Hydrogen sulfide (H_2O) Removal Technologies from Biogas Production

Sajid Husain, Assistant Professor
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email id- sajidhusain111@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Because of growing energy demand and environmental concerns, the prospect of producing heat or power from renewable sources, like biogas, is expanding in the present energy situation. A fermentation and biohydrogen thermal reformation may be used to make biohydrogen digester fuel, and the energy can be generated using proton exchange membrane fuel cells. Pollutants (sulfur compounds, halogenated hydrocarbons, and siloxanes, for example, hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) at 100 to 10000 ppm) are often encountered in manufacturing. The concentration of pollutants is determined by the kind of biomass or organic waste. Sulfur compounds are removed using a variety of purification procedures, although not all of them can decrease sulfur to ultralow stages. Additionally, few investigations on biogas upgrading from sulfur particles for fuel cell application have been published. We evaluate and discuss gas-separation methods for removing H_2S from biogas production in this research. The effectiveness and efficiency of the separation system are examined in terms of the H2S elimination unit's mechanisms and operating process, includes the design, technique, and materials used in uptake. After all, the strategies for removing H_2S through adsorption have recently been improved by modifying cellulose Nano/microcrystals technologies for increased adsorption effectiveness.

KEYWORDS: Absorption, Adsorption, Biogas, Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), Hydrogen

1. INTRODUCTION

Biogas is a gas combination produced by a variety of microorganisms under anaerobic circumstances when organic waste degrades. This process happens in nature wherever that organic stuff is separated from air(Cheng et al., 2019). The anaerobic process destroys organic materials by producing biogas from a variety of microorganisms. 60 percent methane (CH_4) and 40 percent carbon dioxide (CO_2) are the two main components of biogas. Biogas produced by anaerobic digestion of dairy manure waste contains 50–60 percent CH_4. In comparison, Osorio & Torres (2009) that biogas compositions range between 60 and 70 percent CH_4 and 30 to 40 percent CO(Lin et al., 2019).

Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), ammonia (NH_3), hydrogen (H_2), nitrogen (N_2), carbon monoxide (CO), water vapor, saturated or halogenated polysaccharides, dust particles, siloxane, and oxygen gas (O_2) are among the minor products produced by biogas. Because of its function in corrosion, H_2S has been highlighted as a troublesome chemical (Sorathia et al., 2012). This substance has an impact on the structural wear of equipment as well as the operation. As a result of the H_2S created by biogas, biogas production is inefficient. As a result, H_2S should be eliminated to prevent any cost-related issues. To improve the quality of raw biogas and develop a new viable source of renewable energy, this undesirable ingredient should be eliminated (Strezov et al., 2008).

In several nations, biogas purification as a potential energy source has gotten a lot of interest (Baladincz & Hancsók, 2015). Fuel cell technologies, particularly polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells, are promising devices (PEMFC). Fuel cell technologies use purified biogas as a fuel source to create energy or power. PEMFC needs very pure H2 with a purity of up to 99.99 percent (Baladincz & Hancsók, 2015).

Furthermore, CO levels should be fewer than 10 ppm, and H_2S levels would be less than 1 ppm, since both components contribute to fuel cell equipment corrosion and poisoning of the catalyst (Onuoha et al., 2019). As a result, contaminants need be eliminated from biogas in order to meet fuel cell requirements.

Based on the facts presented above, the purpose of this work is to examine and discuss gas-separation systems for removing H_2S from biogas production. Depending on the effectiveness and efficiency of the separation system (Parikh & Parikh, 1977).

