

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND
NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES**

IMPACT FACTOR ~ 1.021



Official Journal of IIFANS

FORTIFICATION OF MICROENCAPSULATED IRON IN YOGHURT

Subash, R. *, Elango, A., Pandiyan, C., Karthikeyan, N., and Kumaresan, G.

Department of Dairy Science, Veterinary College and Research Institute, Namakkal, TamilNadu, India

*Corresponding Author: subashvet@gmail.com

Received on: 25th March, 2015

Accepted on 15th April, 2015

ABSTRACT

This study was designed to develop microencapsulated whey protein-chelated iron (Fe-wp) using ferrous sulphate as the iron source by emulsion method employing sodium alginate as the wall material that could be used in the development of iron fortified yoghurt. Influence of iron on survival of yoghurt culture, TBA values of yoghurt and sensory properties of yoghurt were tested by control, free iron and encapsulated iron fortification. Statistically no significant ($P>0.05$) difference was noticed in count of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus* between control and different iron fortified yoghurt treatments on 0, 7, 14 and 21 days. During storage period, the count of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus* significantly ($P<0.05$) decreased both in control and as well as in iron fortified yoghurt and thus the fortified iron did not affect the viability of yoghurt bacteria. The TBA values of unencapsulated iron fortified yoghurt was significantly ($P<0.05$) higher when compared to control and encapsulated iron fortified yoghurt. Significant ($P<0.05$) difference was observed in astringent and oxidized flavour at 0, 7, 14 and 21st day of storage between control and different treatments of yoghurt. In addition, significant ($P<0.05$) difference was observed in overall preference at 0, 7, 14 and 21st day of storage between control and different treatments of yoghurt and between different storage periods. The present study demonstrated that microencapsulated whey protein chelated iron can be added up to a level of 80 mg per litre of yoghurt without altering the accepted appearance and sensorial attributes.

Keywords: Yoghurt, Microencapsulation, Ferrous sulphate.

INTRODUCTION

Yoghurt is widely consumed throughout the world for its sensory and nutritional benefits and is made from milk with high solid content, a lactic culture and sugar and can be enriched with milk powder, proteins, vitamins, minerals and fruits. Yoghurt is a product obtained by the lactic fermentation of whole, skimmed or standardized milk by action of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus salivarius* subsp. *thermophilus*, and can be accompanied by other lactic bacteria which, for their part, contribute to the characteristics of the final product (Brasil, 2007). Iron deficiency anemia is still the most prevalent nutritional problem, which affect 30 % of the world's population. This deficiency causes more than half the maternal deaths in the world (Juneja *et al.*, 2004). Iron deficiency adversely affects the cognitive performance, behaviour, and physical growth of children, immune status, physical capacity and work performance of all age groups and increases perinatal risks for mothers and neonates (WHO, 2001). Iron deficiency anemia affects 60 % of Asian women of reproductive age and 40 to 50 % of children enrolled in preschool and primary grades (Joseph, 2000). It is estimated that up to half of all anemia is caused by dietary iron deficiency. Fortification of dairy foods to obtain the recommended daily dietary allowances for iron (10- 15 mg

for adults) is one of the most effective solutions (Bender and Bender, 1997). Therefore, the ideal iron compound for food fortification should be one that supplies highly bio-available iron, does not affect the nutritional value or sensory properties of the food, should be stable during food processing, and of low cost, in order to be accessible for the whole population (Boccio *et al.*, 1998). Yoghurt is an excellent source of calcium and protein but as it is typical of all dairy products, contains very little iron. Therefore, dairy products are logical vehicles for iron fortification because they have high nutritive values, reach target population and are widely consumed. So that, in this study ferrous sulfate which is completely dissolved in water and thus provide very high bioavailable iron was selected for fortification of yoghurt. Conventionally, methods for increasing iron content in foods have been used to directly add iron to foods. However, in order to alleviate problems of conventional methods like disagreeable smell due to oxidation of fat in milk, discoloration and precipitation of iron, an attempt has been made to microencapsulate iron and apply it to yoghurt, infant formula, milk powder, cheese and foods (Kwak *et al.*, 2002). Microencapsulation, which shows potential as a carrier of enzymes in the food industry, could be a good vehicle for the addition of iron to milk (Jackson and Lee, 1991). Microencapsulation is a technology of

packaging solids, liquids or gaseous materials in miniature sealed capsules that can release their contents at controlled rates under the influences of specific conditions. Keeping the above constraints, the proposed investigation of microencapsulation of whey protein chelated iron and incorporation in the development of fortified yoghurt has been designed in such a way that it will definitely supply highly bio-available iron with no effect on nutritional value or sensory properties of yoghurt, will be stable during processing as well as storage and will be of low cost.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Different treatments of yoghurt were designed as detailed below.

