournalofManagement, 3(3), 353-362.
43. Basha,S.M.,Kethan,M.,&Aisha,M.A Study on Digital Marketing Toolsamongst the Marketing Professionals inBangaloreCity.
44. Rajasulochana,D.,&Khizerulla,M.(2022).ServiceQualityInSBI:AnAssessmentOfCustomerSatisfactionOnE-Banking Services. Journal of PositiveSchool Psychology, 4585-4590.
45. Kethan,M.,&Basha,M.(2022).Relationship of Ethical Sales BehaviourwithCustomerLoyalty,TrustandCommitment:AStudywithSpecialReferencetoRetailStoreinMysoreCity. EastAsianJournalofMultidisciplinaryResearch, 1(7),1365-1376.
46. Basha,S.M.,&Kethan,M.(2022).Covid-19PandemicandtheDigitalRevolutioninAcademiaandHigherEducation: an Empirical Study. Eduvest-JournalofUniversalStudies,2(8),1-648.
47. Prakash,M.,&Manyam,K.(2018).EffectivenessandEfficiencyofE-Governance

in AndhraPradesh. InternationalJournalofAdvancedScientificResearch&Development, 5(01). Murthy,B.S.R.,Manyam,K.,&Manjunatha,M.(2018).AStudyonComparativeFinancialStatementofHatsunAgroProductLtd(WithReferenceLastFiveFinancialYear2013 To2017). InternationalJournalforScienceandAdvanceResearchInTechnologyJSART, 4, 2395-1052.

48. Rajasulochana, D., & Murthy, S. (2022).E-Banking and Customers' SatisfactionIn Public And Private Sector Banks InKarnataka: An EmpiricalAnalysis. JournalofPositiveSchoolPsychology, 6(8), 8270-8279.
49. Rajesh,D.,&Rajasulochana,D.M.(2022).AStudyonFactorsInfluencing The Purchase ofElectricVehiclesinIndianAuto Mobile Market. Journal ofContemporaryIssuesinBusinessandGovernment Vol,28(04).
50. Kotni,V.V.,&Karumuri,V.(2014).Anempirical study on the identification ofsalesforce engagement drivers: A studyofselectedretailoutletsinVisakhapatnam. VVDEVIPRASADKOTNI&VENKATESWARLUK(2014).AnEmpiricalStudyontheIdentification of Salesforce EngagementDrivers:AStudyofSelectedRetailOutlets in Visakhapatnam. Prabandhan:Indian Journal of Management, 7(7), 31-45.
51. Karumuri,V.(2016).Employeeengagement:Hotelindustry. SCMSJournalofIndianmanagement, 13(3),120-128.
52. Karumuri,V.(2017).Atheoreticalframework on employeeengagement. AsiaPacificJournalofResearch,1,150-155.
53. Venkateswarlu,K.,&Prasad,P.V.(2012).AReviewonemployability skills.IOSRJournalofHumanitiesandSocial Science,2,32-34.
54. Karumuri, V. (2016). Employeeengagement: Hotel industry.SCMS JournalofIndianmanagement,13(3),120-128.