The following are some of the most common H2S reduction methods for biogas: (1) absorption into a liquid; (2) solid adsorption (iron oxide-based materials, active charcoal, or inoculated modified dioxide); and (3) microbiological transformation (sulfide oxidizing microorganisms converting sulfur compounds to elemental sulfur with the addition of air/oxygen)(Adegunloye et al., 2010). Every technique has its own set of benefits and drawbacks. H2S in the biogas is converted into sulfur when air (2–6%) is added to the digester headspace. This approach has little operating history, however it shows promise as a partial H_2S removal method. Furthermore, due to the explosive nature of biogas in air, which ranges from 6–12 percent depending on the CH_4 concentration, caution should be used to prevent overdose of air. Although regeneration is conceivable, liquid-based and membrane technologies have much greater capital, energy, and media costs. Commercial biological H_2S removal techniques are also available, claiming to minimize operational, chemicals, and energy expenses but requiring a greater initial investment than dry based procedures (Kunal et al., 2019). If the effluent is not regenerable or is not handled properly, absorption by water or a basic solution will present a problem. Adsorption on solids like reactivated carbon, iron hydroxide, or oxide increased the system's operating costs. Adsorption with a high adsorption capacity is acknowledged as an energy efficient approach for hydrogen sulfide elimination among these technologies. The development of a nanoscale adsorbent with high adsorption capability appears attractive because nanotechnology offers large surface regions of nanoparticles for hydrogen sulphide elimination (Alian et al., 2021).

Chemical absorption, aeration, and a bio-filter are among the H_2S removal methods used in big or industrial biogas plants, but there are essentially no H_2S removal devices in use in small biogas plants (Kunal et al., 2019). This is mostly due to a lack of understanding of H_2S toxicity as well as knowledge on H_2S removal technology. The use of zero-valent iron (Feo) for successful hydrogen sulfide removal from laboratory size anaerobically digested solid wastes is presented in this paper (Adegunloye et al., 2010).

1.1 H_2S Absorption Removal Techniques:

H_2S absorption may be done in two ways: physically or chemically. Physical absorption has dissolved the trace components in this situation, which is followed by a chemical interaction between the trace component and the solvents (the most typical solvent is water washing) (Kovács et al., 2011). H_2S is normally absorbed physically in water or an organic solvent. The development of reversible chemical bonds amongst the solute and the liquid is referred to as chemical absorption. The solvent undergoes regeneration during chemical absorption, which includes bond breakage.

1.2 H_2O Adsorption Removal Techniques:

In the chemical and physical adsorption processes, adsorption methods are utilized. In general, the adsorption process may dehumidify gas, remove odors and impurities from the stream, and recover valuable solvent vapors. The adsorption process may permeate a liquid or gaseous chemical into the pores of a solid material (adsorbent). Adsorbate refers to the gas or liquid substance that is adsorbed throughout the process for absorption, the gas must be non-combustible or impossible to burn, and the impurities gathered must be beneficial and reduced. (Wu et al., 2021). The rate of adsorption is affected by temperature and H_2S concentration.

- Adsorption by metal oxide:

Some compounds may react with adsorption to adsorb hydrogen sulfide (H_2S). Iron The most widely utilized compounds are iron oxide, iron hydroxide, and zinc oxide. chemicals. The surface of each chemical is unique characteristics, chemistry, and other aspects that contribute to its greater usefulness H_2S adsorption is possible. When H_2S is present, these molecules react. Iron sulfide or zinc sulfide are the products of this reaction. In the presence of Fe, the H_2S response speeds up. Iron is used. The procedure improves the efficiency of the process by using oxide as an addition. Response Steel wool that had rusted was employed in this technique. As a response platform The surface area of steel wool is modest. As a result, impregnated iron oxide with a higher surface-to-volume ratio may be achieved (Zorpas et al., 2016).

- *Adsorption via activated carbon:*

Since biochar has a wide contact region, permeability, and interface chemical, it may be used in a variety of applications, adsorption through it has been studied extensively by researchers. Furthermore, this technology uses a low-cost adsorbent that is often employed in air pollution technologies (Ravigné & Da Costa, 2021). Even at room temperature, activated carbon may be employed to provide high adsorption capacity and a quick response kinetic. There are two forms of activated carbon: impregnated and unimpregnated. The addition of cation to impregnated activated carbon serves as a catalyst in the adsorption procedure. When compared to unimpregnated activated carbon, this impregnated activated carbon has the best capacity to remove H2S. The unimpregnated reactivated carbon is a poor catalyst, and the rate is constrained by the complicated reaction (Malode et al., 2021).