PY	-	Control-without addition of iron
PFSY1	-	20 mg / litre of un-encapsulated ferrous sulphate
PFSY2	-	40 mg / litre of un-encapsulated ferrous sulphate
MFSY1	-	20 mg / litre of encapsulated whey protein chelated ferrous sulphate
MFSY2	-	40 mg / litre of encapsulated whey protein chelated ferrous sulphate
MFSY3	-	80 mg / litre of encapsulated whey protein chelated ferrous sulphate
MFSY4	-	100 mg / litre of encapsulated whey protein chelated ferrous sulphate

EMULSION METHOD OF MICROENCAPSULATION OF IRON

Whey protein chelated iron (Fe-Wp) was prepared by adding 8 g of ferrous sulphate into 100 ml of 20 per cent whey protein solution and heating to precipitate the complex. The precipitate was centrifuged at 8000G for 5 min: washed once with 0.25 per cent lactic acid solution and twice with deionised water. Microencapsulated whey protein chelated iron (MFe-Wp) was prepared by method of Azzam, (2009). One part of Fe-Wp mixed with four parts sodium alginate solution (3 per cent). To one part of the mixture 10 ml was then added drop wise to 5 parts of sunflower oil 50 ml containing 0.1w/v tween 80 and stirred at 200 rpm by magnetic stirrer. Within 10 minutes a turbid emulsion was obtained. Calcium chloride 0.05M was added quickly to the beaker until the water oil emulsion was broken. Calcium alginate encapsulated beads containing Fe-Wp were formed within 10 min. The microcapsules were collected by gentle centrifugation (350 g for 10 min) and washed with distilled water using the same centrifugation conditions, and stored at 4°C until used.

PROCEDURE FOR THE PREPARATION OF PLAIN YOGHURT

Plain yoghurt was prepared using fresh milk. Skim milk powder at the rate of 4 per cent (w/v) and sugar at the rate of 6 per cent (w/v) were added to it and

homogenized at 2500 psi. The contents were mixed well and pasteurized at 85°C for 30 minutes, cooled to room temperature and inoculated with 2 per cent of yoghurt cultures containing *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus*, and *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus*. It was then mixed well and incubated at 42°C for 4 to 5 hours and finally stored at 5°C.

PREPARATION OF IRON FORTIFIED YOGHURT

Different lots of iron fortified yoghurt were prepared using fresh milk. Skim milk powder at the rate of 4 per cent (w/v) and sugar at the rate of 6 per cent (w/v) were added to it and homogenized at 2500 psi. The contents were mixed well and pasteurized at 85°C for 30 minutes, cooled to room temperature and inoculated with 2 per cent of yoghurt cultures containing *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus*, and *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus*. Then encapsulated iron beads / unencapsulated iron were added separately as per the treatments to 1 litre of mix. It was then mixed well and incubated at 42°C for 4 to 5 hours and finally stored at 4 to 5°C.

ESTIMATION OF TBA VALUE IN IRON FORTIFIED YOGHURT (Kim *et al.*, 2003)

The reagent for TBA test was prepared immediately before use by mixing equal volumes of freshly prepared 0.025M TBA, which was neutralized with NaOH and 2M H₃PO₄ / 2M citric acid. Reactions of TBA test were started by pipetting 5.0 ml of milk containing iron capsulated or uncapsulated into a glass centrifuge tube and mixed thoroughly with 2.5 ml TBA reagent. The mixture was heated immediately in a boiling water bath for exactly 10 min, and cooled on ice. Ten ml of cyclohexanone and 1ml of 4M Ammonium sulfate were added and centrifuged at 2490×G for 5 min at room temperature. The orange-red cyclohexanone supernatant was decanted and its absorbance at 532 nm was measured spectrophotometrically in a 1-cm light path. All measurements were run in triplicate.

ORGANOLEPTIC EVALUATION (Kim *et al.*, 2003)

Organoleptic evaluation was carried out by untrained panel of judges comprising five members. The intensity of taste aspects (bitterness, astringency, oxidative flavor and metallic flavour) were scored on a nine-point scale (1= none, 3= slight, 5= moderate, 7= strong and 9= very strong). Overall preference were scored on a nine-point scale (1= dislike extremely, 3= dislike moderate, 5= neither like nor dislike, 7= like moderate and 9= like extremely). All the samples were appropriately coded before subjected for sensory evaluation.

