e-ISSN 2320 –7876 www.ijfans.org
Research Paper
Vol.11, Iss.9, Dec 2022

GAMMA EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTION WITH SUSCEPTIBILITY TO ITS OTHER FAMILY OF DISTRIBUTIONS

[1] Ishwariya.R, [2] Selvakumar.A
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Arts and Science
Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research (BIHER)
Chennai 600 073
[1] ishwariya.maths@bharathuniv.ac.in, [2] aselvakumar1911@gmail.com

Address for Correspondence

[1] Ishwariya.R, [2] Selvakumar.A
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Arts and Science
Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research (BIHER)
Chennai 600 073
[1] ishwariya.maths@bharathuniv.ac.in, [2] aselvakumar1911@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, gamma exponentiated distribution has been investigated. Its own complexity as well as rate of failure parameters could take on a variety of different aspects. It includes various relevant distributions identified in the literature as things that made, including that of the gamma as well as exponential distributions. This same exponentiated distribution's flexibility has been assessed by performing everything to various dataset while connecting it to all the other representations. The non-parametric approach can also be used to quantify parameters of the model, and indeed the empirical understanding sequence has been obtained. This same recent model's predictability becomes evidenced by some of its deployment to something like a big data platform.

Keywords: Hazard function, generalized distribution, moments, quartiles, cumulative distribution function, gamma distribution.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 97K40

1. Introduction

Stochastic hypothesis had first been formulated to structure the disproportionate concentration of income although realized how well a larger portion of just about every society at large wealth becomes controlled by either a limited portion of the world [1,2]. Although since, it really has played a pivotal role throughout investigating just one broader understanding of possible circumstances, not just in finances. Statistical inference can then pronounce as well as projected important considerations. Despite the fact that most other representations have indeed been established [4], there is indeed opportunity towards designing representations that are somewhat further versatile either ideally adapted towards unique practical systems. It has really motivated many researchers continuously explore out instead of establish innovative and therefore more efficient techniques [6,7,8]. Throughout the research, there are several other variations including combinations including its Probability distribution function. Due to the extreme large potential function including its spectrum, its maximum likelihood estimation group represents almost all of its characteristics that has been used for computing competing risks information. This examines several transmission attributes, especially communication patterns, including certain specific circumstances including its utilisation. The primary reason for introducing the gamma piratic distribution, is due to het growing usage of the exponential distribution [10]. Throughout the contrast, this same existing sweeping statement enables for some of its massive development to more and more rigorous calculations. We extract certain performance characteristics including its proposed model, engage about cross validation model parameters, as well as approximate each measured intelligence function.

2. **The Gamma Exponentiated Distribution**

Let k(r) represent the probability density function of such a continuous probability distribution V placed between 0 and infinity, then F(x) constitute their cumulative distribution function of such a random input variable

$$M(r) = \int_0^{-e^k} \quad k(r)dr = V(1 - log\ (F(r)) \ \ldots\ldots\ldots \ (1)$$

where F(r) remains the Cumulative distributive function of the arbitrary variable *M*. This same standardized distribution's accompanying PDF throughout (1) being provided through

$$m(r) = \frac{rk(r)F^{r-1}(r)}{1-log\ (F(r)}\ k(log\ log\ \left(F(r)\right)\ ), \text{ where } r > 0 \ \ldots\ldots\text{(2)}$$

Every other component including its current standard normal distribution created through (2) being referred to someone as a "maximum likelihood estimation T - statistic. By making the likelihood function X discrete, we can create proper groups of knowledge of various distributions. This same cumulative distribution form including its maximum likelihood estimation T-X community among discrete distributions [12,13], which also has a connection between some of the probability distribution X throughout (2) as well as the probability distribution T throughout the PDF k(r), is supplied through

$$Y = F^{-1}\{(1-e^{-x})^{1/k}\}$$

where it enables an effective approximation including its probability distribution Y by first designed to simulate some gaussian random M and instead processing the following variable $Y = F^{-1}\{(1-e^{-x})^{1/k}\}$. As a result, E(Y) can indeed be calculated through

$$E(Y) = E\left\{F^{-1}\{(1-e^{-x})^{1/k}\}\right\}$$

This same instability of even a probability distribution Y is indeed a representation of heterogeneity through uncertainty. Randomness has so many implications throughout astronomy, engineering, technology, including finance [16]. It should have been observed that perhaps the Contribution margin distribution has several possibly the best variants including specialized circumstances, that also helps to distinguish anything from other representations throughout terms of empirical importance.

3. MOMENTS OF QUANTILES

The flaws including its traditional correlation coefficient calculation seem to be well established. The whole magnitude becomes indefinite for something like a substantial number of strong distributions. As a consequence, everything becomes lacking in substance except when it requires too really be [18]. As such, this same prevalence of generalized coefficient of variation in several generalised populations encouraged everyone to use covariance measures. Each divergence from either the median and indeed the divergence from either the maximum are commonly used as estimates for population demographics are

$$K(\Omega) = \int_0^{\alpha} \quad |r-\rho|\, f(r)\, dr = 0.5\, \rho\, f(\rho) - 0.33\, m(\rho) \;\ldots\ldots\ldots (3)$$

and

$$K(\pi) = \int_0^{\alpha} \quad |r-\pi|\, f(r)\, dr = \rho - 0.8\, m(\pi) \;\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (4)$$

correspondingly, wherever f(r) seems to be the consequence of (3) while ρ would be the primary intermediate instant.