- *Adsorption based on pressures swings:*

PSA was employed to segregate a gas combination depending on chemical characteristics and adsorption materials attraction. The gases are drawn to solid surfaces or are intended to take part in the adsorption procedure. Because various gases are attracted to different solid surfaces, the gases may be separated from the mixture. As molecular sieves, adsorptive materials often utilized zeolites and active carbon. The procedure required both high and low pressures. The target gases were first adsorbed at a high pressure before being switched to a low pressure. To desorb or discharge the adsorbent material, the pressure was reduced. Furthermore, the PSA can work at temperatures close to ambient. PSA was more effective at separating CO2 from biogas than it was at removing H_2S. This is due to the inclusion of a preparatory step that should improve the effectiveness of H_2S removal. PSA's tail gases need further treatment as well. As a result, using PSA is not cost-effective since it increases the cost of H_2S removal(Lee, 2021).

2. DISCUSSION

In many situations, biogas contains 45-70 cent methanol and 30-45 % carbon monoxide. Based on the source, methane might also involve oxygen, carbon sulphide, brominated compounds, and organic silicon complexes. In anaerobic (airless) circumstances, bacteria create biogas throughout the microbial degradation of organic materials. Biogas is a natural product that is an important part of the biological climate system. Methanotrophs have been the only stage in the breakdown of organic material and the release of breakdown by- byproducts. As a consequence of this procedure, biogas is created. Biogas may be made from agriculture wastes, livestock, urban rubbish, plant materials, wastewater, environmental garbage, and food waste. In many cases, it is a sustainable power supply with a minimal carbon footprint. Biogas may be compressed and used to power automobiles in the same way that normal gas can be crushed into compressed natural gas (CNG). In the U.k, for example, methane has the ability to replace around 17% of automotive gasoline. To fuel vehicles, biogas must be cleaned either being compacted for on-site dispensing or injected into the energy grid for transmission to distributed fueling locations. Alternative power incentives are available in several portions of the ecosphere. Biogas might be cleansed and promoted to meet normal gas requirements when transformed to bio ethanol.

Biogas Cycle: Biofuel is mostly made up of carbon that has been fixed by photosynthesis organisms, which receive solar energy and utilise water, CO2, and soil minerals to do it. Plants are collected for people and pet consumption as well as commercial treatment. Biogas may be made from discarded biological material such as leftovers from agricultural output and processing, animal manure, and sewage from commercial and urban causes. It is also possible to develop power crops that may be used straight as a methane substrate. In the digesters, the organic waste is given to a community of biological bacteria, which creates simpler intermediary compounds that are eventually turned into mineralization minerals and methane. The biogas is solid and splits into a gas stage, which is then pumped out of the digestion and kept or utilised. The residual liquids contain plant nutrients, which are best in use by transferring them to agricultural cultivation. The methanol in gas is transformed to the same amount of CO2 that were stored during photosynthetic when it is utilized to generate power.

Anaerobic digestion of solid biomass may produce methane-rich biogas while also lowering the environmental effect of biowaste. Bioenergy is a combustible vapor produced by bacterial digesting, a biological process that takes place at low-high temperatures spanning between 30-65°C with the requirement of air. The source materials used as well as the operating circumstances that were utilised during bacterial digesting influence the molecular makeup of methane.

Biogas contains 55–80 percent CH_4, 20–45 percent CO_2, 5–10 percent H_2, as well as traces of H_2S and other contaminants. We can observe that the flammable constituents of biogas are CH_4 and H_2 in these proportions. Other gases are useless, toxic, or harmful, and they provide biogas no energy. Moreover, only CH4 is present in significant quantities among 2 gasses. Syngas is utilized to boiling coffee or cook rather of LPG, gasoline, wood, or hardwood as a source for processes warming, illumination, or power generation using internal combustion engines. Because of mechanical and molecular qualities are comparable to that of normal gas, it may also be used to power machinery rather of diesel or gasoline, but with a reduced gas level of less than 50%. Methane, on the other hand, is explicitly identified as one of the six primary greenhouse gases in the Kyoto Protocol, having a global heating capacity 25 times that of carbon monoxide. As a consequence, burning methane to generate electricity might both provide energy and reduce methane emissions. Among the feedstocks utilized in biogas production include animal wastes, home waste products, farming residues, sewage, sewerage, and landfills. As a consequence, not alone can waste be properly disposed of to help reduce environmental problems such as smells and insects, but the methane production would also provide a reduced price, greener electricity while protecting fossil fuels like fresh gas and oil, and fuel. In recent years, the tiny biogas systems that corresponds to a methane cell with a volume of 12 to 100 m3 has become more common. Renewable energy technology may help poor nations decrease their energy usage and emissions.