ENUMERATION OF YOGHURT BACTERIA IN YOGHURT (Kim *et al.*, 2003)

Lactic acid bacteria were determined from the colony counts on specific lactic agar: MRS agar (pH 5.4) for *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* and M17 agar for *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus*.

One gram yoghurt samples stored for 0, 7, 14 and 21 d were diluted with 9 ml of sterile peptone and water diluent. A subsequent serial dilution of each sample was performed. From the suitable dilution 1 ml was transferred into sterile petri plates in duplicates. Pre-melted MRS and M17 agar (20-30 ml) were poured into the plate and mixed well with the contents. After solidification the plates were incubated at 41°C for 48 h.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data obtained in all the experiments were analyzed statistically by applying one way and two way ANOVA (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

THIOBARBITURIC ACID VALUES OF MICROENCAPSULATED IRON FORTIFIED YOGHURT (absorbance at 532 nm)

Table 1 shows that significantly higher TBA values were observed in unencapsulated iron fortified

yoghurt (PFSY2), when compared to control and capsulated iron fortified yoghurt (IFY) treatments. The data indicated that oxidation process may be quicker in yoghurt samples containing unencapsulated iron than in those containing iron in encapsulated form. These findings were in accordance with the findings of Kim *et al.* (2003), who reported that TBA absorbance was significantly lower in encapsulated iron fortified yoghurts than the unencapsulated iron fortified yoghurts. Similarly, Jayalalitha *et al.* (2012) also observed that oxidation process was quicker in yoghurt samples containing unencapsulated iron than in those containing encapsulated iron. This increase in TBA values of unencapsulated iron fortified yoghurt may be due to interaction of added iron with casein resulting in iron– casein complexes and the presence of O₂ acts as a pro-oxidant, resulting in accelerated lipid oxidation in yoghurt. It can be opined that microencapsulation of iron lead to reduced rate of fat oxidation and increased fat stability, which facilitated a decreased TBA value as observed in encapsulated iron fortified yoghurt.

Table 1

Thiobarbituric acid values of microencapsulated iron fortified yoghurt (Absorbance at 532 nm)

Treatment	Duration			
	0 day	7 days	14 days	21 days
PY	0.0132 ^{Aa} ±0.02	0.0164 ^{Ba} ±0.03	0.0227 ^{Ca} ±0.09	0.0346 ^{Da} ±0.03
PFSY1	0.0133 ^{Aa} ±0.02	0.0167 ^{Ba} ±0.03	0.0242 ^{Ca} ±0.03	0.0350 ^{Da} ±0.01
PFSY2	0.0135 ^{Aa} ±0.01	0.0392 ^{Bb} ±0.02	0.0743 ^{Cc} ±0.09	0.0989 ^{Dc} ±0.02
MFSY1	0.0132 ^{Aa} ±0.02	0.0165 ^{Ba} ±0.03	0.0227 ^{Ca} ±0.09	0.0345 ^{Da} ±0.04
MFSY2	0.0132 ^{Aa} ±0.02	0.0166 ^{Ba} ±0.04	0.0231 ^{Ca} ±0.09	0.0347 ^{Da} ±0.03
MFSY3	0.0133 ^{Aa} ±0.05	0.0167 ^{Ba} ±0.03	0.0235 ^{Ca} ±0.08	0.0348 ^{Da} ±0.04
MFSY4	0.0135 ^{Aa} ±0.03	0.0167 ^{Ba} ±0.06	0.0241 ^{Ca} ±0.01	0.0348 ^{Da} ±0.04

@ Average of six trials

(Different superscripts in upper case in a row differ significantly)

(Different superscripts in lowercase in a column differ significantly)

EFFECT OF IRON FORTIFICATION ON VIABILITY OF *Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus* IN YOGHURT (log₁₀cfu/ml)

Table 2 shows that statistically no significant (P>0.05) difference was noticed in count of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus* between control and IFY treatments on day 0 to 21. It is also observed that there was a significant (P<0.05) decrease in *Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus* counts as the storage period advances towards 21 days. These findings concurred with the findings of Kim *et al.* (2003) who reported that the mean counts of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus* for control and other groups of yoghurt did not differ significantly at 0 day, and also the mean counts in all

groups showed a decreasing trend during 20 days of storage at 4°C. Fortification of yoghurt with different iron salts had no effect on the total lactic acid bacteria in all treatments when fresh and during cold storage El-Kholy (2011). So iron fortification did not significantly (P>0.05) affect the growth and viability of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus* both in the fresh yoghurt and during storage. The metabolic enzymatic activity of the yoghurt starter culture could be the reason for increase in the acidity and decrease in the pH, which could be responsible for decreasing the viability of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus* as the storage period advances beyond a certain period.