Whenever the membership function has been roughly proportional with 1.22, this same regression coefficients of both the probability function is generally negligible. Consequently, this same regression coefficients of both the distribution is indeed a dimensionless quantity including its consulting firm, therefore mathematical equations become acquired. We might well evaluate its normal distribution including its stochastic process and see when it is close to unity. Cantered mostly on lowest K coefficient but instead strongest significant with a p-value, (3) represents the necessary fit of both the triple parameter estimation. (4) represents another good fit; it would have the strongest cumulative likelihood as well as the weakest Information criterion including its quad two parameters.

The whole individual user sample population represents the central to this process for something like an airborne communications transmitter and receiver and had been currently analysed [17] through implementing using four database transmission. [18] frequently were using the gamma standardized Raleigh process to analyse data. This same findings with (4) demonstrate that perhaps the Power spectrum model works perfectly than that of the Gas flow distribution. Designers start comparing this same performance including its simulations' performance. Humans look at such an unmoderated information source which really correlates to something like the withdrawal intervals of such a random group.

4. CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Even the most well four parameter iterative approach becomes generalised through connecting additional specific form dimensions, culminating throughout the gamma maximum likelihood estimation, that has a broader class comprising vulnerability frequency related density parameters. This would be accomplished through using (1) as that of the universal classification of gamma functions' baseline linear combination. A thorough examination of both the current source list branches of mathematics has been discussed. The maximum likelihood estimation coefficient provides flexibility towards fitting the data with such a variety of materials. Almost all of its characteristics being extracted, and maybe some limiting behaviour are established. Many other characteristics including its incompressible flow are identified, namely minimizing action, periods, including instability. An enhancement to something like a single measurement indicates that the real model fit remains better compared to something like the compatibility of all its predominant sub-models. We anticipate that perhaps the suggested framework will indeed be beneficial in either an expanded variety of statistical research.

e-ISSN 2320 –7876 www.ijfans.org
Vol.11, Iss.9, Dec 2022

5. REFERENCES

[1] Cordeiro, G. M., Cristino, T., Hashimoto, E. M., & Ortega, E. M. (2013). The beta generalized Rayleigh distribution with applications to lifetime data. Statistical Papers, 54, 133-161. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00362-011-0415-0

[2] Eugene, N., Lee, C., & Famoye, F. (2002). Beta-normal distribution and its applications. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 31(6), 497-512. http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/STA-120003130

[3] Famoye, F., Lee, C., & Olumolade, O. (2005). The beta-Weibull distribution. Journal of Statistical Theory and Applications, 4(2), 121-136.

[4] Galton, F. (1883). Enquiries into Human Faculty and its Development. London: Macmillan & Company.

[5] Gupta, R. C., Gupta, P., & Gupta, R. D. (1998). Modelling failure time data by Lehmann alternatives. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 27, 887-904. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03610929808832134

[6] Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., & Balakrishnan, N. (1994). Continuous Univariate Distributions (Vol. 1, 2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

[7] Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., & Balakrishnan, N. (1995). Continuous Univariate Distributions (Vol. 2, 2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

[8] Jones, M. C. (2009). Kumaraswamy's distribution: A beta-type distribution with tractability advantages. Statistical Methodology, 6, 70-81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stamet.2008.04.001

[9] Kong, L., Lee, C., & Sepanski, J. H. (2007). On the properties of beta-gamma distribution. Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods, 6, 187-211.

[10] Kumaraswamy, P. (1980). A generalized probability density functions for double-bounded random processes. Journal of Hydrology, 46, 79-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(80)90036-0

[11] Lee, C., Famoye, F., & Alzaatreh, A. (2013). Methods for generating families of univariate continuous distributions in the recent decades. WIREs Computational Statistics, 5(3), 219-238. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wics.1255

[12] Leiva, V., Sanhueza, A., Kotz, S., & Araneda, N. (2010). A unified mixture model based on the inverse Gaussian distribution. Pakistan Journal of Statistics, 26(3), 445-460.

e-ISSN 2320 –7876 www.ijfans.org
Vol.11, Iss.9, Dec 2022

[13] Mahmoudi, E. (2011). The beta generalized Pareto distribution with application to lifetime data. Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 81, 2414-2430. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2011.03.006

[14] Moors, J. J. (1988). A quantile alternative for kurtosis. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society D, 37, 25-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2348376

[15] Mudholkar, G., & Srivastava, D. (1993). Exponentiated Weibull family for analyzing bathtub failure data. IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 42, 299-302. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/24.229504

[16] Nadarajah, S. (2005). The exponentiated Gumbel distribution with climate application. Environ metrics, 17, 13-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/env.739

[17] Nadarajah, S., & Gupta, A. K. (2004). The beta Fr´echet distribution. Far East Journal of Theoretical Statistics, 14, 15-24.

[18] Smith, R. L., & Naylor, J. C. (1987). A comparison of maximum likelihood and Bayesian estimators for the three-parameter Weibull distribution. Applied Statistics, 36, 358-369. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2347795.

[19] Song, K. S. (2001). R´enyi information, log likelihood and an intrinsic distribution measure. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, 93, 51-69. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3758(00)00169-5.