3. CONCLUSION

Several ways for removing H_2S are used, including absorption, absorption, and membrane. Several studies have looked at the use of active carbon and membrane technologies for adsorption, and this approach has been proven to be more cost-effective and efficient in removing H_2S than other methods. Though, the adsorption process involving the alteration of fiber minerals has been investigated as a cost-effective alternative to activated carbon. To enhance the removal effectiveness of H_2S and undesirable contaminants in biogas fuel, the best approach should be adopted. The process improves raw biogas grade that may be utilized as a fresh form of renewable power in the form of fuel cells.

REFERENCES

Adegunloye, D. V., Adetuyi, F. C., & Akinyosoye, F. A. (2010). Development of bio-gas using crop wastes and pig dung. *Nigerian Journal of Parasitology*. https://doi.org/10.4314/njpar.v31i2.69485

Alian, M., Saadat, S., & Rezaeitavabe, F. (2021). An investigation on the dose-dependent effect of iron shaving on bio-hydrogen production from food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.03.121

Baladincz, P., & Hancsók, J. (2015). Fuel from waste animal fats. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.04.003

Cheng, S., Shu, J., Xia, H., Wang, S., Zhang, L., Peng, J., Li, C., Jiang, X., & Zhang, Q. (2019). Pyrolysis of Crofton weed for the production of aldehyde rich bio-oil and combustible matter rich bio-gas. *Applied Thermal Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.12.009

Kovács, S., Kasza, T., Thernesz, A., Horváth, I. W., & Hancsók, J. (2011). Fuel production by hydrotreating of triglycerides on NiMo/Al2O3/F catalyst. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.05.110

Kunal, P., Ashish, R., Punith Prasad, M. K., & Hemanth Kumar, K. J. (2019). Production and purification of biogas; A research. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.B1157.0882S819

Lee, H. J. (2021). Recent progress in radio-frequency sensing platforms with graphene/graphene oxide for wireless health care system. In *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11052291

Lin, J., Sun, S., Cui, C., Ma, R., Fang, L., Zhang, P., Quan, Z., Song, X., Yan, J., & Luo, J. (2019). Hydrogen-rich bio-gas generation and optimization in relation to heavy metals immobilization during Pd-catalyzed supercritical water gasification of sludge. *Energy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116296

Malode, S. J., Prabhu, K. K., Mascarenhas, R. J., Shetti, N. P., & Aminabhavi, T. M. (2021). Recent advances and viability in biofuel production. *Energy Conversion and Management: X*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecmx.2020.100070

Onuoha, S. N., Unuigbe, O. M., Suleiman, I. A., Chukuwendu, U. M., & Ogie-Aitsabokhai, L. Y. (2019). Design, fabrication and evaluation of bio-digester for generating bio-gas and bio-fertiliser for Auchi polytechnic demonstration farm. *International Journal of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.5897/ijwree2018.0834

Parikh, J. K., & Parikh, K. S. (1977). Mobilization and impacts of bio-gas technologies. *Energy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/0360-5442(77)90007-X

Ravigné, E., & Da Costa, P. (2021). Economic and environmental performances of natural gas for heavy trucks: A case study on the French automotive industry supply chain. *Energy Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.112019

Sorathia, H. S., Rathod, P. P., & Sorathiya, A. S. (2012). Bio-Gas Generation and Factors Affecting the Bio-Gas Generation – a Review Study. *International Journal of*

Advanced Engineering Technology E.

Strezov, V., Evans, T. J., & Hayman, C. (2008). Thermal conversion of elephant grass (Pennisetum Purpureum Schum) to bio-gas, bio-oil and charcoal. *Bioresource Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.02.039

Wu, B., Lin, R., O'Shea, R., Deng, C., Rajendran, K., & Murphy, J. D. (2021). Production of advanced fuels through integration of biological, thermo-chemical and power to gas technologies in a circular cascading bio-based system. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110371

Zorpas, A. A., Pociovălişteanu, D. M., Georgiadou, L., & Voukkali, I. (2016). Environmental and technical evaluation of the use of alternative fuels through multi-criteria analysis model. *Progress in Industrial Ecology*. https://doi.org/10.1504/PIE.2016.078076