Table 2- Effect of iron fortification on viability of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus* in yoghurt (log₁₀cfu/ml)

Treatment	Duration			
	0 day	7 days	14 days	21 days
PY	9.15 ^{Aa} ±0.02	8.83 ^{Ba} ±0.01	8.19 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.66 ^{Da} ±0.01
PFSY1	9.13 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.68 ^{Ba} ±0.01	8.10 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.47 ^{Da} ±0.01
PFSY2	9.07 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.62 ^{Ba} ±0.01	8.16 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.41 ^{Da} ±0.01
MFSY1	9.19 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.56 ^{Ba} ±0.02	8.19 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.55 ^{Da} ±0.01

MFSY2	9.29 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.63 ^{Ba} ±0.01	8.20 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.57 ^{Da} ±0.02
MFSY3	9.20 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.95 ^{Ba} ±0.01	8.29 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.71 ^{Da} ±0.01
MFSY4	9.19 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.63 ^{Ba} ±0.01	8.19 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.55 ^{Da} ±0.01

@ Average of six trials

(Different superscripts in uppercase in a row differ significantly)

(Different superscripts in lowercase in a column differ significantly)

EFFECT OF IRON FORTIFICATION ON *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *Thermophilus* VIABILITY IN YOGHURT (log₁₀cfu/ml)

Table 3 shows that statistically no significant (P>0.05) difference was noticed in count of *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus* between control and IFY treatments. *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus* counts were decreased significantly (P<0.05) as the storage period increased among control and IFY. These findings were in consistent with the findings of Kim *et al.* (2003) who reported that mean counts of *Streptococcus salivarius*

ssp. *thermophilus* for control and other groups of yoghurt were not significantly different. Similarly, Cavallini and Rossi (2009) reported that viability of mixed starter culture containing *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* decreased as the storage time increased in iron and calcium fortified soy yoghurt. The reduction of *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus* counts on storage may be due to low pH and high acidic condition prevailing in the yoghurt beyond a certain period during storage.

Table 3-Effect of iron fortification on *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *Thermophilus* viability in yoghurt (log₁₀cfu/ml)

Treatment	Duration			
	0 day	7 days	14 days	21 days
PY	8.93 ^{Aa} ±0.02	8.43 ^{Ba} ±0.01	7.82 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.26 ^{Da} ±0.01
PFSY1	8.73 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.11 ^{Ba} ±0.01	7.68 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.17 ^{Da} ±0.01
PFSY2	8.72 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.18 ^{Ba} ±0.01	7.56 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.10 ^{Da} ±0.01
MFSY1	8.79 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.26 ^{Ba} ±0.02	7.79 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.15 ^{Da} ±0.01
MFSY2	8.83 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.13 ^{Ba} ±0.01	7.60 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.22 ^{Da} ±0.02
MFSY3	8.85 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.35 ^{Ba} ±0.01	7.78 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.24 ^{Da} ±0.01
MFSY4	8.81 ^{Aa} ±0.01	8.33 ^{Ba} ±0.01	7.79 ^{Ca} ±0.01	7.23 ^{Da} ±0.01

@ Average of six trials,

(Different superscripts in uppercase in a row differ significantly)

(Different superscripts in lowercase in a column differ significantly)

EFFECT OF IRON FORTIFICATION ON BITTERNESS, METALLIC FLAVOUR AND ASTRINGENT FLAVOUR IN YOGHURT

The bitterness values and metallic flavour values of encapsulated iron fortified yoghurt were similar to control, and the bitterness values and metallic flavour values were not significantly (P>0.05) increased during storage periods between control and encapsulated iron fortified yoghurt. These results were partly in accordance with the findings of Kwak *et al.*, (2003). The astringent flavour values of encapsulated iron fortified yoghurt treatment MFSY3 and unencapsulated iron fortified yoghurt treatment PFSY1 were also similar to control. These astringent flavour values were significantly (P<0.05) increased during storage periods. These results were partly in agreement with the findings of Kwak *et al.*, (2003).

EFFECT OF IRON FORTIFICATION ON OXIDATIVE FLAVOUR IN YOGHURT

The oxidized flavour values of encapsulated iron fortified yoghurt treatment MFSY3 and unencapsulated iron fortified yoghurt treatment PFSY1 were similar to

control. These oxidized flavour values were significantly (P<0.05) increased during storage between control and Iron Fortified Yoghurt treatments. Gaucheron, (2000) reported that microencapsulation techniques can be used to avoid oxidized, metallic flavours and colour changes during fortification with iron. This is supported by the findings of Jayalalitha *et al.*, (2012), who concluded that encapsulation treatment for iron will give the good sensory quality by avoiding the oxidized flavour in iron fortified yoghurt.

EFFECT OF IRON FORTIFICATION ON OVERALL PREFERENCE OF YOGHURT

On sensory evaluation, all the panelists preferred control yoghurt and MFSY3 over other treatments and in that order of preference. This indicated that iron can be fortified only up to 20mg per litre in unencapsulated form, while in the form of microencapsulated iron it can be incorporated upto 80 mg per litre of yoghurt using ferrous sulfate without affecting the accepted appearance, sensorial and textural attributes of yoghurt.

was significantly higher when compared to control and capsulated iron fortified yoghurt. In conclusion, the present work indicated that microencapsulated whey protein chelated iron can be incorporated up to a level of 80 mg per litre of yoghurt without altering the accepted appearance and taste. This study concludes that iron

CONCLUSION

Fortified iron did not affect the viability of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus salivarius* ssp. *thermophilus* in yoghurt. The TBA value of unencapsulated iron fortified yoghurt

fortification does not affect the viability of probiotic yoghurt bacteria and encapsulation treatment for iron will give the good sensory quality by avoiding the oxidized flavour in iron fortified yoghurt, which can contribute to alleviating iron deficiency.

- Kwak HS, Yang KM, Ahn J. Microencapsulated iron for milk fortification. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 2003; 51(26):7770-7774.
- Gaucheron F. Iron fortification in dairy industry. *Trends Food Sci. Tech.* 2000; 11:403-409.

REFERENCES

- Brasil. Ministerio da Agricultura, Pecuaria e Abasbecimento Instrucao Normativa n 46 de 23 de Outubro de. 2007. Available from www.agricultura.gov.br.
- Juneja, L.R, Sakaguchi, N, Yamaguchi, R, Nanbu, H. Iron Fortification of Dairy Products: A Novel approach, In *Handbook of Functional Dairy Products,* Ed. C. shortt and J.O. Brrien, CRC Press, London; 2004.
- World Health Organisation. Iron deficiency anemia: assessment, prevention and control, a guide for programme managers, Geneva, 2001. Disponivelem: http://whplibdoc.who.int/hp/2001/who_NHD_01.3.pdf. Acesso em: 27 May 2013.
- Bender, D.A, Bender, A.E. "Nutrition a Reference Handbook", Oxford Univ. Press, pp: 394; 1997.
- Boccio JR, Zubillaga MB, Caro RA, Lysionek A, Gotelli CA, Gotelli MJ, Weill R. Bioavailability, absorption, mechanism and toxicity of microencapsulated iron (I) sulfate. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 1998; 62:65-73.
- Kwak, H.S, Yang, K.M. Method for preparing microcapsule containing soluble iron using fatty acid ester. U.S. Patent. 6402997 B1; 2002.
- Jackson LS, Lee K. Microencapsulated iron food fortification. *J. Food Sci.* 1991; 56(4):1047-1050.
- Azzam MA. Effect of fortification with iron –whey protein complex on quality of yoghurt. *Egypt. J. Dairy. Sci.* 2009; 37:55-63.
- Kim SJ, Ahn J, Kwak HS. Microencapsulated iron for drink yoghurt fortification. *Asian Australian J. Anim. Sci.* 2003; 16:581-587.
- Snedecor, G.W, Cochran, W.G. *Statistical methods.* Eighth edition, IOWA State University Press, USA; 1994.
- Jayalalitha V, Balasundaram V, Palanidorai B, NareshKumar C. Fortification of encapsulated iron in probiotic yoghurt. *Int. J. Agric.* 2012; 2(2):80-84.
- El-Kholy AM, Osman M, Gouda A, Ghareeb WA. Fortification of yoghurt with iron. *World J. Dairy Food Sci.* 2011; 6:159-165.
- Cavallini DCU, Rossi EA. Soy yoghurt fortified with iron and calcium: stability during the storage. *Alim. Nutr.* 2009; 20(1):7-1.